Plant Physiology Preview. Published on February 20, 2018, as DOI:10.1104/pp.17.01830

The citrus transcription factor CsMADS6 modulates carotenoid metabolism by directly regulating carotenogenic genes

Short title: Citrus CsMADS6 regulates carotenoid metabolism

Suwen Lu, Yin Zhang, Kaijie Zhu, Wei Yang, Junli Ye, Lijun Chai, Qiang Xu, Xiuxin Deng*

Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant Biology (Ministry of Education), Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

One-sentence summary: Citrus CsMADS6 coordinately and positively modulates carotenoid metabolism by directly regulating the expression of *LCYb1* and other carotenogenic genes, including *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1*.

Author Contributions:

X.X.D. conceived the project and supervised the experiments; S.W.L. designed and performed most of the experiments; Y.Z., K.J.Z. and W.Y. assisted with the experiments; S.W.L. analyzed the data and wrote the article with contributions from all of the authors; Q.X., L.J.C., and J.L.Y. edited the manuscript.

Financial Sources:

This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 31330066, 31521092 and 31630065), China Agriculture Research System (CARS-27), and the 111 project (B13034).

*** Corresponding author**

Xiuxin Deng

Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant Biology (Ministry of Education), Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

Email: xxdeng@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Tel number: +86-27-87286906, Fax number: +86-27-87281850

Abstract

Although remarkable progress has been made towards understanding carotenoid biosynthesis, the mechanisms that regulate the transcription of carotenogenic genes remain poorly understood. Lycopene *β*-cyclases (LCYb) are critical enzymes located at the branch point of the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway. Here, we used the promoter sequence of *LCYb1* as bait in a yeast one-hybrid screen for promoter-binding proteins from sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*). This screen identified a MADS transcription factor, CsMADS6, that was coordinately expressed with fruit development and coloration. Acting as a nuclear-localized transcriptional activator, CsMADS6 directly bound the promoter of *LCYb1* and activated its expression. Overexpression of *CsMADS6* in citrus calli increased carotenoid contents and induced the expression of *LCYb1* and other carotenogenic genes, including *phytoene synthase* (*PSY*), *phytoene desaturase* (*PDS*), and *carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 1* (*CCD1*). CsMADS6 up-regulated the expression of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1* by directly binding to their promoters, which suggested the multi-targeted regulation of carotenoid metabolism by CsMADS6. In addition, the ectopic expression of *CsMADS6* in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) affected carotenoid contents and the expression of carotenogenic genes. The sepals of *CsMADS6*-overexpressing tomato lines exhibited dramatic changes in carotenoid profiles, accompanied by changes in plastid ultrastructure. Global transcriptome analysis of transgenic sepals revealed that CsMADS6 regulates a series of pathways that promote increases in flux through the carotenoid pathway. Overall, these findings establish that CsMADS6 directly regulates *LCYb1* and other carotenogenic genes to coordinately and positively modulate carotenoid metabolism in plants, which may provide strategies to improve the nutritional quality of crops.

Introduction

Carotenoids are important secondary metabolites that are widely distributed and play many vital roles in nature. In plants, carotenoids generally give flowers and fruits distinct colors ranging from yellow and orange to red (Bartley and Scolnik, 1995). They also participate in photosynthesis and photoprotection (Niyogi et al., 1997; Holt et al., 2005), serve as precursors for phytohormones (Schwartz et al., 1997; Alder et al., 2012), and promote the scavenging of reactive oxygen species (Di et al., 1989; Edge et al., 1997). In humans, carotenoids serve as precursors for vitamin A (DellaPenna and Pogson, 2006) and excellent antioxidants that prevent cancer and other related diseases (Fraser and Bramley, 2004; Fiedor and Burda, 2014). The carotenoid biosynthesis pathway has been studied extensively and genes underlying most of the biosynthetic steps have been identified in many plants (Fraser et al., 1994; Moise et al., 2014; Nisar et al., 2015). Previous studies have shown that the coordinated expression of genes that encode carotenoid biosynthetic enzymes tightly regulates carotenoid metabolism (Liu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015). However, although the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway and the expression patterns of carotenogenic genes are well characterized, knowledge of the transcriptional regulatory mechanisms that control the expression of these genes is rather limited.

Transcription factors (TFs) bind to promoters and directly regulate the transcription of their target genes. A large number of TFs affect carotenoid accumulation by regulating fruit ripening, ethylene biosynthesis, photomorphogenesis, and other processes. These TFs include TAGL1 (TOMATO AGAMOUS-LIKE 1) (Itkin et al., 2009; Vrebalov et al., 2009), ERF6 (ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR 6) (Lee et al., 2012), GLK2 (Golden 2-like) (Powell et al., 2012), and CubHLH1 (Basic Helix-Loop-Helix 1) (Endo et al., 2016). However, only a few TFs have been demonstrated to directly regulate the expression of carotenogenic genes and control carotenoid metabolism. For example, PIF1 (phytochrome interacting factor 1), a light-responsive TF, specially binds the *PSY* (*phytoene synthase*) promoter and represses the expression of *PSY* and the accumulation of carotenoids in dark-grown *Arabidopsis* (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) seedlings (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2010) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruits (Llorente et al., 2016). However, HY5 (LONG HYPOCOTYL 5), a PIF antagonist, directly binds a common *cis*-element (G-box) in the promoter of *PSY* and promotes the accumulation of carotenoids associated with photosynthesis in response to light and temperature cues (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2014).

Welsch et al. (2007) identified the APETALA2 (AP2)/ethylene-response element-binding protein RAP2.2, which binds the ATCTA element in the promoter of *PSY* and regulates its expression in Arabidopsis. Tomato RIN (Ripening Inhibitor), a MADS-box TF, promotes the accumulation of lycopene by directly regulating the expression of *PSY* (Martel et al., 2011). Recently, papaya (*Carica papaya*) CpNAC1 has been shown to directly bind the NAC binding site (NACBS) in *CpPDS2/4* (*phytoene desaturase*) promoters (Fu et al., 2016). CpNAC2 interacts with CpEIN3a and cooperatively regulates the expression of carotenoid biosynthesis-related genes *CpPDS2/4*, *CpLCY-e* (*lycopene ε-cyclase*), and *CpCHY-b* (*β-carotene hydroxylase*) during fruit ripening (Fu et al., 2017). Sweet osmanthus (*Osmanthus fragrans*) OfWRKY3 binds the W-box palindrome motif in the *OfCCD4* (*carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 4*) promoter and induces gene expression (Han et al., 2016). Zhu et al. (2017) identified an R2R3-MYB transcription factor, CrMYB68, that negatively regulates the expression of *CrBCH2* (*β-carotene hydroxylase*) and *CrNCED5* (*9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase*) in the flavedo of *Citrus reticulata*. MADS proteins are one of the largest TF families in plants (Shore and Sharrocks, 1995; Kaufmann et al., 2005). An increasing number of studies have recently shown that these proteins participate in the regulation of fruit ripening and the carotenoid biosynthesis that is associated with fruit ripening. For example, mutations in the tomato MADS-box genes *RIN*, *TAGL1*, and *FUL1/2* (*FRUITFULL 1/2*) result in fruit ripening-defective phenotypes with repressed carotenoid accumulation (Vrebalov et al., 2002, 2009; Itkin et al., 2009; Bemer et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). Overexpression of either tomato *TAGL1* or its homolog *PpPLENA* from peach (*Prunus persica*) in tomato produces succulent sepals that accumulate substantial levels of lycopene (Itkin et al., 2009; Tadiello et al., 2009; Vrebalov et al., 2009). RNA interference-mediated suppression of the gene encoding SlMADS1, a negative regulator, enhances both the expression of *PSY1* and the accumulation of carotenoids in tomato fruit (Dong et al., 2013). Most of the limited numbers of TFs that are known to affect carotenoid biosynthesis directly regulate *PSY*, which encodes the enzyme that catalyzes the first and rate-determining step of carotenoid biosynthesis. However, knowledge of TFs that coordinately modulate carotenoid metabolism by directly regulating other biosynthetic genes is still lacking, even in model plants.

Lycopene *β*-cyclases (LCYb) catalyze the cyclization of red lycopene into yellow-orange *β*-carotene at the branch point of the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway

(Cunningham et al., 1996). The levels of *LCYb* expression change dramatically when carotenoids accumulate during fruit development in a range of plant species (Pecker et al., 1996; Yuan et al., 2015). Numerous studies have demonstrated that *LCYb* genes are transcriptionally regulated by many factors such as phytohormones and ripening-associated TFs. For example, during tomato fruit ripening, the expression of *LCYb* genes is repressed by elevated levels of ethylene leading to the massive accumulation of lycopene which is responsible for the red color of ripe fruit (Fraser et al., 1994; Ronen et al., 2000; Alba et al., 2005). Repression of the MADS-box gene *TAGL1* or the ethylene signaling gene *SlAP2a* (*APETALA2/ERF*) up-regulates the expression of *LCYb*, which could account for the significantly decreased lycopene and increased *β*-carotene that is responsible for the orange-yellow phenotype of ripe fruit (Vrebalov et al., 2009; Chung et al., 2010). Similarly, silencing of the auxin response factor2 (*SlARF2*), which displays marked ripening-associated expression, suppresses ethylene production and promotes the expression of *SlLCYB* and *SlCYCB* (*chromoplast-specific lycopene β-cyclase*) (Hao et al., 2015). However, the mechanisms used by these ripening-associated regulators to regulate the expression of *LCYb* remain unknown.

Citrus is a non-climacteric fruit that accumulates high levels of carotenoids (Fanciullino et al., 2006; Xu et al 2006; Matsumoto et al., 2007). In citrus fruits that predominantly accumulate *β,β*-xanthophylls (i.e., violaxanthin and *β*-cryptoxanthin), the transcriptional regulation of the *LCYb* genes during ripening has a remarkable influence on the carotenoid profile. High-level expression of *LCYb* genes shifts the flux of the pathway to the *β*-branch during the orange stage (Kato et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2012; Rodrigo et al., 2013). Additionally, reductions in the transcript levels of a chromoplast-specific *LCYb* gene are responsible for the substantial accumulation of lycopene in the pulp of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) (Lu et al., 2016b) and grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi*) (Alquézar et al., 2009; Mendes et al., 2011). However, whether and how the expression of the citrus *LCYb* genes is regulated by the ripening-associated regulators that are mentioned above is not clear. We previously identified two *LCYb* genes (*LCYb1* and *LCYb2*) from sweet orange and successfully identified critical regulatory elements in their promoters (Lu et al., 2016a, b). In this study, we used the promoter sequences of these *LCYb* genes as bait to screen a sweet orange fruit cDNA library and identified a MADS TF. Based on this cDNA sequence, this gene was identical to a gene that has been previously isolated from mandarin

(*Citrus unshiu*) named *CitMADS6* (Endo et al., 2006). However, whether and how *CitMADS6* modulates carotenoid metabolism is currently unknown. Here, we identified *CsMADS6* in sweet orange and found that it induced increases in carotenoid metabolism by directly regulating the expression of *LCYb1* and other carotenogenic genes. Its roles in regulating the carotenoid metabolism of fruit were subsequently characterized in transgenic tomato. Finally, multiple regulatory functions of CsMADS6 in reprogramming transcriptional networks that support the biosynthesis and accumulation of carotenoids are discussed. These findings advance our understanding of the complex transcriptional regulation of carotenoid metabolism in plants.

Results

Yeast one-hybrid screening and sequence analysis of CsMADS6

To identify TFs that regulate carotenoid metabolism, we performed a yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) screen. The promoter sequences of *LCYb1* and *LCYb2* were used as bait to screen a citrus fruit cDNA library. After Y1H screening, we successfully obtained several clones (Supplemental Table S1). One clone encoding a protein belonging to the large MADS TF superfamily attracted our attention because an increasing number of studies have demonstrated that MADS protein(s) play important roles in fruit development (Giovannoni, 2004). A BLAST search of the NCBI database revealed that this MADS sequence was identical to a previously isolated gene, *CitMADS6*, from mandarin (Endo et al., 2006). Thus, we named this apparently orthologous gene from sweet orange *CsMADS6*. We successfully obtained its full-length coding sequence, which encoded a protein of 257 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 29.64 kDa and a predicted pI of 9.37.

To understand the relationship of this CsMADS6 protein to other MADS proteins, we generated a phylogenetic tree with CsMADS6 and other C-type MADS proteins. A paralogous gene, *CsMADS1* (corresponding to the *CitMADS1* from mandarin), encoding a protein with 69% amino acid sequence similarity to CsMADS6, was also included in this analysis. The analysis indicated that CsMADS6 and CsMADS1 grouped with AGAMOUS-like and AGAMOUS sub-clades, respectively. CsMADS6 was closely linked with *Arabidopsis* AtSHP2 and tomato TAGL1 (Figure 1A). A multiple sequence alignment of CsMADS6, CsMADS1 and their homologs from *Arabidopsis* and tomato indicated that these proteins contained four typical plant

MADS regions (the M-domain, I-region, K-box, and C-terminal domain). The sequences from the conserved M-domain were highly similar, followed by the less similar sequences from the I-region and the K-box. The C-terminal domain generally varied (Figure 1B).

Expression of *CsMADS6* increases with fruit development and coloration

To understand the spatial and temporal expression patterns of *CsMADS6*, we determined its relative transcript levels in different tissues and during the different stages of fruit development in sweet orange. *CsMADS6* was predominantly expressed in the flower and fruit tissues. We observed low levels of expression in roots, stems, and leaves. During fruit development, the transcript levels of *CsMADS6* gradually increased, peaking at 180 days after flowering (DAF) (breaker stage) and declining at 220 DAF (senescence stage) (Figure 2A).

We used immunoblotting to determine the levels of the CsMADS6 protein in citrus fruits at different stages of ripening. Actin (Act) was used as a loading control. The protein levels of CsMADS6 increased gradually during fruit development (Figure 2B). This result agreed well with the analysis of mRNA levels. Based on these data, we concluded that the expression of *CsMADS6* increased with thecoloration of citrus fruit and the accumulation of carotenoids (Liu et al., 2007).

CsMADS6 localizes to the nucleus and functions as a transcriptional activator

To test the subcellular localization of CsMADS6, we generated a CsMADS6-GFP (green fluorescent protein) fusion construct and co-expressed it with the nuclear marker OsGhd7-CFP (cyan fluorescent protein) in citrus protoplasts. Using fluorescence microscopy, we found that the GFP signals overlapped extensively with the CFP signals, indicating that the CsMADS6-GFP fusion protein co-localized with the OsGhd7-CFP nuclear marker *in vivo* (Figure 3A). These data indicated that CsMADS6 protein localized to the nucleus.

To assess the transcriptional activity of CsMADS6 *in vivo*, we used a transient expression assay system in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. The reporter vector contained 5× GAL4 activation domains upstream of the *LUC* (*Firefly luciferase*) gene. In the effector vector, the *CsMADS6* gene was fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (pBD-CsMADS6). Transactivation of the *LUC* gene was measured relative to the *REN* (*Renilla luciferase*) gene under the control of the constitutive *Cauliflower*

mosaic virus 35S (*CaMV35S*) promoter (Figure 3B). These constructs were co-expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves, and luciferase activity was quantified. The results showed that, compared with the negative control (pBD), luciferase activity significantly increased when the *LUC*-containing construct was co-expressed with pBD-CsMADS6, reaching levels similar to those of the positive control (pBD-VP16) (Figure 3C). These data provided evidence that CsMADS6 may function as a transcriptional activator.

CsMADS6 directly binds and activates the promoter of *LCYb1*

To test the interaction between the CsMADS6 protein and the *LCYb1* promoter, we first performed a Y1H assay. Bait yeast cells co-transformed with the control vector (AD) or the fusion vector (AD-CsAMDS6) grew well on synthetic dropout medium without leucine (SD/-Leu). However, only the yeast cells co-transformed with the fusion vector AD-CsMADS6 could survive on the selective medium supplemented with 400 ng mL^{-1} of Aureobasidin A (SD/-Leu/AbA^{400}) (Figure 4A). These data indicated that the CsMADS6 protein interacted with the *LCYb1* promoter in the yeast system.

Plant MADS-box proteins bind to specific DNA sequences known as CArG elements [CArG-element sequences: $C(C/T)(A/T)_6(A/G)G$, $C(A/T)_8G$ and $C(C/T)(A/T)G(A/T)_4(A/G)G$] (Ito et al., 2008; Fujisawa et al., 2011). We found two possible CArG elements in the *LCYb1* promoter (Figure 4B and Supplemental Table S2). We performed an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) to test whether the CsMADS6 protein could bind the *LCYb1* promoter at these potential binding sites. Recombinant MBP-CsMADS6-His shifted a band with the labeled DNA probes (P1 or P2), presumably by generating a protein-DNA complex. In contrast, the MBP-His control did not affect the mobility of the labeled DNA probes. After an excess of unlabeled DNA probe was added, the shifted band completely disappeared. In contrast, when an excess of mutated unlabeled DNA probe was added, MBP-CsMADS6-His still reduced the mobility of the labeled DNA probe (Figure 4C). We obtained similar results with two different DNA probes from the *LCYb1* promoter, indicating that the CsMADS6 protein specifically bound each CArG element in the *LCYb1* promoter.

Thus, we found that CsMADS6 directly bound to the *LCYb1* promoter *in vivo* and *in vitro*. We used a dual-luciferase assay to investigate the effect of CsMADS6 binding on promoter activity. The full-length promoter of *LCYb1* was divided into five

fragments (LP1 to LP5), relative to the two CArG elements by successively deleting sequences from the 5'-end. The promoter activity of each fragment was measured to identify the region(s) that interacted with CsMADS6 (Figure 4D). As shown in Figure 4E, the relative luciferase expression driven by the promoter fragment of LP1 was significantly higher in the presence of CsMADS6 than the control, suggesting that CsMADS6 activated the promoter activity of LP1. A deletion from LP1 to LP2 led to a high level of activation by CsMADS6, whereas a further deletion to LP3, LP4 or LP5 actually diminished activation. Taken together, the above data indicated that CsMADS6 protein activated the promoter activity of *LCYb1* by interacting with the two CArG elements that are located in the LP1 and LP2 fragments.

CsMADS6 increases carotenoid biosynthesis and affects the expression of carotenoid-associated genes

CsMADS6 was first transformed into citrus calli to examine whether it modulates carotenoid metabolism. Positive transformants from several independent lines were recovered. Most of the transgenic lines (Ox-M6) were slightly more yellow than the control (Rm) during culture. We selected one representative transgenic line that showed color change and accumulated high levels of *CsMADS6* transcript and protein for further carotenoid analysis (Figure 5A and 5B). Violaxanthin, lutein, α-carotene and β-carotene were identified as the predominant carotenoids in these citrus calli and were quantified using HPLC. The concentrations of the other carotenoids were below the detection limit. The levels of violaxanthin significantly increased, contributing to the high levels of total carotenoids in the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing calli (Figure 5C).

The transcript levels of carotenoid biosynthetic genes were monitored in three independent transgenic lines. Notably, *LCYb1* expression was significantly induced in the overexpressing lines, consistent with CsMADS6 up-regulating the transcription of *LCYb1*. We analyzed the expression of other important biosynthetic genes, including *PSY*, *PDS*, *CRTISO* (carotenoid isomerase), *LCYe*, *LCYb2*, *HYD* (α/β-carotene hydroxylase), *ZEP* (zeaxanthin epoxidase), and *CCD1*. Using RT-qPCR, we observed a marked increase in the expression of *PSY*, *PDS*, *CRTISO*, *LCYb2*, *HYD* and *CCD1* in the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines. The transcript levels of *PSY, PDS* and *CCD1* increased 4-, 12-, and 2-fold in Ox-M6 lines, respectively. In contrast, the transcript levels of *LCYe* were repressed and *ZEP* expression did not change significantly in

Ox-M6 lines (Figure 5D). Taken together, these results indicated that the overexpression of *CsMADS6* increased carotenoid biosynthesis in transgenic calli and induced the expression of *LCYb1* and other carotenogenic genes, including *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1*.

We also quantified the expression of the TFs that are known to play a regulatory role in carotenoid metabolism, such as HY5, PIF1, and RAP2.2 (Welsch et al., 2007; Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2010, 2014), in transgenic citrus calli. We found that the expression of *HY5* and *RAP2.2* significantly increased, and that the expression of *PIF1* was notably reduced in the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines (Supplemental Figure S1). Moreover, *RIN* and *FUL*, two major ripening-associated MADS TF genes, were not expressed in citrus calli and were not expressed at significantly different levels in the transgenic lines and the control (data not shown).

CsMADS6 directly binds and activates the promoters of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1*

Because the transcript levels of carotenoid biosynthetic genes other than *LCYb1*, such as *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1*, were significantly induced when *CsMADS6* was overexpressed in citrus calli, we decided to investigate whether these genes were direct targets of CsMADS6. We first cloned the promoter regions of *PSY* (1589 bp of accession no. MG594039), *PDS* (987 bp of accession no. MG594040), and *CCD1* (1295 bp of accession no. MG594041) from sweet orange and discovered at least one putative CArG element in each of their promoter regions (Figure 6A and Supplemental Table S2). EMSAs were then performed to test whether the CsMADS6 protein binds these promoters. Due to the incomplete annealing of long probe sequences, we observed bands representing the free probes of *PDS* and *CCD1* that did not influence the binding of CsMADS6 to the promoter fragments. When the labeled probes were incubated with the purified CsMADS6 protein, a specific shifted band was detected for each probe. After an excess of unlabeled probe was added, the shifted bands disappeared, suggesting that CsMADS6 specifically bound to these DNA fragments (Figure 6B).

We used a dual-luciferase assay to evaluate the interactions between the CsMADS6 protein and the promoters of *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1 in viv*o. The promoter sequences of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1* were successfully fused to the *LUC* reporter gene (Figure 6C). As shown in Figure 6D, CsMADS6 strongly activated these three promoters, especially the *CCD1* promoter (approximately 7-fold). Thus, in addition to

activating the promoter of *LCYb1*, CsMADS6 also directly bound and activated the promoters of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1*.

CsMADS6 affects carotenoid profiles, plastid ultrastructure, and carotenogenic gene expression in transgenic tomato

To better understand the function of *CsMADS6* in the carotenoid metabolism of fruit, we ectopically overexpressed it in tomato, which is an optimal model system for this study because tomato is easily transformed and can produce fleshy fruit within a relatively short life cycle. Phenotypic characterization revealed that the transgenic sepals were fused, succulent, and exhibited the characteristics of fruit development with the color changing from green to orange and, ultimately, to red (Figure 7A). Three independent single-copy transgenic lines (Ox-61, Ox-62, and Ox-65) that accumulated high levels of *CsMADS6* transcript and protein were chosen for further investigation (Figure 7B).

The major carotenoid in ripening tomato fruits is lycopene, followed by *β*-carotene and then lutein (Ronen et al., 2000; Burns et al., 2003). Tomato fruit pericarps from the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines exhibited decreased levels of lutein, increased levels of *β*-carotene, and no changes in the levels of lycopene and total carotenoids relative to the control (Figure 7C). In addition, we compared the carotenoid profiles of the sepals from the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines to the wild type (WT). Lutein is a photosynthetic pigment that accounts for the major fraction of carotenoids in the tomato sepal. In contrast to significantly reduced lutein and *β*-carotene content, lycopene levels in transgenic sepals dramatically increased to much higher levels than the trace amounts found in the WT sepals. Indeed, the carotenoid profiles of the transgenic sepals were similar to those of the pericarps from the WT or the transgenic lines (Figure 7D). Chlorophylls, which typically accumulate to high levels in WT sepals, were reduced to trace levels in the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing sepals (Supplemental Figure S2). Based on these data, we concluded that the increased lycopene and decreased chlorophyll content was responsible for the red appearance of the transgenic sepals, which had carotenoid profiles similar to those of the fruit pericarps.

Carotenoids are stored in plastids, especially in chromoplasts (Vishnevetsky et al., 1999; Li and Yuan, 2013). We used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to observe the plastid ultrastructure of transgenic tomato fruit. No significant differences

were discovered in the ultrastructure of plastids from the pericarps of WT (Figure 8A and 8E) and transgenic (Figure 8B and 8F) tomato fruit. However, remarkable changes in plastid ultrastructure were observed in the sepals of the transgenic plants overexpressing *CsMADS6*. Instead of the spindle-shaped chloroplasts found in the WT sepals (Figure 8C and 8G), the plastids in the sepals of *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines were small, round, contained numerous plastoglobuli (Figure 8D and 8H), and thus resembled chromoplasts from the pericarps of the WT and the transgenic lines. This type of plastid ultrastructure was consistent with the accumulation of red lycopene to high levels in the sepals of *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines.

The expression of carotenoid biosynthetic genes in WT and transgenic tomato fruit pericarps was further examined by RT-qPCR. The results showed that in the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines, the expression levels of *LCYb1*, *PSY*, *PDS*, *CRTISO*, *CYCB* (the second functional *LCYb* gene in tomato fruits), *BCH*, *ECH* (*α*-carotene hydroxylase), and *CCD1* were all significantly up-regulated relative to the WT. However, the mRNA levels of *LCYe* were repressed and the expression of *ZEP* was not significantly altered in the transgenic lines (Figure 9A). We also determined the transcript levels of *LCYb1* and other carotenoid biosynthetic genes in the transgenic sepals of *CsMADS6*. We found that the mRNA levels of *PSY* in the transgenic sepals were approximately 100-fold greater than in the WT (Figure 9B). The mRNA levels of both *CRTISO* and *CCD1* increased sharply, and the mRNA levels of *PDS* were also elevated in the transgenic sepals. In contrast, the expression of *LCYe*, *LCYb1*, *ECH* and *ZEP* was significantly down-regulated in the transgenic sepals. The transcript levels of *CYCB* and *BCH* were not significantly different from their controls. The above analyses indicated that CsMADS6 affected carotenoid profiles and carotenogenic gene expression in transgenic tomato pericarps and sepals and also significantly promoted plastid development and chromoplast formation in transgenic tomato sepals.

Global transcriptome changes contribute to the dramatic carotenoid changes in transgenic tomato sepals

Since the carotenoid profiles and carotenogenic gene expression in transgenic tomato sepals dramatically changed, we performed RNA-seq analysis to investigate the global transcriptome changes caused by *CsMADS6* overexpression. The transcriptome of the transgenic sepals was compared with that of the WT sepals

(M6SvsWTS) and the WT pericarps (M6SvsWTR). A total of 4435 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were found in the M6SvsWTS comparison. A total of 2983 DEGs were identified in the M6SvsWTR comparison (Supplemental Figure S3). A full list of DEGs can be found in Supplemental Table S3. A correlation analysis revealed a high degree of consistency between the transcript abundance determined by RT-qPCR and RNA-seq (Supplemental Figure S4). Hierarchical clustering analysis indicated that the expression patterns were classified into seven groups and the expression profiles in M6S were more similar to those of WTR than to the expression profiles of WTS (Supplemental Figure S5). GO and KEGG analyses showed that the DEGs from the M6SvsWTS comparison were largely involved in metabolism, and that the DEGs from the M6SvsWTR comparison were principally associated with photosynthesis (Supplemental Figure S6). For subsequent analysis, we mainly focused on the DEGs that were identified from the M6SvsWTS comparison. A shortlist of DEGs, which is discussed below, is provided in Supplemental Table S4. The expression changes are provided in Figure 10A. Transcriptional regulation by the overexpression of *CsMADS6* at a global level is schematically represented in Figure 10B.

In accordance with the preceding RT-qPCR analysis, the RNA-seq data revealed significantly high levels of *PSY* and *CRTISO* transcripts and low levels of *LCYe* transcripts in transgenic sepals. The expression levels of *LCYb1* (Solyc04g040190) were not found (NA) due to the method that we used to quantify the RNA-seq data. The nucleotide sequence of *LCYb2* (Solyc10g079480) shares 85% similarity with *LCYb1* and the expression levels of *LCYb2* were significantly down-regulated in transgenic sepals as determined by RNA-seq. Moreover, the expression levels of several late carotenoid metabolic genes that are involved in the enzymatic degradation of carotenoids for the production of abscisic acid (ABA) and strigolactones (SLs), such as *NCED* and *D27* (*beta-carotene isomerase D27*), were greatly reduced in the transgenic sepals. The expression of genes involved in pathways other than the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway was also significantly affected by the overexpression of *CsMADS6*. For example, two predominant genes, *PFK* (*6-phosphofructokinase*) and *PK* (*pyruvate kinase*), which encode enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolisms and that produce pyruvate, were significantly up-regulated in the M6SvsWTS comparison. *PDH* (*pyruvate dehydrogenase*), which encodes an enzyme that catalyzes the decarboxylation of pyruvate to eventually produce acetyl-CoA for

the citrate cycle (TCA cycle), was significantly down-regulated. Low transcript levels of *ADH* (*alcohol dehydrogenase*), which is involved in anaerobic respiration, were observed. Moreover, the transcript levels of many genes involved in secondary metabolic pathways other than the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway notably changed in the M6SvsWTS comparison. For example, the transcript abundances of two phenylpropanoid related genes, *PAL* (*phenylalanine ammonia-lyase*) and *4CL* (*4-coumarate-CoA ligase*), were substantially repressed. The mevalonate (MVA) and methylerythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathways provide necessary precursors (isopentenyl pyrophosphate, IPP; dimethylallyl diphosphate, DMAPP; geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate, GGPP) for the biosynthesis of many secondary metabolites, including carotenoids, chlorophyll, plastoquinone and tocopherol. The transcript levels of key genes, including *MPD* (*mevalonate disphosphate decarboxylase*), *DXS* (*1-D-deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate synthase*), *CMK* (*4-diphosphocytidyl-2-C-methyl-D-erythritol kinase*) and *GGPS* (*geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase*), which encode enzmes involved in the biosynthesis of IPP, DMAPP and GGPP were significantly increased. We also determined that the expression of genes associated with many secondary metabolic pathways that are located downstream of the MVA and MEP pathways were significantly repressed. For example, the transcript levels of the genes encoding IPT (tRNA dimethylallyl transferase), which is involved in catalyzing the biosynthesis of DMAPP into zeatin, and MNR (neomenthol dehydrogenase), which is involved in catalyzing the conversion of IPP into monoterpenoid, were both reduced. Additionally, genes that encode enzymes that are critical for utilizing GGPP for the synthesis of other metabolites were also significantly down-regulated in transgenic sepals; these genes include *GA2ox* (*gibberellin 2-oxidase*, responsible for GA biosynthesis), *PORA* (*protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase*, chlorophyll biosynthesis), *ICS* (*isochorismate synthase*, phylloquinone biosynthesis), and *MPHQMT* (*methyl-6-phytyl-1,4-benzoquinone methyltransferase*, plastoquinol and tocopherol biosynthesis). These results indicated that the main carotenoid biosynthesis pathway, which proceeds through IPP and GGPP, was active and that the synthesis of other metabolites was partially blocked by the overexpression of *CsMADS6*.

Plant hormones, as a class of small molecules present in low abundance, play important roles at various points in the life cycles of plants. RNA-seq data showed that the transcript levels of genes involved in hormone biosynthesis were significantly

changed. For example, the transcript levels of *ACO1* (*1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase 1*) and *ACS2/4* (*1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase 2/4*), which encode enzymes for ethylene biosynthesis, and *CYP85A* (*Dwarf, brassinosteroid-6-oxidase*), which encodes an enzyme involved in brassinosteroid biosynthesis, were expressed at much higher levels in transgenic sepals than in the controls.

Many DEGs that were annotated as transcription factors and regulators were differentially expressed in the M6SvsWTS comparison. The most differentially expressed regulatory genes belonged to the AP2/ERF, MADS, MYB, bHLH, and WRKY families (Supplemental Figure S7). The expression levels of many fruit ripening-related TFs, such as *RIN*, *FUL*, and *NOR* (*non-ripening*) were significantly up-regulated in the transgenic sepals. We also observed the down-regulation of other fruit ripening-related TFs such as *HB-1* (*HD-Zip homeobox*) and *GLK1* (*Golden 2-like*). The expression of *TAGL1*, a *CsMADS6* homolog in tomato, did not vary significantly.

Discussion

Carotenoids are important secondary metabolites in plants. *LCYb1* is known to play an important role in plant carotenoid metabolism, but the transcriptional regulation of *LCYb1* is not well understood. Citrus fruit is rich in carotenoids. Thus, understanding the mechanisms that regulate carotenoid metabolism is of great significance to the citrus industry. In this study, we identified a citrus MADS TF, CsMADS6, with potential roles in regulating the expression of *LCYb1* and the accumulation of carotenoids. CsMADS6 belongs to the AGAMOUS-like subfamily and is homologous to the TAGL1 protein from tomato (Figure 1). Previous studies have reported that the carotenoid profiles and the transcript levels of biosynthetic genes are significantly influenced by the overexpression or suppression of tomato *TAGL1* (Itkin et al., 2009; Vrebalov et al., 2009). In addition, we found that *CsMADS6* was strongly expressed in a ripening-specific manner (i.e., associated with the accumulation of carotenoids in citrus fruit) (Figure 2) (Liu et al., 2007). These data are consistent with CsMADS6 contributing to carotenoid metabolism. We subsequently obtained direct evidence to support this idea.

CsMADS6 is a direct and positive regulator of *LCYb1*

Using a variety of approaches (i.e., Y1H, EMSA, and dual-luciferase assay), we first demonstrated that CsMADS6 directly binds to the promoter of *LCYb1* and activates the promoter activity of *LCYb1* (Figure 4). In addition, we found that transcript abundance of *LCYb1* was significantly higher in *CsMADS6*-overexpressing citrus calli (Figure 5D) and *CsMADS6*-overexpressing tomato pericarps (Figure 9A) than that in the controls, which provided more evidence that CsMADS6 induced the expression of *LCYb1*. Previous studies have reported that the expression of *LCYb* genes is higher in tomato *TAGL1*-RNA interference lines, which is consistent with TAGL1 negatively regulating the expression of *LCYb* (Vrebalov et al., 2009). However, in this study, the transcript levels of *LCYb* genes were higher in *CsMADS6*-overexpressing tomato pericarps than those in the control (Figure 9A), which is consistent with CsMADS6 positively regulating the expression of *LCYb* in tomato pericarps. These findings indicated that CsMADS6 and its homolog (TAGL1) both regulated the expression of *LCYb* in the pericarp of tomato, but the nature of their regulatory activity was distinct. Citrus fruits mainly accumulate xanthophylls, such as violaxanthin and *β*-cryptoxanthin, but rarely accumulate lycopene (Kato et al., 2004; Fanciullino et al., 2006). During the development of citrus fruit, the transcript levels of *LCYb* genes gradually increases and the expression patterns of *LCYb* and *CsMADS6* were similar (Kato et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2016). In contrast, during the development of tomato fruit, the expression of *LCYb* genes was gradually down-regulated and the expression patterns of *LCYb* and *TAGL1* were inversely associated. The down-regulation of *LCYb* expression is followed by the accumulation of large quantities of lycopene in fruit (Pecker et al., 1996; Ronen et al., 1999, 2000). It is therefore reasonable to suggest that *LCYb* expression is positively regulated by CsMADS6 and negatively regulated by TAGL1, showing the species-specific regulation of *LCYb* expression by AGAMOUS-like MADS TFs.

CsMADS6 promotes carotenoid metabolism by directly regulating the expression of *LCYb1* and other carotenogenic genes, including *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1*

This study found that the overexpression of *CsMADS6* resulted in increased *β*-branch carotenoid content in both transgenic citrus calli (Figure 5C) and tomato pericarps (Figure 7C). It is difficult to suppress fruit-specific MADS genes in citrus, which are perennial woody trees. However, previous studies have reported that silencing its homolog in tomato leads to significantly lower amounts of carotenoids in

fruit, and these changes correlate with reduction in the transcript levels of *PSY* and other biosynthetic genes (Itkin et al., 2009; Vrebalov et al., 2009). Collectively, these findings indicate that CsMADS6 positively modulates carotenoid metabolism. This study discovered that many carotenogenic genes other than *LCYb1*, such as *PSY*, were affected by the overexpression of *CsMADS6* (Figure 5D and 9A). PSY is generally accepted as a key determinant of total carotenoids in a number of fruits, such as tomato (Fraser et al., 1994; Bramley, 2004) and citrus fruits (Kato et al., 2004; Tao et al., 2007). In this study, we found that the levels of total carotenoids were consistently enhanced when the transcript levels of *PSY* were enhanced in the *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines. The high levels of *LCYb1*, *LCYb2* and *HYD*, together with the reduced levels of *LCYe*, directed lycopene into the *β*-branch of carotenoid synthesis, resulting in elevated levels of violaxanthin in *CsMADS6*-overexpressing citrus calli (Figure 5C), which resembles the carotenogenesis that occurs in the ripening fruit of sweet orange (Kato et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2007). In transgenic tomato, the altered expression levels of carotenoid biosynthetic genes similarly increased the levels of the *β*-branch carotenoids in *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines (Figure 7C). In contrast to the greatly increased transcript levels from the biosynthetic genes, especially *PSY* and *PDS*, carotenoid profiles changed little in transgenic calli. Lätari et al. (2015) determined that the unchanged carotenoid levels in the leaves of *Arabidopsis AtPSY*-overexpressing lines were due to CCD4 cleaving specific xanthophyll molecules to yield high levels of C_{13} apocarotenoid glycosides. Thus, the discordance produced by this study may be partially explained by the increased transcript levels of *CCD1*, which catalyzes the enzymatic degradation of carotenoids to yield volatile compounds such as *β*-cyclocitral or other apocarotenoids (Simkin et al., 2004). It is difficult to detect these derivatives because of their low content in citrus calli.

Overexpressing *CsMADS6* in tomato sepals dramatically altered the carotenoid profiles and the expression of many carotenoid-associated genes (Figure 7D and 9B). Similar phenomena have been reported by previous studies of homologous genes from tomato and peach, which is consistent with the conservation of transcriptional control of fruit ripening by the AGAMOUS-like MADS regulators in climacteric and non-climacteric species (Itkin et al., 2009; Tadiello et al., 2009; Vrebalov et al., 2009). The extent of the changes was relatively greater in the transgenic sepals than in the transgenic pericarps. We also discovered that overexpression of *CsMADS6* had

different effects on gene expression in transgenic pericarps and sepals (Figure 9). For example, tomato *LCYb1* was significantly up-regulated in transgenic pericarps but down-regulated in transgenic sepals. These findings are consistent with the tissue type influencing the transcriptional regulation by CsMADS6. Jinseo et al. (2010) reported that the number of genes up-regulated by OsNAC10 in rice (*Oryza sativa*) is different in roots and leaves and that the expression of only four genes is up-regulated in both organs. Alternatively, Bovy (2002) reported that the LC/C1 TF effect on flavonoid gene expression is much more modest in leaves than in fruit because the flavonoid pathway is already active in WT leaves. Similarly, the moderate changes in transgenic tomato pericarps may be due to the high activity of the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway in tomato pericarps. We cannot rule out the possibility that this expression in tomato sepals and the significantly different expression of other ripening-related TFs, that were revealed by RNA-seq data, are coordinately regulated (Figure 10).

Further investigation demonstrated that CsMADS6 could bind directly to the promoters and up-regulate the expression of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1* (Figure 6). In the dual-luciferase assays, we found that CsMADS6 induced higher levels of activation of the *CCD1* promoter than those of the *PSY* and *PDS* promoters (Figure 6D). Espley (2009) demonstrated that in the presence of the MYB10 effector, the expression of the *LUC* reporter increases when the number of *cis*-acting elements in the promoter increases. The numbers of the predicted CArG elements in the promoter of *CCD1* (5) were more than those of *PSY* (2) and *PDS* (3) (Supplemental Table S2), possibly suggesting a positive correlation between the number of CArG elements and the activation of the promoter by CsMADS6. Our results of the dual-luciferase assays displayed the ability of CsMADS6 to activate the two promoter fragments of *LCYb1* (LP1 and LP2). However, a deletion from LP1 to LP2 decreased the numbers of CArG elements, leading to high levels of activation by CsMADS6. These data imply that the sequence context locating from LP1 to LP2 may affect the binding affinity or activation of CsMADS6 to the promoter of *LCYb1*. The increased expression of *CRTISO*, *LCYb2* and *HYD* in transgenic lines may also be regulated by CsMADS6 through the possible CArG elements discovered in their promoters (Supplemental Table S2). Hu et al. (2015) identified a HD-ZIP TF, *SlHZ24*, that modulates ascorbate levels by targeting the genes encoding three members of the D-mannose/L-galactose (D-Man/L-Gal) biosynthetic pathway. ChIP-seq analyses indicate that the tomato FUL homologs generally regulate carotenoid metabolism and that RIN specially regulates

602 the accumulation of lycopene (Fujisawa et al., 2013; 2014). This study clearly shows
603 that, similar to the FUL homologs, CsMADS6 affects carotenoid metabolism by
604 directly regulating the expression of multiple genes that contribute to carotenoid
605 biosynthesis.

606 In this study, we found that the expression of *HY5*, *RAP2.2* and *PIF1*
607 significantly changed in transgenic citrus calli (Supplemental Figure S1). We propose
608 that CsMADS6 may directly target the genes encoding these three TFs because of the
609 presence of CArG elements in their promoters (Supplemental Table S2). Previous
610 studies demonstrate that PIF1 binds directly to *PSY* and down-regulates its expression.
611 In contrast, HY5 acts antagonistically to activate *PSY* expression (Toledo-Ortiz et al.,
612 2010, 2014). In the *Arabidopsis* root-derived calli, the decrease in *AtRAP2.2* transcript
613 levels in a T-DNA insertion line leads to the down-regulated expression of *PSY* and
614 *PDS*, which have the AtRAP2.2-binding motif in their promoters (Welsch et al., 2007).
615 Based on these data, we suggest that the high expression of *PSY* and *PDS*, which have
616 the binding sites for HY5, RAP2.2 and PIF1 in their promoters (Supplemental Table
617 S2), is synergistically enhanced by the elevated expression of both *HY5* and *RAP2.2*
618 and the repression of *PIF1* in transgenic citrus calli. Moreover, previous studies
619 reported that carotenoids and their derivatives, such as *β*-cyclocitral and
620 dihydroactinidiolide, act as retrograde signals to regulate the expression of genes in
621 the nucleus (Ramel et al., 2012; Shumbe et al., 2014). Expression of a *Daucus carota*
622 *DcLCYb1* in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) induces a positive feedback affecting the
623 expression of key carotenogenic genes, *NtPSY1*, *NtPSY2* and *NtLCYb* (Moreno et al.,
624 2016). These data permit us to propose that the significantly increased transcript
625 levels of *PSY* and *PDS* that are a consequence of *CsMADS6* expression may result
626 from positive feedback regulation, mediated by a molecule produced by the increased
627 expression of *CCD1*, which cleaves *β*-carotene and violaxanthin (Figure 5C and 5D).

628 Based on the results presented here and elsewhere, we propose a model to
629 elucidate the transcriptional regulation of carotenoid metabolism by CsMADS6
630 (Figure 11). CsMADS6 coordinately and positively modulates carotenoid metabolism
631 by directly regulating the expression of *LCYb1*, *PSY*, *PDS*, and *CCD1*. The
632 up-regulated expression of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *LCYb1*, together with the altered
633 expression of other carotenogenic genes, induces carotenoid biosynthesis and directs
634 flux into the *β*-branch, consequently forming more *β,β*-carotenoids in the transgenic
635 lines. The up-regulated expression of *CCD1* balances carotenoid content and

composition and also permits the production of apocarotenoids, such as *β*-cyclocitral, which may act as retrograde signal(s) that regulate the expression of carotenogenic genes. Moreover, CsMADS6 probably induces the notably high expression of *PSY* and *PDS* by an indirect mechanism that involves regulating the expression of *HY5*, *RAP2.2* and *PIF1*. The feedback regulation by apocarotenoids and the indirect regulation by other TFs (indicated with dashed lines) remains to be determined. This model enriches our understanding of the complex transcriptional regulation of carotenoid metabolism in plants.

CsMADS6 reprograms transcriptional networks to direct metabolic flux into the carotenoid pathway

Global transcriptome analysis of transgenic tomato sepals identified many genes whose expression was significantly affected by *CsMADS6* overexpression (Supplemental Table S3). Consistent with the observed phenotypic changes, genes related to chlorophyll metabolism and photosynthesis were significantly down-regulated, while the expression of genes associated with carotenoid biosynthesis was dramatically increased. The transcript levels of key genes, such as *NCED* and *D27*, involved in the enzymatic degradation of carotenoids were significantly repressed in the sepals of transgenic tomato. Sun et al. (2012) reported that suppression of *SlNCED1* produces deep-red fruit with increased accumulation of lycopene and *β*-carotene. We propose that the notably increased lycopene content in transgenic sepals could not only be a result of enhanced carbon flow to carotenoid production but also the inhibition of carotenoid degradation. Furthermore, this study found that the plastids in transgenic sepals developed into chromoplast structures (Figure 8), which are responsible for the attractive colors of fruits and flowers (Vishnevetsky et al., 1999; Li and Yuan, 2013). Considering these results, the CsMADS6 protein is involved in three processes, promoting biosynthesis, repressing degradation, and stabilizing storage, to facilitate specific carotenoid (lycopene) accumulation in transgenic tomato sepals.

Large-scale transcriptome analysis identified many DEGs involved in other biological processes and metabolic pathways (Figure 10 and Supplemental Table S4). For example, two key genes, *PK* and *PFK*, which are involved in primary metabolism were significantly up-regulated, resulting in the production of pyruvate, an important intermediate linking primary and secondary metabolism. The expression of genes

encoding enzymes that catabolize pyruvate by anaerobic respiration or through the TCA cycle was repressed. In contrast, the expression of genes that contribute to the MVA and MEP pathways, which supply precursors for the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, was significantly induced. The expression of genes associated with the pathways of secondary metabolism other than the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway was significantly repressed. These findings indicate that CsMADS6 can regulate the expression of carotenoid biosynthetic genes and reprogram carbon flux towards carotenoid synthesis. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2015) showed that the AtMYB12 TF not only increased flavonoid biosynthesis but also increased primary metabolism to fuel the phenylalanine biosynthesis, thus enabling effective production of phenylpropanoids in transgenic tomato fruit. Previous studies reported that phytohormones, such as ethylene (Klee and Giovannoni, 2011) and brassinosteroids (Lanahan et al., 1994; Liu et al., 2014), and TFs could act cooperatively and independently to promote carotenoid biosynthesis (Giovannoni, 2007; Gapper et al., 2013). This study showed that the expression of key genes involved in ethylene and brassinosteroid biosynthesis significantly increased. Moreover, the expression of many fruit ripening-related genes that encode TFs, such as *RIN*, *NOR*, *FUL1* and *FUL2*, changed in transgenic sepals. These findings indicate that the increased levels of ethylene and brassinosteroid biosynthetic genes, as well as those of the fruit ripening-related TFs, may assist in the accumulation of carotenoids. A previous study reported that the endogenous activity of *TAGL1* might be interfered by the ectopic expression of peach *PpPLENA* (a *TAGL1* homolog) (Tadiello et al., 2009). The current study found that *TAGL1* expression was not significantly altered in transgenic tomato, implying that the transcriptional changes in transgenic sepals are regulated by CsMADS6 and not its homolog from tomato. However, the roles of other TFs that were affected by *CsMADS6* overexpression certainly cannot be excluded. Later, we will conduct transcriptome analysis of transgenic citrus calli to avoid the possibly indirect effects caused by these ripening regulators and to deeply understand the transcriptional regulatory functions of citrus CsMADS6.

Based on the above analysis, we provide a schematic of a global view of the transcriptional regulation by CsMADS6 (Figure 10B). This view states that CsMADS6 coordinately regulates a series of pathways, including primary pathways, secondary pathways, and phytohormone and TF levels, to synergistically promote the accumulation of large amounts of a specific carotenoid (lycopene) in transgenic sepals.

The multiple regulatory functions of CsMADS6 indicate that it plays important roles in the reprogramming of transcriptional networks to direct metabolic flux into the carotenoid pathway.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we identified a citrus MADS TF named CsMADS6 that regulates carotenoid metabolism by directly regulating the expression of carotenogenic genes. Moreover, CsMADS6 coordinates many other metabolic pathways to synergistically promote the biosynthesis and accumulation of carotenoids. The manipulation of *CsMADS6* expression may therefore be useful for manipulating the carotenoid content in citrus and other fruits. Thus, this study describes a new MADS regulon that enables our understanding of the physiological relevance of MADS proteins in carotenoid metabolism. In the future, we will combine transcriptome analysis with ChIP assays to thoroughly identify the direct targets of CsMADS6 to better understand carotenoid metabolism in plants. Moreover, we will attempt to analyze sequence polymorphisms and expression of CsMADS6 among different citrus varieties that exhibit different fruit colors and use CRISPR/Cas9 to knock down *CsMADS6* in citrus to learn more about its role in the regulation of carotenoid metabolism in citrus fruit.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

The citrus materials used in this study were from the ‘Hong Anliu’ sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*). Roots (R), stems (S) and leaves (L) were sampled from six-leaf stage plantlets. Fruits at six developmental stages (60, 90, 120, 150, 180, and 220 DAF) were collected from mature trees growing in the orchard of the National Citrus Breeding Center at Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, China). All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until they were used.

Gene isolation and sequence analysis

The full-length coding sequence (CDS) of *CsMADS6* was amplified using PCR with the primers listed in Supplemental Table S5. The conserved domains were analyzed using the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (CDD, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd) and the ExPASy prosite Database (http://prosite.expasy.org/). A set of associated MADS protein sequences was

downloaded from GenBank or other genome databases. Multiple sequence alignments were prepared using ClustalX and visualized in GeneDoc. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA software with the neighbor-joining method. The reliability of the nodes in the tree was evaluated by boot-strapping with 1000 replicates.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from all samples using the TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the HiScript II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (+gDNA wiper) (Vazyme). Reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed with a Roche LightCycler 480 system using the 2× LightCycler 480 SYBR Green master mix (Roche) and a three-step program: pre-incubation at 95°C for 10 min; 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 20 s; followed by a melting curve at 95°C for 5 s, 65°C for 1 min, then ramping at 0.11°C/s to 97°C with continuous fluorescence measurement. Gene-specific primers used for RT-qPCR were designed using the PrimerExpress software. *Actin* (*Act*) was chosen as the endogenous control. Fluorescence was measured at each extension step. Each run contained a negative control (water in place of cDNA) and each reaction was performed in triplicate. The reaction specificity was confirmed by the negative control and a T_m Calling analysis. The data were analyzed using LightCycler 480 software release 1.5.0 (Roche).

Protein extraction and immunoblotting

The CsMADS6 protein was detected in extracts prepared from ‘Hong Anliu’ sweet orange fruits at six developmental stages (60, 90, 120, 150, 180, and 220 DAF). Anti-CsMADS6 polyclonal antibody was commissioned from Frdbio company (Wuhan). Protein extraction and immunoblotting were performed using the methods described by Pan et al. (2009) and Cao et al. (2012) with minor modifications.

Subcellular localization

The CDS from *CsMADS6* without the stop codon was amplified using PCR and cloned into the PM999 vector, in frame with the *GFP* gene. Citrus leaf protoplasts were co-transformed with the plasmid encoding CsMADS6-GFP and a plasmid encoding a nuclear marker, OsGhd7-CFP, using a PEG-based method. Florescence signals were observed with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP2,

Leica) 20 h after transformation.

Yeast one-hybrid

Y1H screening was performed using the Matchmaker Gold Yeast One-Hybrid Library Screening System (Clotech). The promoter fragments from citrus *LCYb1* and *LCYb2* were cloned into the pAbAi vector to produce the bait constructs pAbAi-LCYb1 and pAbAi-LCYb2, respectively. The bait plasmids were then linearized and integrated into the Y1HGold yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) genome to create bait strains. A citrus fruit cDNA library was constructed using SMART cDNA synthesis technology. The cDNA library was then transformed into the bait yeast cells and selected on synthetic dropout minimal medium (SD) plates lacking leucine with or without AbA. The prey fragments from the positive colonies were identified by DNA sequencing (Augct, Wuhan).

For the Y1H assay, different promoter fragments or three copies of the CArG element were cloned into the pAbAi vector to create bait constructs, respectively. The CDS of *CsMADS6* was fused to the GAL4 activation domain (AD) in the pGADT7 vector to generate a prey construct, AD-CsMADS6. The prey vector and the empty vector (AD), serving as the negative control were then transformed into yeast cells containing bait constructs, respectively. The transformed yeast cells were diluted with a 10× dilution series and dotted on the SD plates lacking leucine with or without antibiotic. The cells that grew on both media contained prey proteins and the bait sequences that interacted.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

The CDS of *CsMADS6* without the stop codon was cloned into a double-tagged expression vector to generate the recombinant vector MBP-CsMADS6-His. *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) was transformed with this construct for the expression of recombinant protein. The recombinant protein was expressed and purified using Ni-NTA agarose columns. The promoter fragments containing the CArG elements or three copies of the potential CArG elements were synthesized and labeled with FAM or Cy5 luciferase. Complementary oligonucleotides were annealed and used as EMSA probes. Unlabeled probes with the same or mutated oligonucleotides were used as cold competitors. EMSA binding reactions were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (LightShift EMSA Kit, Thermo Scientific). Cold

competitor DNA was added to at least a 20-fold excess relative to the labeled probe. The binding complexes were then loaded onto a pre-running native 6% polyacrylamide gel and electrophoresis was performed at a low temperature using 0.5× TBE (Tris-borate EDTA) buffer at 100 V for 1 h. Gel images were captured using the Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare).

Transient expression assay

For the transcriptional activity assay, the reporter vector, a derivative of pGreenII 0800-LUC, containing five copies of the GAL4 activation domain upstream of the minimal *CaMV35S* promoter and the *LUC* gene. For an internal control, the expression of the *REN* gene was driven by the CaMV35S promoter in a reporter vector. The CDS of *CsMADS6* was inserted upstream of the GAL4 DNA-binding domain in the pBD vector to generate an effector vector named pBD-CsMADS6. The empty vector was used as the negative control (pBD), while the vector containing the VP16 activation domain was used as the positive control (pBD-VP16).

For the DNA-promoter interaction assay, promoter sequences were amplified from genomic DNA using PCR and inserted upstream of the *LUC* CDS in pGreen 0800-LUC to yield the promoter-LUC reporter vectors. The CDS of *CsMADS6* was cloned into the pK2GW7 destination vector using the Gateway Cloning System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), to create an overexpression construct named pK2-CsMADS6. The empty vector pK2GW7 was used as the negative control (pK2).

All resulting constructs were introduced into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 using the freeze–thaw method or GV3101 by electroporation. Transient expression in *Nicotiana benthamiana* was performed according to the method described by Hellens et al. (2005). Luciferase activity was detected using the Dual-luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) with an Infinite200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan). Expression was expressed as the ratio of LUC to REN activity and normalized to the negative control.

Transformation of citrus calli and tomato plants

An *Agrobacterium* strain containing the overexpression vectors pK2 or pK2-CsMADS6 was used in the plant transformation experiment. The transformation of citrus calli and growth conditions were conducted as described previously (Lu et al., 2016a). The transformation of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Ailsa Craig) was

performed as described by Sun et al. (2006) with minor modifications by Bee Lynn Chew and Yu Pan (University of Nottingham). After their roots were established, the transgenic plants were transferred to soil and grown in a glasshouse alongside untransformed control tomato plants. Genomic PCR using specific primers for both the antibiotic resistance gene and the target gene was performed to verify positive transformations. Expression levels were examined by RT-PCR and immunoblotting. Insertions at single loci were identified using quantitative real-time PCR. Transgene copy numbers were estimated by reference to the endogenous single-copy *ELIP* (early light-inducible protein) gene. Overexpression of *CsMADS6* affected the reproductive development and reduced both the fruit set and seed numbers per fruit. Therefore, the subsequent physiological and biochemical determinations were carried out in the T1 generation. Tomato fruit samples were collected from the T1 progeny of three independent transgenic lines that contained single-copy transgene insertions and had high expression of *CsMADS6* and were analyzed further.

Quantitation of carotenoid and chlorophyll levels

Lyophilized samples were used for physiological measurement. Carotenoid extraction and determination were performed as described previously (Cao et al., 2012). Carotenoids were analyzed using reverse phase HPLC, which was performed in a Waters liquid chromatography system equipped with a model 1525 solvent delivery system, a model 2998 photodiode array detection (PAD) system, a model 2707 plus auto-sampler, and an Empower Chromatography Manager. The carotenoids were eluted from a C_{30} carotenoid column (250×4.6 mm; YMC, Japan). Carotenoids were identified by their characteristic absorption spectra and retention times based on the literature and standards purchased from CaroteNature (Lupsingen, Switzerland). Carotenoids were quantified using calibration curves that were prepared for violaxanthin (Vio), lutein (Lut), β-carotene (β-car), and lycopene (Lyc). The levels of α-carotene (α-car) in citrus calli were calculated with the peak area [peak area × volume (μL)] / (weight (g) × 10^6). The peak areas of lycopene were quantified at the wavelength of 471 nm, while those of other carotenoids were quantified at 450 nm. The UV spectra for all of the carotenoids identified in this study are provided in the Supplemental Figure S8.

Chlorophyll extraction and determination were performed as described by Wellburn (1994). The absorbance at 663 nm (A663) and 645 nm (A645) was

874 determined using the Infinite200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan). We used the
875 following formula: total Chl (mg/g) = (8.04A663 + 20.2A645) × volume (L) / dry
876 weight (g); Chl a (mg/g) = (12.72A663 – 2.69A645) × volume (L) / dry weight (g);
877 Chl b (mg/g) = (22.89A645 – 4.68A663) × volume (L) / dry weight (g).
878
879 **Transmission electron microscopy**
880 TEM was performed as described previously (Cao et al., 2012, 2015). Fruit
881 sepals and pericarps were collected from the WT and transgenic tomato plants at the
882 mature fruit stage. All samples were hand-dissected into small squares. Excised
883 tissues were then immediately immersed in fixative solutions and sent to the electron
884 microscopy platform of Huazhong Agricultural University for subsequent
885 manipulations and procedures. TEM was imaged with a HITA-CHI H-7650
886 transmission electron microscope at 80 KV and a Gatan 832 CCD camera.
887
888 **RNA library construction and sequencing**
889 Three groups were sequenced (wild-type sepals, WTS; wild-type pericarps, WTR;
890 CsMADS6-overexpressing sepals, M6S). For each group, three independent
891 biological replicates were subjected to RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). All samples were
892 collected at the Br+5 stage of fruit development. The RNA library construction and
893 sequencing were conducted by Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co. Ltd.
894 (Beijing, China) using Illumina HiSeq. HTSeq v0.6.1 software in union mode was
895 used to count the read numbers mapped to each gene (Anders et al., 2015). The gene
896 expression levels were calculated using the commonly used FPKM (expected number
897 of fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million base pairs sequenced)
898 method (Trapnell et al., 2010). The RNA-seq quality and read numbers are
899 summarized in Supplemental Table S6. The criterion of an adjusted *P*-value < 0.05
900 was used to search DEGs, which are listed in Supplemental Table S3. Gene Ontology
901 (GO) and KEGG enrichment analyses were implemented using the GOseq R package
902 and KOBAS software, respectively (Mao et al., 2005; Young et al., 2010). HemI 1.0
903 software was used for the hierarchical clustering analysis and heatmap illustration
904 (Deng et al., 2014). The CArG element in the promoter was searched using the
905 Softberry Nsite-PL program (http://linux1.softberry.com/). Raw data were deposited
906 in the NCBI database under the accession number PRJNA432771.
907

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as a mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. Statistical analyses were conducted using the one-way ANOVA test with the Microsoft Excel program (Microsoft Office, 2010). Statistically significant differences are indicated with either * or lowercase letters ($P < 0.05$) and ** or uppercase letters ($P < 0.01$).

Accession numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the NCBI database or in the genome databases of citrus (http://citrus.hzau.edu.cn/orange/) or *Arabidopsis* (http://www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp) under the following accession numbers: *Citrus sinensis* CsMADS6 (MG594037), CsMADS1 (MG594038); *Arabidopsis thaliana* AtAG (AT4G18960), AtSHP1 (AT3G58780), AtSHP2 (AT2G42830), AtFUL (AT5G60910); *Antirrhinum majus* AmFAR (CAB42988), AmPLENA (BAI68391); *Solanum lycopersicum* SlTAG1(AAA34197), SlTAGL1 (NP_001300859), SlRIN (AAM15775); *Nicotiana tabacum* NtAG1 (AAA17033), NtSHP (AFK13160); *Vitis vinifera* VvMADS1 (AAK58564); *Prunus persica* PpPLENA (ACL31234); Fragaria × ananassa FaSHP (AGU92563). The accession numbers of the genes in the RT-qPCR analysis were provided in Supplemental Table S5.

Supplemental Data

Figure S1. Relative expression levels of *HY5*, *RAP2.2* and *PIF1* in transgenic citrus calli.

Figure S2. Chlorophyll content in transgenic tomato sepals at the Br+5 stage of fruit development.

Figure S3. Volcano plots showing the whole distribution of DEGs in the M6SvsWTS and M6SvsWTR comparisons.

Figure S4. Correlation analysis of DEGs obtained from RNA-Seq (x-axis) and RT-qPCR assays (y-axis).

Figure S5. Hierarchical clustering analysis of transcript levels obtained by RNA-seq in WTS, M6S and WTR.

Figure S6. GO terms and KEGG classifications of DEGs in the M6SvsWTS and M6SvsWTR comparisons.

Figure S7. Transcription factor families of DEGs in the M6SvsWTS (A) and

M6SvsWTR (B) comparisons.

Figure S8. HPLC analysis of carotenoids in *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines.

Table S1. A list of interacting factors identified by Y1H screening.

Table S2. Related *cis*-elements predicted in the promoters of several carotenoid-associated genes from citrus.

Table S3. DEGs from the M6SvsWTS and M6SvsWTR comparisons.

Table S4. Selected carotenoid-associated DEGs from the M6SvsWTS comparison.

Table S5. Primers and probes used in this study.

Table S6. Summary of read numbers based on the RNA-seq data.

Acknowledgments

We greatly appreciate the time and effort of Prof. Robert M. Larkin in editing the English language of this article. We thank Prof. Jihong Liu (Huazhong Agricultural University), Prof. Yujin Hao (Shandong Agricultural University), Dr. Qiang Zhao (Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences), Xiongjie Zheng and Jinlong Wu (Huazhong Agricultural University) for their comments and suggestions on this paper. We thank Prof. Juan Xu and Meiyan Shi (Huazhong Agricultural University) for providing standard curves for carotenoids; Prof. Andrew C. Allan (The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, New Zealand) for providing vectors for the dual-luciferase Assay; Prof. Wangjin Lu and Prof. Jianfei Kuang (South China Agricultural University) for providing vectors for the transcriptional activation assay; Prof. Lizhong Xiong (Huazhong Agricultural University) for providing vectors for the subcellular localization experiments. We also thank Mrs. Hongyan Zhang (Huazhong Agricultural University) for technical assistance; Prof. Junhong Zhang and Prof. Bo Ouyang (Huazhong Agricultural University) for providing tomato seeds and plantations; Huiyang Yu and Guo Ai (Huazhong Agricultural University) for guidance in tomato management; Huiyang Yu and Yue Huang for assistance in the bioinformatics analysis of the RNA-seq data.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Sequence analysis of CsMADS6.

(A) Phylogenetic analysis of CsMADS6 and related proteins from other plant species. The scale bar represents 0.1 substitutions per site. The red circle and triangle indicate CsMADS6 and CsMADS1, respectively. Arabidopsis AtFUL and tomato SlRIN were

used as outgroups. **(B)** Multiple sequence alignment of CsMADS6 and related proteins from *Arabidopsis* and tomato. The horizontal lines mark the four conserved domains.

Figure 2. Transcript and protein levels of CsMADS6 in citrus.

(A) Relative expression levels of *CsMADS6* in different tissues and at different stages of fruit ripening. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD of three replications. DAF, days after flowering. **(B**) Protein levels of CsMADS6 at different stages of fruit ripening. Act, actin protein (internal reference).

Figure 3. Subcellular localization and transcriptional activity of CsMADS6.

(A) Subcellular localization of CsMADS6 in citrus protoplasts. CsMADS6-GFP was co-transformed with OsGhd7-CFP, which was used as a nuclear marker. CsMADS6-GFP, GFP signal; OsGhd7-CFP, CFP signal; Bright field, white light; Merged, combined GFP and CFP signals. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(B**) Schematic diagrams of vectors used for the transcriptional activity assay. pBD-CsMADS6, the vector containing *CsMADS6*; pBD and pBD-VP16 served as the negative and positive control, respectively. **(C)** Transcriptional activity of CsMADS6. The transcriptional activity of CsMADS6 was quantified using a luciferase assay. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from six biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the empty vector control by one-way ANOVA tests (**, $P < 0.01$).

Figure 4. Interaction of CsMADS6 protein with the promoter of *LCYb1*.

(A) Y1H assay showing the binding of CsMADS6 to the *LCYb1* promoter. AD, an empty vector used as the negative control; AD-CsMADS6, the prey vector containing *CsMADS6*. SD/-Leu, SD medium without Leu; SD/-Leu/AbA^{400}, SD medium without Leu supplemented with AbA at the concentration of 400 ng mL^{-1}. Transformed yeast cells were dotted at 10^{-1} dilutions on the selective medium. **(B)** Probes used for EMSA. P1 and P2, two Cy5-labeled probes containing three copies of the CArG elements present in the *LCYb1* promoter. Mut, P1 and P2 mutant probes; the mutated sites are surrounded by red boxes. **(C)** EMSA showing the binding of CsMADS6 to the *LCYb1* promoter. The '+' and '−' indicate the presence and absence of the indicated probe or protein. The '20×' indicates a 20-fold excess of unlabeled probe or mutant (Mut.)

unlabeled probe. Red arrows indicate the positions of protein-DNA complexes or free probes. **(D)** Schematic diagrams of vectors used for the dual-luciferase assay. The reporter vector contained different promoter fragments of *LCYb1* fused to *LUC*. Red asterisks indicate the positions of the two CArG elements. pK, the empty vector; pK-CsMADS6, the overexpression vector containing Cs*MADS6*. **(E)** Dual-luciferase assay showing relative CsMADS6 activation of different promoter fragments of *LCYb1*. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from at least four biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the empty vector control by one-way ANOVA tests (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$).

Figure 5. Effects of Cs*MADS6* overexpression in citrus calli.

(A) Phenotypes of transgenic citrus calli. Rm, wild type; Ox-M6, *CsMADS6*-overexpressing citrus calli. **(B)** RT-PCR and immunoblotting analysis of CsMADS6 transcript and protein levels. Act, *Actin* gene (internal reference). **(C)** Carotenoid content in transgenic citrus calli. Vio, Violaxanthin; Lut, lutein; a-Car, *a*-carotene; β-Car, *β*-carotene; Total, total carotenoids. DW, dry weight. **(D)** Relative expression levels of carotenoid biosynthetic genes in transgenic citrus calli. The name of the pertinent gene is indicated on each panel. Data are represented as means ± SD of three replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the control by one-way ANOVA tests (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$).

Figure 6. Interaction of CsMADS6 protein with the promoters of *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1*.

(A) Probes used for EMSA. The predicted CArG elements are underlined in red. Numbers indicate the position relative to the ATG start codon. **(B)** EMSA showing the binding of CsMADS6 to the promoters of *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1*. The '+' and '−' indicate the presence and absence, respectively, of a probe or protein. The '20×' and '30×' indicate 20-fold and 30-fold excess, respectively, of unlabeled probes. Red arrows indicate the positions of protein-DNA complexes or free probes. **(C)** Schematic diagrams of vectors used for the dual-luciferase assay. In the reporter vectors, the promoters from *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1* are fused to the *LUC* reporter gene. pK, the empty vector; pK-CsMADS6, the *CsMADS6* overexpression vector. **(D)** Dual-luciferase assay showing relative CsMADS6 activation of the *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1* promoters. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from at least four

biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the empty vector control by one-way ANOVA tests (**, $P < 0.01$).

Figure 7. Effects of *CsMADS6* overexpression in tomato fruits.

(A) Phenotypes of transgenic tomato fruits. WT, wild type; Ox-61, Ox-62, and Ox-65, three independent *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines; MG, mature green; Br+10, ten days after breaker stage. Note the succulent sepals in the transgenic lines. Scale bar, 1 cm. **(B)** RT-PCR and immunoblotting analysis of CsMADS6 expression. Act, *Actin* gene (internal reference). **(C-D)** Carotenoid content in transgenic tomato pericarps (C) and sepals (D) at the Br+5 stage of fruit development. Lut, lutein; β-Car, *β*-carotene; Lyc, lycopene; Total, total carotenoids. DW, dry weight. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from three biological replicates. Statistically significant differences are indicated with either * or lowercase letters ($P < 0.05$) and ** or uppercase letters ($P < 0.01$) by one-way ANOVA tests. Different letters within each column indicate significant differences.

Figure 8. Transmission electron microscopy of plastid ultrastructures from transgenic tomato fruits.

(A) and **(E)** Wild-type (WT) pericarps showing disrupted plastid envelopes. **(B)** and **(F)** Transgenic pericarps exhibiting disorganized membrane systems. **(C)** and **(G)** WT sepals showing spindle-shaped plastids with many thylakoid plexuses and few plastoglobuli. **(D)** and **(H)** Transgenic sepals with globular plastids with numerous plastoglobuli and intact envelopes. E-H are the magnified views of A-D, respectively. Scale bar in A and C-D, 5 μm; scale bar in B, 10 μm; scale bar in E-H, 0.5 μm. Abbreviations / symbols: Chl, chloroplast (arrow); Chr, chromoplast (arrow); CW, cell wall (arrow); PM, plasma membrane; V, vacuole; T, thylakoid plexus; P, plastoglobuli (arrowheads); E, plastid envelope (arrow); Cr, carotenoid crystal remnant (asterisks); sms, special membrane structure.

Figure 9. Relative expression levels of carotenoid biosynthetic genes in transgenic tomato.

(A-B) Gene expression from tomato pericarps (A) and sepals (B) at the Br+5 stage of fruit development. WT, wild type; Ox-61, Ox-62, and Ox-65, three independent *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines. The name of the pertinent gene is indicated on each

panel. Data are represented as means ± SD from three replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the control by one-way ANOVA tests (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$).

Figure 10. Transcriptome effects induced by *CsMADS6* overexpression in transgenic tomato sepals.

(A) Heat map of selected carotenoid-associated DEGs from the M6SvsWTS comparison. The column represents the comparison of M6S (*CsMADS6*-overexpressing sepals) to WTS (wild-type sepals) and each row represents individual genes. Intensity of Log2 (FoldChange) is visualized using colors: red, up-regulated; blue, down-regulated. Gene IDs and names are indicated at the left of each row. **(B)** A schematic of the global transcriptional regulation by CsMADS6. Solid or dashed arrows indicate direct or indirect reaction flows in the pathway, respectively. The carotenoid-associated DEGs encoding enzymes that catalyze the reaction are shown at the side of the arrows. Red and blue texts denote the expression levels with significant increases and decreases (*P*-value < 0.05), respectively. Yellow boxes highlight the critical intermediates in the metabolic pathways. The thick red and blue arrows indicate up- and down-regulation of the metabolic flux, respectively. Other processes, including primary pathways, secondary pathways, and phytohormone and TF levels (highlighted with yellow ovals), and their relation to accumulation of large amounts of a specific carotenoid (lycopene) in the transgenic sepals are indicated.

Figure 11. A proposed model for the transcriptional regulation of carotenoid metabolism by CsMADS6.

Thick gray arrows indicate the simplified reaction flows in the pathway. The biosynthetic genes encoding enzymes that catalyze the reactions are shown at the sides of the arrows. CsMADS6 coordinately and positively modulates carotenoid metabolism by directly regulating the expression of *LCYb1*, *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1*. The up-regulated expression of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *LCYb1*, together with the altered expression of other carotenogenic genes, induces carotenoid biosynthesis and directs flux into the *β*-branch, consequently forming more *β,β*-carotenoids in the transgenic lines. The up-regulated expression of *CCD1* balances carotenoid content and composition and also permits the production of apocarotenoids, such as *β*-cyclocitral,

which may act as retrograde signal(s) that regulate the expression of carotenogenic genes. Moreover, CsMADS6 probably induces the notably high expression of *PSY* and *PDS* by an indirect mechanism that involves regulating the expression of *HY5*, *RAP2.2* and *PIF1*. The feedback regulation by apocarotenoids and the indirect regulation by other TFs (indicated with dashed lines) remains to be determined. This model enriches our understanding of the complex transcriptional regulation of carotenoid metabolism in plants.

Literature Cited

Alba R, Payton P, Fei Z, McQuinn R, Debbie P, Martin GB, Tanksley SD, Giovannoni JJ (2005) Transcriptome and selected metabolite analyses reveal multiple points of ethylene control during tomato fruit development. Plant Cell **17:** 2954-2965

Alder A, Jamil M, Marzorati M, Bruno M, Vermathen M, Bigler P, Ghisla S, Bouwmeester H, Beyer P, Al-Babili S (2012) The path from β-carotene to carlactone, a strigolactone-like plant hormone. Science **335:** 1348-1351

Alquézar B, Zacarías L, Rodrigo MJ (2009) Molecular and functional characterization of a novel chromoplast-specific lycopene β-cyclase from Citrus and its relation to lycopene accumulation. J Exp Bot **60:** 1783-1797

Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W (2015) HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics **31:** 166-169

Bartley GE, Scolnik PA (1995) Plant carotenoids: pigments for photoprotection, visual attraction, and human health. Plant Cell **7:** 1027-1038

Bemer M, Karlova R, Ballester AR, Tikunov YM, Bovy AG, Wolters-Arts M, Rossetto Pde B, Angenent GC, de Maagd RA (2012) The tomato FRUITFULL homologs TDR4/FUL1 and MBP7/FUL2 regulate ethylene-independent aspects of fruit ripening. Plant Cell **24:** 4437-4451

Bovy A, de Vos R, Kemper M, Schijlen E, Almenar Pertejo M, Muir S, Collins G, Robinson S, Verhoeyen M, Hughes S, Santos-Buelga C, van Tunen A (2002) High-Flavonol Tomatoes Resulting from the Heterologous Expression of the Maize Transcription Factor Genes LC and C1. Plant Cell **14:** 2509-2526

Bramley PM (2002) Regulation of carotenoid formation during tomato fruit ripening and development. J Exp Bot **53:** 2107-2113

Burns J, Fraser PD, Bramley PM (2003) Identification and quantification of

carotenoids, tocopherols and chlorophylls in commonly consumed fruits and vegetables. Phytochemistry **62:** 939-947

Cao HB, Wang JB, Dong XT, Han Y, Ma QL, Ding YD, Zhao F, Zhang JC, Chen HJ, Xu Q, Xu J, Deng XX (2015) Carotenoid accumulation affects redox status, starch metabolism, and flavonoid/anthocyanin accumulation in citrus. BMC Plant Biol **15:** 27

Cao HB, Zhang J, Xu JD, Ye JL, Yun Z, Xu Q, Xu J, Deng XX (2012) Comprehending crystalline β-carotene accumulation by comparing engineered cell models and the natural carotenoid-rich system of citrus. J Exp Bot **63:** 4403-4417

Chung MY, Vrebalov J, Alba R, Lee J, McQuinn R, Chung J-D, Klein P, Giovannoni J (2010) A tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) *APETALA2/ERF* gene, *SlAP2a*, is a negative regulator of fruit ripening. Plant J **64:** 936-947

Cunningham FX, Pogson B, Sun Z, McDonald KA, DellaPenna D, Gantt E (1996) Functional analysis of the beta and epsilon lycopene cyclase enzymes of Arabidopsis reveals a mechanism for control of cyclic carotenoid formation. Plant Cell **8:** 1613-1626

DellaPenna D, Pogson BJ (2006) Vitamin synthesis in plants: tocopherols and carotenoids. Annu Rev Plant Biol **57:** 711-738

Deng W, Wang Y, Liu Z, Cheng H, Xue Y (2014) HemI: a toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. PloS one **9:** e111988.

Dong TT, Hu ZL, Deng L, Wang Y, Zhu MK, Zhang JL, Chen GP (2013) A Tomato MADS-Box Transcription Factor, SlMADS1, Acts as a Negative Regulator of Fruit Ripening. Plant Physiol **163:** 1026-1036

Di MP, Kaiser S, Sies H (1989) Lycopene as the most efficient biological carotenoid singlet oxygen quencher. Arch Biochem Biophys **274:** 532-538

Edge R, Mcgarvey DJ, Truscott TG (1997) The carotenoids as anti-oxidants — a review. J Photochem Photobiol B Biol **41:**189–200

Endo T, Fujii H, Sugiyama A, Nakano M, Nakajima N, Ikoma Y, Omura M, Shimada T (2016) Overexpression of a citrus basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor (CubHLH1), which is homologous to Arabidopsis activation-tagged bri1 suppressor 1 interacting factor genes, modulates carotenoid metabolism in transgenic tomato. Plant Sci **243:** 35-48

Endo T, Shimada T, Fujii H, Omura M (2006) Cloning and characterization of 5

MADS-box cDNAs isolated from citrus fruit tissue. Sci Hortic **109:** 315-321

Espley RV, Brendolise C, Chagné D, Kutty-Amma S, Green S, Volz R, Putterill J, Schouten HJ, Gardiner SE, Hellens RP, Allan AC (2009) Multiple repeats of a promoter segment causes transcription factor autoregulation in red apples. Plant Cell **21:** 168-183

Fanciullino AL, Dhuique-Mayer C, Luro F, Casanova J, Morillon R, Ollitrault P (2006) Carotenoid diversity in cultivated citrus is highly influenced by genetic factors. J Agr Food Chem **54:** 4397-4406

Fiedor J, Burda K (2014) Potential role of carotenoids as antioxidants in human health and disease. Nutrients **6:** 466-488

Fraser PD, Bramley PM (2004) The biosynthesis and nutritional uses of carotenoids. Prog Lipid Res **43:** 228-265

Fraser PD, Truesdale MR, Bird CR, Schuch W, Bramley PM (1994) Carotenoid Biosynthesis during Tomato Fruit Development (Evidence for Tissue-Specific Gene Expression). Plant Physiol **105:** 405-413

Fu CC, Han YC, Fan ZQ, Chen JY, Chen WX, Lu WJ, Kuang JF (2016) The Papaya Transcription Factor CpNAC1 Modulates Carotenoid Biosynthesis through Activating Phytoene Desaturase Genes CpPDS2/4 during Fruit Ripening. J Agric Food Chem **64:** 5454-5463

Fu CC, Han YC, Kuang JF, Chen JY, Lu WJ (2017) Papaya CpEIN3a and CpNAC2 cooperatively regulate carotenoid biosynthesis related genes CpPDS2/4, CpLCY-e, and CpCHY-b during fruit ripening. Plant Cell Physiol **pcx149**

Fujisawa M, Nakano T, Ito Y (2011) Identification of potential target genes for the tomato fruit-ripening regulator RIN by chromatin immunoprecipitation. BMC Plant Biol **11:** 26

Fujisawa M, Nakano T, Shima Y, Ito Y (2013) A large-scale identification of direct targets of the tomato MADS box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR reveals the regulation of fruit ripening. Plant Cell **25:** 371-386

Fujisawa M, Shima Y, Nakagawa H, Kitagawa M, Kimbara J, Nakano T, Kasumi T, Ito Y (2014) Transcriptional regulation of fruit ripening by tomato FRUITFULL homologs and associated MADS box proteins. Plant Cell **26:** 89-101

Gapper NE, McQuinn RP, Giovannoni JJ (2013) Molecular and genetic regulation

of fruit ripening. Plant Mol Biol **82:** 575-591

Giovannoni JJ (2004) Genetic regulation of fruit development and ripening. Plant Cell **16:** S170-S180

Giovannoni JJ (2007) Fruit ripening mutants yield insights into ripening control. Curr Opin Plant Biol **10:** 283-289

Guo F, Zhou WJ, Zhang JC, Xu Q, Deng XX (2012) Effect of the citrus lycopene β-cyclase transgene on carotenoid metabolism in transgenic tomato fruits. Plos One **7:** e32221

Han Y, Wu M, Cao L, Yuan W, Dong M, Wang X, Chen W, Shang F (2016) Characterization of OfWRKY3, a transcription factor that positively regulates the carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase gene OfCCD4 in Osmanthus fragrans. Plant Mol Biol **91:** 485-496

Hao YW, Hu GJ, Breitel D, Liu MC, Mila I, Frasse P, Fu YY, Aharoni A, Bouzayen M, Zouine M (2015) Auxin Response Factor SlARF2 Is an Essential Component of the Regulatory Mechanism Controlling Fruit Ripening in Tomato. PLoS Genet **11:** e1005649

Hellens RP, Allan AC, Friel EN, Karen B, Karryn G, Templeton MD, Sakuntala K, Gleave AP, Laing WA (2005) Transient expression vectors for functional genomics, quantification of promoter activity and RNA silencing in plants. Plant Methods **1:** 13

Holt NE, Zigmantas D, Valkunas L, Li XP, Niyogi KK, Fleming GR (2005) Carotenoid cation formation and the regulation of photosynthetic light harvesting. Science **307:** 433-436

Hu TX, Ye J, Tao PW, Li HX, Zhang JH, Zhang YY, Ye ZB (2015) Tomato HD-Zip I transcription factor, SlHZ24, modulates ascorbate accumulation through positively regulating the D-mannose/L-galactose pathway. Plant J **85:** 16-29

Itkin M, Seybold H, Breitel D, Rogachev I, Meir S, Aharoni A (2009) TOMATO AGAMOUS-LIKE 1 is a component of the fruit ripening regulatory network. Plant J **60:** 1081-1095

Ito Y, Kitagawa M, Ihashi N, Yabe K, Kimbara J, Yasuda J, Ito H, Inakuma T, Hiroi S, Kasumi T (2008) DNA-binding specificity, transcriptional activation potential, and the rin mutation effect for the tomato fruit-ripening regulator RIN. Plant J **55:** 212-223

Jinseo J, Younshic K, Kwanghun B, Harin J, Sunhwa H, Yangdo C, Minkyun K,

1248 **Reuzeau C, Jukon K** (2010) Root-specific expression of OsNAC10 improves
1249 drought tolerance and grain yield in rice under field drought conditions. Plant
1250 Physiol **153:** 185-197
1251 **Kato M, Ikoma Y, Matsumoto H, Sugiura M, Hyodo H, Yano M** (2004)
1252 Accumulation of carotenoids and expression of carotenoid biosynthetic genes
1253 during maturation in citrus fruit. Plant Physiol **134:** 824-837
1254 **Kaufmann K, Melzer R, Theißen G** (2005) MIKC-type MADS-domain proteins:
1255 structural modularity, protein interactions and network evolution in land plants.
1256 Gene **347:** 183-198
1257 **Klee HJ, Giovannoni JJ** (2011) Genetics and control of tomato fruit ripening and
1258 quality attributes. Annu Rev Genet **45:** 41-59
1259 **Lanahan MB, Yen H-C, Giovannoni JJ, Klee HJ** (1994) The never ripe mutation
1260 blocks ethylene perception in tomato.pdf. Plant Cell **6:** 521-530
1261 **Lätari K, Wüst F, Hübner M, Schaub P, Beisel KG, Matsubara S, Beyer P,**
1262 **Welsch R** (2015) Tissue-specific apocarotenoid glycosylation contributes to
1263 carotenoid homeostasis in arabidopsis leaves. Plant physiol **pp.**15.00243
1264 **Lee JM, Joung JG, McQuinn R, Chung MY, Fei Z, Tieman D, Klee H,**
1265 **Giovannoni J** (2012) Combined transcriptome, genetic diversity and
1266 metabolite profiling in tomato fruit reveals that the ethylene response factor
1267 SlERF6 plays an important role in ripening and carotenoid accumulation. Plant
1268 J **70:** 191-204
1269 **Li L, Yuan H** (2013) Chromoplast biogenesis and carotenoid accumulation. Arch
1270 Biochem Biophys **539:** 102-109
1271 **Liu LH, Jia CG, Zhang M, Chen DL, Chen SX, Guo RF, Guo DP, Wang QM**
1272 (2014) Ectopic expression of a BZR1-1D transcription factor in
1273 brassinosteroid signalling enhances carotenoid accumulation and fruit quality
1274 attributes in tomato. Plant Biotechnol J **12:** 105-115
1275 **Liu LH, Shao ZY, Zhang M, Wang QM** (2015) Regulation of carotenoid
1276 metabolism in tomato. Mol Plant **8:** 28-39
1277 **Liu Q, Xu J, Liu YZ, Zhao XL, Deng XX, Guo LL, Gu JQ** (2007) A novel bud
1278 mutation that confers abnormal patterns of lycopene accumulation in sweet
1279 orange fruit (Citrus sinensis L. Osbeck). J Exp Bot **58:** 4161-4171
1280 **Llorente B, D'Andrea L, Ruiz-Sola MA, Botterweg E, Pulido P, Andilla J,**
1281 **Loza-Alvarez P, Rodriguez-Concepcion M** (2016) Tomato fruit carotenoid

biosynthesis is adjusted to actual ripening progression by a light-dependent mechanism. Plant J **85:** 107-119

Lu SW, Zhang Y, Zheng XJ, Zhu KJ, Xu Q, Deng XX (2016a) Isolation and Functional Characterization of a Lycopene β-cyclase Gene Promoter from Citrus. Front Plant Sci **7:** 1367

Lu SW, Zhang Y, Zheng XJ, Zhu KJ, Xu Q, Deng XX (2016b) Molecular characterization, critical amino acid identification, and promoter analysis of a lycopene β-cyclase gene from citrus. Tree Genet Genomes **12:** 106

Mao X, Cai T, Olyarchuk JG, Wei L (2005) Automated genome annotation and pathway identification using the KEGG Orthology (KO) as a controlled vocabulary. Bioinformatics **21:** 3787-3793

Martel C, Vrebalov J, Tafelmeyer P, Giovannoni JJ (2011) The tomato MADS-box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR interacts with promoters involved in numerous ripening processes in a COLORLESS NONRIPENING-dependent manner. Plant Physiol **157:** 1568-1579

Matsumoto H, Ikoma Y, Kato M, Kuniga T, Nakajima N, Yoshida T (2007) Quantification of carotenoids in citrus fruit by LC-MS and comparison of patterns of seasonal changes for carotenoids among citrus varieties. J Agr Food Chem **55:** 2356-2368

Mendes AF, Chen C, Gmitter FG, Moore GA, Costa MG (2011) Expression and phylogenetic analysis of two new lycopene β-cyclases from Citrus paradisi. Physiol Plantarum **141:** 1-10

Moise AR, Al-Babili S, Wurtzel ET (2014) Mechanistic aspects of carotenoid biosynthesis. Chem Rev **114**: 164-193

Moreno JC, Cerda A, Simpson K, Lopez-Diaz I, Carrera E, Handford M, Stange C (2016) Increased Nicotiana tabacum fitness through positive regulation of carotenoid, gibberellin and chlorophyll pathways promoted by Daucus carota lycopene β-cyclase (Dclcyb1) expression. J Exp Bot **67:** 2325-2338

Nisar N, Li L, Lu S, Khin NC, Pogson BJ (2015) Carotenoid Metabolism in Plants. Mol Plant **8**: 68-82

Niyogi KK, Björkman O, Grossman AR (1997) The roles of specific xanthophylls in photoprotection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **94**: 14162-14167

Pan ZY, Liu Q, Yun Z, Guan R, Zeng WF, Xu Q, Deng XX (2009) Comparative proteomics of a lycopene ‐ accumulating mutant reveals the important role of

oxidative stress on carotenogenesis in sweet orange (Citrus sinensis [L.] osbeck). Proteomics **9:** 5455-5470

Pecker I, Gubbay R, Cunningham Jr FX, Hirschberg J (1996) Cloning and characterisation of the cDNA for lycopene β-cyclase from tomato reveals a decrease in its expression during tomato ripening. Plant Molecular Biology **30:** 806-819

Powell AL, Nguyen CV, Hill T, Cheng KL, Figueroa-Balderas R, Aktas H, Ashrafi H, Pons C, Fernández-Muñoz R, Vicente A (2012) Uniform ripening encodes a Golden 2-like transcription factor regulating tomato fruit chloroplast development. Science **336:** 1711-1715

Ramel F, Birtic S, Ginies C, Soubigou-Taconnat L, Triantaphylidès C, Havaux M (2012) Carotenoid oxidation products are stress signals that mediate gene responses to singlet oxygen in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **109:** 5535-5540

Rodrigo MJ, Alquézar B, Alós E, Lado J, Zacarías L (2013) Biochemical bases and molecular regulation of pigmentation in the peel of Citrus fruit. Sci Hortic **163:** 46-62

Ronen G, Carmel-Goren L, Zamir D, Hirschberg J (2000) An alternative pathway to beta-carotene formation in plant chromoplasts discovered by map-based cloning of beta and old-gold color mutations in tomato. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **97:** 11102-11107

Sagor GH, Berberich T, Tanaka S, Nishiyama M, Kanayama Y, Kojima S, Muramoto K, Kusano T (2015) A novel strategy to produce sweeter tomato fruits with high sugar contents by fruit-specific expression of a single bZIP transcription factor gene. Plant Biotechnol J **14:** 1116-1126

Schwartz SH, Tan BC, Gage DA, Zeevaart JA, McCarty DR (1997) Specific oxidative cleavage of carotenoids by VP14 of maize. Science **276:** 1872-1874

Shore P, Sharrocks AD (1995) The MADS-box family of transcription factors. Eur J Biochem **229:** 1-13

Shumbe L, Bott R, Havaux M (2014) Dihydroactinidiolide, a high light-induced beta-carotene derivative that can regulate gene expression and photoacclimation in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant **7:** 1248–1251

Simkin AJ, Schwartz SH, Auldridge M, Taylor MG, Klee HJ (2004) The tomato carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 1 genes contribute to the formation of the

1350 flavor volatiles β-ionone, pseudoionone, and geranylacetone. Plant J **40:**
1351 882-892
1352 **Stigliani AL, Giorio G, D'ambrosio C** (2011) Characterization of P450 carotenoid
1353 β-and ε-hydroxylases of tomato and transcriptional regulation of xanthophyll
1354 biosynthesis in root, leaf, petal and fruit. Plant Cell Physiol **52:** 851-865
1355 **Sun H-J, Uchii S, Watanabe S, Ezura H** (2006) A highly efficient transformation
1356 protocol for Micro-Tom, a model cultivar for tomato functional genomics.
1357 Plant Cell Physiol **47:** 426-431
1358 **Sun L, Yuan B, Zhang M, Wang L, Cui M, Wang Q, Leng P** (2012) Fruit-specific
1359 RNAi-mediated suppression of SlNCED1 increases both lycopene and
1360 β-carotene contents in tomato fruit. J Exp Bot **63:** 3097-3108
1361 **Tadiello A, Pavanello A, Zanin D, Caporali E, Colombo L, Rotino GL, Trainotti**
1362 **L, Casadoro G** (2009) A PLENA-like gene of peach is involved in carpel
1363 formation and subsequent transformation into a fleshy fruit. J Exp Bot **60:**
1364 651-661
1365 **Tao NG, Hu ZY, Liu Q, Xu J, Cheng YJ, Guo LL, Guo WW, Deng XX** (2007)
1366 Expression of phytoene synthase gene (Psy) is enhanced during fruit ripening
1367 of Cara Cara navel orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck). Plant Cell Rep **26:**
1368 837-843
1369 **Toledo-Ortiz G, Huq E, Rodríguez-Concepción M** (2010) Direct regulation of
1370 phytoene synthase gene expression and carotenoid biosynthesis by
1371 phytochrome-interacting factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **107:** 11626-11631
1372 **Toledo-Ortiz G, Johansson H, Lee KP, Bou-Torrent J, Stewart K, Steel G,**
1373 **Rodriguez-Concepcion M, Halliday KJ** (2014) The HY5-PIF regulatory
1374 module coordinates light and temperature control of photosynthetic gene
1375 transcription. Plos Genet **10:** e1004416
1376 **Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, Baren MJ, Salzberg**
1377 **SL, Wold BJ, Pachter L (2010)** Transcript assembly and quantification by
1378 RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell
1379 differentiation. Nat biotechnol **28:** 511-515
1380 **Vishnevetsky M, Ovadis M, Vainstein A** (1999) Carotenoid sequestration in plants:
1381 the role of carotenoid-associated proteins. Trends Plant Sci **4:** 232
1382 **Vrebalov J, Pan IL, Arroyo AJM, McQuinn R, Chung M, Poole M, Rose J,**
1383 **Seymour G, Grandillo S, Giovannoni J** (2009) Fleshy fruit expansion and

ripening are regulated by the tomato SHATTERPROOF gene TAGL1. Plant Cell **21:** 3041-3062

Vrebalov J, Ruezinsky D, Padmanabhan V, White R, Medrano D, Drake R, Schuch W, Giovannoni J (2002) A MADS-Box Gene Necessary for Fruit Ripening at the Tomato Ripening-Inhibitor (Rin) Locus. Science **296:** 343-346

Wang SF, Lu G, Hou Z, Luo ZD, Wang TT, Li HX, Zhang JH, Ye ZB (2014) Members of the tomato FRUITFULL MADS-box family regulate style abscission and fruit ripening. J Exp Bot **65:** 3005-3014

Wellburn AR (1994) The spectral determination of chlorophylls a and b, as well as total carotenoids, using various solvents with spectrophotometers of different resolution. J Plant Physiol **144:** 307-313

Welsch R, Maass D, Voegel T, DellaPenna D, Beyer P (2007) Transcription factor RAP2.2 and its interacting partner SINAT2: Stable elements in the carotenogenesis of Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Physiol **145:** 1073-1085

Xu CJ, Fraser PD, Wang WJ, Bramley PM (2006) Differences in the carotenoid content of ordinary citrus and lycopene-accumulating mutants. J Agr Food Chem **54:** 5474-5481

Young MD, Wakefield MJ, Smyth GK, Oshlack A (2010) Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. Genome biology **11:** R14

Yuan H, Zhang J, Nageswaran D, Li L (2015) Carotenoid metabolism and regulation in horticultural crops. Hortic Res **2:** 15036

Zhang LC, Ma G, Shirai Y, Kato M, Yamawaki K, Ikoma Y, Matsumoto H (2012) Expression and functional analysis of two lycopene β-cyclases from citrus fruits. Planta **236:** 1315-1325

Zhang Y, Butelli E, Alseekh S, Tohge T, Rallapalli G, Luo J, Kawar PG, Hill L, Santino A, Fernie AR (2015) Multi-level engineering facilitates the production of phenylpropanoid compounds in tomato. Nat Commun **6:** 8635

Zhu F, Luo T, Liu CY, Wang Y, Yang HB, Yang W, Zheng L, Xiao X, Zhang MF, Xu RW, Xu JG, Zeng YL, Xu J, Xu Q, Guo WW, Larkin RM, Deng XX, Cheng YJ (2017) An R2R3-MYB transcription factor represses the transformation of alpha- and beta-branch carotenoids by negatively regulating expression of CrBCH2 and CrNCED5 in flavedo of Citrus reticulate. New Phytol **216:** 178-192



Figure 1. Sequence analysis of CsMADS6.

(A) Phylogenetic analysis of CsMADS6 and related proteins from other plant species. The scale bar represents 0.1 substitutions per site. The red circle and triangle indicate CsMADS6 and CsMADS1, respectively. Arabidopsis AtFUL and tomato SlRIN were used as outgroups. **(B)** Multiple sequence alignment of CsMADS6 and related proteins from *Arabidopsis* and tomato. The horizontal lines mark the four conserved domains.



Figure 2. Transcript and protein levels of CsMADS6 in citrus.

(A) Relative expression levels of *CsMADS6* in different tissues and at different stages of fruit ripening. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD of three replications. DAF, days after flowering. **(B)** Protein levels of CsMADS6 at different stages of fruit ripening. Act, actin protein (internal reference).



Figure 3. Subcellular localization and transcriptional activity of CsMADS6.

(A) Subcellular localization of CsMADS6 in citrus protoplasts. CsMADS6-GFP was co-transformed with OsGhd7-CFP, which was used as a nuclear marker. CsMADS6-GFP, GFP signal; OsGhd7-CFP, CFP signal; Bright field, white light; Merged, combined GFP and CFP signals. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(B**) Schematic diagrams of vectors used for the transcriptional activity assay. pBD-CsMADS6, the vector containing *CsMADS6*; pBD and pBD-VP16 served as the negative and positive control, respectively. **(C)** Transcriptional activity of CsMADS6. The transcriptional activity of CsMADS6 was quantified using a luciferase assay. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from six biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the empty vector control by one-way ANOVA tests (**, $P < 0.01$).



Figure 4. Interaction of CsMADS6 protein with the promoter of *LCYb1*.

(A) Y1H assay showing the binding of CsMADS6 to the *LCYb1* promoter. AD, an empty vector used as the negative control; AD-CsMADS6, the prey vector containing *CsMADS6*. SD/-Leu, SD medium without Leu; SD/-Leu/AbA^{400}, SD medium without Leu supplemented with AbA at the concentration of 400 ng mL^{-1}. Transformed yeast cells were dotted at 10^{-1} dilutions on the selective medium. **(B)** Probes used for EMSA. P1 and P2, two Cy5-labeled probes containing three copies of the CArG elements present in the *LCYb1* promoter. Mut, P1 and P2 mutant probes; the mutated sites are surrounded by red boxes. **(C)** EMSA showing the binding of CsMADS6 to the *LCYb1* promoter. The '+' and '−' indicate the presence and absence of the indicated probe or protein. The '20×' indicates a 20-fold excess of unlabeled probe or mutant (Mut.) unlabeled probe. Red arrows indicate the positions of protein-DNA complexes or free probes. **(D)** Schematic diagrams of vectors used for the dual-luciferase assay. The reporter vector contained different promoter fragments of *LCYb1* fused to *LUC*. Red asterisks indicate the positions of the two CArG elements. pK, the empty vector; pK-CsMADS6, the overexpression vector containing Cs*MADS6*. **(E)** Dual-luciferase assay showing relative CsMADS6 activation of different promoter fragments of *LCYb1*. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from at least four biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the empty vector control by one-way ANOVA tests (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$).



Figure 5. Effects of Cs*MADS6* overexpression in citrus calli.

(A) Phenotypes of transgenic citrus calli. Rm, wild type; Ox-M6, *CsMADS6*-overexpressing citrus calli. **(B)** RT-PCR and immunoblotting analysis of CsMADS6 transcript and protein levels. Act, *Actin* gene (internal reference). **(C)** Carotenoid content in transgenic citrus calli. Vio, Violaxanthin; Lut, lutein; a-Car, *a*-carotene; β-Car, *β*-carotene; Total, total carotenoids. DW, dry weight. **(D)** Relative expression levels of carotenoid biosynthetic genes in transgenic citrus calli. The name of the pertinent gene is indicated on each panel. Data are represented as means ± SD of three replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the control by one-way ANOVA tests (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$).



Figure 6. Interaction of CsMADS6 protein with the promoters of *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1*.

(A) Probes used for EMSA. The predicted CArG elements are underlined in red. Numbers indicate the position relative to the ATG start codon. **(B)** EMSA showing the binding of CsMADS6 to the promoters of *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1*. The '+' and '−' indicate the presence and absence, respectively, of a probe or protein. The '20×' and '30×' indicate 20-fold and 30-fold excess, respectively, of unlabeled probes. Red arrows indicate the positions of protein-DNA complexes or free probes. **(C)** Schematic diagrams of vectors used for the dual-luciferase assay. In the reporter vectors, the promoters from *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1* are fused to the *LUC* reporter gene. pK, the empty vector; pK-CsMADS6, the *CsMADS6* overexpression vector. **(D)** Dual-luciferase assay showing relative CsMADS6 activation of the *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1* promoters. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from at least four biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the empty vector control by one-way ANOVA tests (**, $P < 0.01$).



Figure 7. Effects of *CsMADS6* overexpression in tomato fruits.

(A) Phenotypes of transgenic tomato fruits. WT, wild type; Ox-61, Ox-62, and Ox-65, three independent *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines; MG, mature green; Br+10, ten days after breaker stage. Note the succulent sepals in the transgenic lines. Scale bar, 1 cm. **(B)** RT-PCR and immunoblotting analysis of CsMADS6 expression. Act, *Actin* gene (internal reference). **(C-D)** Carotenoid content in transgenic tomato pericarps (C) and sepals (D) at the Br+5 stage of fruit development. Lut, lutein; β-Car, *β*-carotene; Lyc, lycopene; Total, total carotenoids. DW, dry weight. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD from three biological replicates. Statistically significant differences are indicated with either * or lowercase letters ($P < 0.05$) and ** or uppercase letters ($P < 0.01$) by one-way ANOVA tests. Different letters within each column indicate significant differences.



Figure 8. Transmission electron microscopy of plastid ultrastructures from transgenic tomato fruits.

(A) and **(E)** Wild-type (WT) pericarps showing disrupted plastid envelopes. **(B)** and **(F)** Transgenic pericarps exhibiting disorganized membrane systems. **(C)** and **(G)** WT sepals showing spindle-shaped plastids with many thylakoid plexuses and few plastoglobuli. **(D)** and **(H)** Transgenic sepals with globular plastids with numerous plastoglobuli and intact envelopes. E-H are the magnified views of A-D, respectively. Scale bar in A and C-D, 5 μm; scale bar in B, 10 μm; scale bar in E-H, 0.5 μm. Abbreviations / symbols: Chl, chloroplast (arrow); Chr, chromoplast (arrow); CW, cell wall (arrow); PM, plasma membrane; V, vacuole; T, thylakoid plexus; P, plastoglobuli (arrowheads); E, plastid envelope (arrow); Cr, carotenoid crystal remnant (asterisks); sms, special membrane structure.



Figure 9. Relative expression levels of carotenoid biosynthetic genes in transgenic tomato.

(A-B) Gene expression from tomato pericarps (A) and sepals (B) at the Br+5 stage of fruit development. WT, wild type; Ox-61, Ox-62, and Ox-65, three independent *CsMADS6*-overexpressing lines. The name of the pertinent gene is indicated on each panel. Data are represented as means ± SD from three replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the control by one-way ANOVA tests (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$).



Figure 10. Transcriptome effects induced by *CsMADS6* overexpression in transgenic tomato sepals.

(A) Heat map of selected carotenoid-associated DEGs from the M6SvsWTS comparison. The column represents the comparison of M6S (*CsMADS6*-overexpressing sepals) to WTS (wild-type sepals) and each row represents individual genes. Intensity of Log2 (FoldChange) is visualized using colors: red, up-regulated; blue, down-regulated. Gene IDs and names are indicated at the left of each row. **(B)** A schematic of the global transcriptional regulation by CsMADS6. Solid or dashed arrows indicate direct or indirect reaction flows in the pathway, respectively. The carotenoid-associated DEGs encoding enzymes that catalyze the reaction are shown at the side of the arrows. Red and blue texts denote the expression levels with significant increases and decreases (P-value < 0.05), respectively. Yellow boxes highlight the critical intermediates in the metabolic pathways. The thick red and blue arrows indicate up- and down-regulation of the metabolic flux, respectively. Other processes, including primary pathways, secondary pathways, and phytohormone and TF levels (highlighted with yellow ovals), and their relation to accumulation of large amounts of a specific carotenoid (lycopene) in the transgenic sepals are indicated.



Figure 11. A proposed model for the transcriptional regulation of carotenoid metabolism by CsMADS6.

Thick gray arrows indicate the simplified reaction flows in the pathway. The biosynthetic genes encoding enzymes that catalyze the reactions are shown at the sides of the arrows. CsMADS6 significantly modulates carotenoid metabolism by directly and positively regulating the expression of *LCYb1*, *PSY*, *PDS* and *CCD1*. The up-regulated expression of *PSY*, *PDS*, and *LCYb1*, together with the altered expression of other carotenogenic genes, induces carotenoid biosynthesis and directs flux into the *β*-branch, consequently forming more *β,β*-carotenoids in the transgenic lines. The up-regulated expression of *CCD1* balances carotenoid content and composition and also permits the production of apocarotenoids, such as *β*-cyclocitral which may act as retrograde signal(s) that regulate the expression of carotenogenic genes. Moreover, CsMADS6 probably induces the notably high expression of *PSY* and *PDS* by an indirect mechanism that involves regulating the expression of *HY5*, *RAP2.2* and *PIF1*. The feedback regulation by apocarotenoids and the indirect regulation by other TFs (indicated with dashed lines) remains to be determined. This model enriches our understanding of the complex transcriptional regulation of carotenoid metabolism in plants.

Parsed Citations

Alba R, Payton P, Fei Z, McQuinn R, Debbie P, Martin GB, Tanksley SD, Giovannoni JJ (2005) Transcriptome and selected metabolite analyses reveal multiple points of ethylene control during tomato fruit development. Plant Cell 17: 2954-2965

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Alder A, Jamil M, Marzorati M, Bruno M, Vermathen M, Bigler P, Ghisla S, Bouwmeester H, Beyer P, Al-Babili S (2012) The path from β-carotene to carlactone, a strigolactone-like plant hormone. Science 335: 1348-1351

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Alquézar B, Zacarías L, Rodrigo MJ (2009) Molecular and functional characterization of a novel chromoplast-specific lycopene β-cyclase from Citrus and its relation to lycopene accumulation. J Exp Bot 60: 1783-1797

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W (2015) HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31: 166-169

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bartley GE, Scolnik PA (1995) Plant carotenoids: pigments for photoprotection, visual attraction, and human health. Plant Cell 7: 1027-1038

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bemer M, Karlova R, Ballester AR, Tikunov YM, Bovy AG, Wolters-Arts M, Rossetto Pde B, Angenent GC, de Maagd RA (2012) The tomato FRUITFULL homologs TDR4/FUL1 and MBP7/FUL2 regulate ethylene-independent aspects of fruit ripening. Plant Cell 24: 4437-4451

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bovy A, de Vos R, Kemper M, Schijlen E, Almenar Pertejo M, Muir S, Collins G, Robinson S, Verhoeyen M, Hughes S, Santos-Buelga C, van Tunen A (2002) High-Flavonol Tomatoes Resulting from the Heterologous Expression of the Maize Transcription Factor Genes LC and C1. Plant Cell 14: 2509-2526

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bramley PM (2002) Regulation of carotenoid formation during tomato fruit ripening and development. J Exp Bot 53: 2107-2113

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Burns J, Fraser PD, Bramley PM (2003) Identification and quantification of carotenoids, tocopherols and chlorophylls in commonly consumed fruits and vegetables. Phytochemistry 62: 939-947

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cao HB, Wang JB, Dong XT, Han Y, Ma QL, Ding YD, Zhao F, Zhang JC, Chen HJ, Xu Q, Xu J, Deng XX (2015) Carotenoid accumulation affects redox status, starch metabolism, and flavonoid/anthocyanin accumulation in citrus. BMC Plant Biol 15: 27

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cao HB, Zhang J, Xu JD, Ye JL, Yun Z, Xu Q, Xu J, Deng XX (2012) Comprehending crystalline β-carotene accumulation by comparing engineered cell models and the natural carotenoid-rich system of citrus. J Exp Bot 63: 4403-4417

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chung MY, Vrebalov J, Alba R, Lee J, McQuinn R, Chung J-D, Klein P, Giovannoni J (2010) A tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) APETALA2/ERF gene, SlAP2a, is a negative regulator of fruit ripening. Plant J 64: 936-947

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cunningham FX, Pogson B, Sun Z, McDonald KA, DellaPenna D, Gantt E (1996) Functional analysis of the beta and epsilon lycopene cyclase enzymes of Arabidopsis reveals a mechanism for control of cyclic carotenoid formation. Plant Cell 8: 1613-1626
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

DellaPenna D, Pogson BJ (2006) Vitamin synthesis in plants: tocopherols and carotenoids. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57: 711-738
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Deng W, Wang Y, Liu Z, Cheng H, Xue Y (2014) HemI: a toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. PloS one 9: e111988.
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dong TT, Hu ZL, Deng L, Wang Y, Zhu MK, Zhang JL, Chen GP (2013) A Tomato MADS-Box Transcription Factor, SlMADS1, Acts as a Negative Regulator of Fruit Ripening. Plant Physiol 163: 1026-1036
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Di MP, Kaiser S, Sies H (1989) Lycopene as the most efficient biological carotenoid singlet oxygen quencher. Arch Biochem Biophys 274: 532-538
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Edge R, Mcgarvey DJ, Truscott TG (1997) The carotenoids as anti-oxidants — a review. J Photochem Photobiol B Biol 41:189–200
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Endo T, Fujii H, Sugiyama A, Nakano M, Nakajima N, Ikoma Y, Omura M, Shimada T (2016) Overexpression of a citrus basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor (CubHLH1), which is homologous to Arabidopsis activation-tagged bri1 suppressor 1 interacting factor genes, modulates carotenoid metabolism in transgenic tomato. Plant Sci 243: 35-48
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Endo T, Shimada T, Fujii H, Omura M (2006) Cloning and characterization of 5 MADS-box cDNAs isolated from citrus fruit tissue. Sci Hortic 109: 315-321
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Espley RV, Brendolise C, Chagné D, Kutty-Amma S, Green S, Volz R, Putterill J, Schouten HJ, Gardiner SE, Hellens RP, Allan AC (2009) Multiple repeats of a promoter segment causes transcription factor autoregulation in red apples. Plant Cell 21: 168-183
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fanciullino AL, Dhuique-Mayer C, Luro F, Casanova J, Morillon R, Ollitrault P (2006) Carotenoid diversity in cultivated citrus is highly influenced by genetic factors. J Agr Food Chem 54: 4397-4406
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fiedor J, Burda K (2014) Potential role of carotenoids as antioxidants in human health and disease. Nutrients 6: 466-488
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fraser PD, Bramley PM (2004) The biosynthesis and nutritional uses of carotenoids. Prog Lipid Res 43: 228-265
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fraser PD, Truesdale MR, Bird CR, Schuch W, Bramley PM (1994) Carotenoid Biosynthesis during Tomato Fruit Development (Evidence for Tissue-Specific Gene Expression). Plant Physiol 105: 405-413
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fu CC, Han YC, Fan ZQ, Chen JY, Chen WX, Lu WJ, Kuang JF (2016) The Papaya Transcription Factor CpNAC1 Modulates Carotenoid

Biosynthesis through Activating Phytoene Desaturase Genes CpPDS2/4 during Fruit Ripening. J Agric Food Chem 64: 5454-5463
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fu CC, Han YC, Kuang JF, Chen JY, Lu WJ (2017) Papaya CpEIN3a and CpNAC2 cooperatively regulate carotenoid biosynthesis related genes CpPDS2/4, CpLCY-e, and CpCHY-b during fruit ripening. Plant Cell Physiol pcx149
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fujisawa M, Nakano T, Ito Y (2011) Identification of potential target genes for the tomato fruit-ripening regulator RIN by chromatin immunoprecipitation. BMC Plant Biol 11: 26
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fujisawa M, Nakano T, Shima Y, Ito Y (2013) A large-scale identification of direct targets of the tomato MADS box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR reveals the regulation of fruit ripening. Plant Cell 25: 371-386
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fujisawa M, Shima Y, Nakagawa H, Kitagawa M, Kimbara J, Nakano T, Kasumi T, Ito Y (2014) Transcriptional regulation of fruit ripening by tomato FRUITFULL homologs and associated MADS box proteins. Plant Cell 26: 89-101
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Gapper NE, McQuinn RP, Giovannoni JJ (2013) Molecular and genetic regulation of fruit ripening. Plant Mol Biol 82: 575-591
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Giovannoni JJ (2004) Genetic regulation of fruit development and ripening. Plant Cell 16: S170-S180
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Giovannoni JJ (2007) Fruit ripening mutants yield insights into ripening control. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10: 283-289
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Guo F, Zhou WJ, Zhang JC, Xu Q, Deng XX (2012) Effect of the citrus lycopene β-cyclase transgene on carotenoid metabolism in transgenic tomato fruits. Plos One 7: e32221
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Han Y, Wu M, Cao L, Yuan W, Dong M, Wang X, Chen W, Shang F (2016) Characterization of OfWRKY3, a transcription factor that positively regulates the carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase gene OfCCD4 in Osmanthus fragrans. Plant Mol Biol 91: 485-496
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hao YW, Hu GJ, Breitel D, Liu MC, Mila I, Frasse P, Fu YY, Aharoni A, Bouzayen M, Zouine M (2015) Auxin Response Factor SlARF2 Is an Essential Component of the Regulatory Mechanism Controlling Fruit Ripening in Tomato. PLoS Genet 11: e1005649
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hellens RP, Allan AC, Friel EN, Karen B, Karryn G, Templeton MD, Sakuntala K, Gleave AP, Laing WA (2005) Transient expression vectors for functional genomics, quantification of promoter activity and RNA silencing in plants. Plant Methods 1: 13
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Holt NE, Zigmantas D, Valkunas L, Li XP, Niyogi KK, Fleming GR (2005) Carotenoid cation formation and the regulation of photosynthetic light harvesting. Science 307: 433-436
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hu TX, Ye J, Tao PW, Li HX, Zhang JH, Zhang YY, Ye ZB (2015) Tomato HD-Zip I transcription factor, SlHZ24, modulates ascorbate accumulation through positively regulating the D-mannose/L-galactose pathway. Plant J 85: 16-29

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Itkin M, Seybold H, Breitel D, Rogachev I, Meir S, Aharoni A (2009) TOMATO AGAMOUS-LIKE 1 is a component of the fruit ripening regulatory network. Plant J 60: 1081-1095
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ito Y, Kitagawa M, Ihashi N, Yabe K, Kimbara J, Yasuda J, Ito H, Inakuma T, Hiroi S, Kasumi T (2008) DNA-binding specificity, transcriptional activation potential, and the rin mutation effect for the tomato fruit-ripening regulator RIN. Plant J 55: 212-223
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jinseo J, Younshic K, Kwanghun B, Harin J, Sunhwa H, Yangdo C, Minkyun K, Reuzeau C, Jukon K (2010) Root-specific expression of OsNAC10 improves drought tolerance and grain yield in rice under field drought conditions. Plant Physiol 153: 185-197
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kato M, Ikoma Y, Matsumoto H, Sugiura M, Hyodo H, Yano M (2004) Accumulation of carotenoids and expression of carotenoid biosynthetic genes during maturation in citrus fruit. Plant Physiol 134: 824-837
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kaufmann K, Melzer R, Theißen G (2005) MIKC-type MADS-domain proteins: structural modularity, protein interactions and network evolution in land plants. Gene 347: 183-198
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Klee HJ, Giovannoni JJ (2011) Genetics and control of tomato fruit ripening and quality attributes. Annu Rev Genet 45: 41-59
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lanahan MB, Yen H-C, Giovannoni JJ, Klee HJ (1994) The never ripe mutation blocks ethylene perception in tomato.pdf. Plant Cell 6: 521-530
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lätari K, Wüst F, Hübner M, Schaub P, Beisel KG, Matsubara S, Beyer P, Welsch R (2015) Tissue-specific apocarotenoid glycosylation contributes to carotenoid homeostasis in arabidopsis leaves. Plant physiol pp.15.00243
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lee JM, Joung JG, McQuinn R, Chung MY, Fei Z, Tieman D, Klee H, Giovannoni J (2012) Combined transcriptome, genetic diversity and metabolite profiling in tomato fruit reveals that the ethylene response factor SlERF6 plays an important role in ripening and carotenoid accumulation. Plant J 70: 191-204
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Li L, Yuan H (2013) Chromoplast biogenesis and carotenoid accumulation. Arch Biochem Biophys 539: 102-109
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Liu LH, Jia CG, Zhang M, Chen DL, Chen SX, Guo RF, Guo DP, Wang QM (2014) Ectopic expression of a BZR1-1D transcription factor in brassinosteroid signalling enhances carotenoid accumulation and fruit quality attributes in tomato. Plant Biotechnol J 12: 105-115
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Liu LH, Shao ZY, Zhang M, Wang QM (2015) Regulation of carotenoid metabolism in tomato. Mol Plant 8: 28-39
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Liu Q, Xu J, Liu YZ, Zhao XL, Deng XX, Guo LL, Gu JQ (2007) A novel bud mutation that confers abnormal patterns of lycopene accumulation in sweet orange fruit (Citrus sinensis L. Osbeck). J Exp Bot 58: 4161-4171

Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Llorente B, D'Andrea L, Ruiz-Sola MA, Botterweg E, Pulido P, Andilla J, Loza-Alvarez P, Rodriguez-Concepcion M (2016) Tomato fruit carotenoid biosynthesis is adjusted to actual ripening progression by a light-dependent mechanism. Plant J 85: 107-119
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu SW, Zhang Y, Zheng XJ, Zhu KJ, Xu Q, Deng XX (2016a) Isolation and Functional Characterization of a Lycopene β-cyclase Gene Promoter from Citrus. Front Plant Sci 7: 1367
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu SW, Zhang Y, Zheng XJ, Zhu KJ, Xu Q, Deng XX (2016b) Molecular characterization, critical amino acid identification, and promoter analysis of a lycopene β-cyclase gene from citrus. Tree Genet Genomes 12: 106
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mao X, Cai T, Olyarchuk JG, Wei L (2005) Automated genome annotation and pathway identification using the KEGG Orthology (KO) as a controlled vocabulary. Bioinformatics 21: 3787-3793
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Martel C, Vrebalov J, Tafelmeyer P, Giovannoni JJ (2011) The tomato MADS-box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR interacts with promoters involved in numerous ripening processes in a COLORLESS NONRIPENING-dependent manner. Plant Physiol 157: 1568-1579
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Matsumoto H, Ikoma Y, Kato M, Kuniga T, Nakajima N, Yoshida T (2007) Quantification of carotenoids in citrus fruit by LC-MS and comparison of patterns of seasonal changes for carotenoids among citrus varieties. J Agr Food Chem 55: 2356-2368
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mendes AF, Chen C, Gmitter FG, Moore GA, Costa MG (2011) Expression and phylogenetic analysis of two new lycopene β-cyclases from Citrus paradisi. Physiol Plantarum 141: 1-10
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Moise AR, Al-Babili S, Wurtzel ET (2014) Mechanistic aspects of carotenoid biosynthesis. Chem Rev 114: 164-193
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Moreno JC, Cerda A, Simpson K, Lopez-Diaz I, Carrera E, Handford M, Stange C (2016) Increased Nicotiana tabacum fitness through positive regulation of carotenoid, gibberellin and chlorophyll pathways promoted by Daucus carota lycopene β-cyclase (Dclcyb1) expression. J Exp Bot 67: 2325-2338
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Nisar N, Li L, Lu S, Khin NC, Pogson BJ (2015) Carotenoid Metabolism in Plants. Mol Plant 8: 68-82
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Niyogi KK, Björkman O, Grossman AR (1997) The roles of specific xanthophylls in photoprotection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94: 14162-14167
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pan ZY, Liu Q, Yun Z, Guan R, Zeng WF, Xu Q, Deng XX (2009) Comparative proteomics of a lycopene-accumulating mutant reveals the important role of oxidative stress on carotenogenesis in sweet orange (Citrus sinensis [L.] osbeck). Proteomics 9: 5455-5470
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pecker I, Gubbay R, Cunningham Jr FX, Hirschberg J (1996) Cloning and characterisation of the cDNA for lycopene β-cyclase from tomato reveals a decrease in its expression during tomato ripening. Plant Molecular Biology 30: 806-819
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Powell AL, Nguyen CV, Hill T, Cheng KL, Figueroa-Balderas R, Aktas H, Ashrafi H, Pons C, Fernández-Muñoz R, Vicente A (2012) Uniform ripening encodes a Golden 2-like transcription factor regulating tomato fruit chloroplast development. Science 336: 1711-1715
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ramel F, Birtic S, Ginies C, Soubigou-Taconnat L, Triantaphylidès C, Havaux M (2012) Carotenoid oxidation products are stress signals that mediate gene responses to singlet oxygen in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 5535-5540
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Rodrigo MJ, Alquézar B, Alós E, Lado J, Zacarías L (2013) Biochemical bases and molecular regulation of pigmentation in the peel of Citrus fruit. Sci Hortic 163: 46-62
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ronen G, Carmel-Goren L, Zamir D, Hirschberg J (2000) An alternative pathway to beta-carotene formation in plant chromoplasts discovered by map-based cloning of beta and old-gold color mutations in tomato. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 11102-11107
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sagor GH, Berberich T, Tanaka S, Nishiyama M, Kanayama Y, Kojima S, Muramoto K, Kusano T (2015) A novel strategy to produce sweeter tomato fruits with high sugar contents by fruit-specific expression of a single bZIP transcription factor gene. Plant Biotechnol J 14: 1116-1126
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Schwartz SH, Tan BC, Gage DA, Zeevaart JA, McCarty DR (1997) Specific oxidative cleavage of carotenoids by VP14 of maize. Science 276: 1872-1874
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Shore P, Sharrocks AD (1995) The MADS-box family of transcription factors. Eur J Biochem 229: 1-13
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Shumbe L, Bott R, Havaux M (2014) Dihydroactinidiolide, a high light-induced beta-carotene derivative that can regulate gene expression and photoacclimation in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 7: 1248–1251
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Simkin AJ, Schwartz SH, Auldridge M, Taylor MG, Klee HJ (2004) The tomato carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 1 genes contribute to the formation of the flavor volatiles β-ionone, pseudoionone, and geranylacetone. Plant J 40: 882-892
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Stigliani AL, Giorio G, D'ambrosio C (2011) Characterization of P450 carotenoid β-and ε-hydroxylases of tomato and transcriptional regulation of xanthophyll biosynthesis in root, leaf, petal and fruit. Plant Cell Physiol 52: 851-865
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sun H-J, Uchii S, Watanabe S, Ezura H (2006) A highly efficient transformation protocol for Micro-Tom, a model cultivar for tomato functional genomics. Plant Cell Physiol 47: 426-431
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sun L, Yuan B, Zhang M, Wang L, Cui M, Wang Q, Leng P (2012) Fruit-specific RNAi-mediated suppression of SlNCED1 increases both lycopene and β-carotene contents in tomato fruit. J Exp Bot 63: 3097-3108
Pubmed: Author and Title

CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tadiello A, Pavanello A, Zanin D, Caporali E, Colombo L, Rotino GL, Trainotti L, Casadoro G (2009) A PLENA-like gene of peach is involved in carpel formation and subsequent transformation into a fleshy fruit. J Exp Bot 60: 651-661
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tao NG, Hu ZY, Liu Q, Xu J, Cheng YJ, Guo LL, Guo WW, Deng XX (2007) Expression of phytoene synthase gene (Psy) is enhanced during fruit ripening of Cara Cara navel orange (Citrus sinensis Osbeck). Plant Cell Rep 26: 837-843
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Toledo-Ortiz G, Huq E, Rodríguez-Concepción M (2010) Direct regulation of phytoene synthase gene expression and carotenoid biosynthesis by phytochrome-interacting factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 11626-11631
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Toledo-Ortiz G, Johansson H, Lee KP, Bou-Torrent J, Stewart K, Steel G, Rodriguez-Concepcion M, Halliday KJ (2014) The HY5-PIF regulatory module coordinates light and temperature control of photosynthetic gene transcription. Plos Genet 10: e1004416
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold BJ, Pachter L (2010) Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat biotechnol 28: 511-515
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Vishnevetsky M, Ovadis M, Vainstein A (1999) Carotenoid sequestration in plants: the role of carotenoid-associated proteins. Trends Plant Sci 4: 232
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Vrebalov J, Pan IL, Arroyo AJM, McQuinn R, Chung M, Poole M, Rose J, Seymour G, Grandillo S, Giovannoni J (2009) Fleshy fruit expansion and ripening are regulated by the tomato SHATTERPROOF gene TAGL1. Plant Cell 21: 3041-3062
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Vrebalov J, Ruezinsky D, Padmanabhan V, White R, Medrano D, Drake R, Schuch W, Giovannoni J (2002) A MADS-Box Gene Necessary for Fruit Ripening at the Tomato Ripening-Inhibitor (Rin) Locus. Science 296: 343-346
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang SF, Lu G, Hou Z, Luo ZD, Wang TT, Li HX, Zhang JH, Ye ZB (2014) Members of the tomato FRUITFULL MADS-box family regulate style abscission and fruit ripening. J Exp Bot 65: 3005-3014
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wellburn AR (1994) The spectral determination of chlorophylls a and b, as well as total carotenoids, using various solvents with spectrophotometers of different resolution. J Plant Physiol 144: 307-313
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Welsch R, Maass D, Voegel T, DellaPenna D, Beyer P (2007) Transcription factor RAP2.2 and its interacting partner SINAT2: Stable elements in the carotenogenesis of Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Physiol 145: 1073-1085
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Xu CJ, Fraser PD, Wang WJ, Bramley PM (2006) Differences in the carotenoid content of ordinary citrus and lycopene-accumulating mutants. J Agr Food Chem 54: 5474-5481
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Young MD, Wakefield MJ, Smyth GK, Oshlack A (2010) Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. Genome

biology 11: R14
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Yuan H, Zhang J, Nageswaran D, Li L (2015) Carotenoid metabolism and regulation in horticultural crops. Hortic Res 2: 15036
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang LC, Ma G, Shirai Y, Kato M, Yamawaki K, Ikoma Y, Matsumoto H (2012) Expression and functional analysis of two lycopene β-cyclases from citrus fruits. Planta 236: 1315-1325
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang Y, Butelli E, Alseekh S, Tohge T, Rallapalli G, Luo J, Kawar PG, Hill L, Santino A, Fernie AR (2015) Multi-level engineering facilitates the production of phenylpropanoid compounds in tomato. Nat Commun 6: 8635
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhu F, Luo T, Liu CY, Wang Y, Yang HB, Yang W, Zheng L, Xiao X, Zhang MF, Xu RW, Xu JG, Zeng YL, Xu J, Xu Q, Guo WW, Larkin RM, Deng XX, Cheng YJ (2017) An R2R3-MYB transcription factor represses the transformation of alpha- and beta-branch carotenoids by negatively regulating expression of CrBCH2 and CrNCED5 in flavedo of Citrus reticulate. New Phytol 216: 178-192
Pubmed: Author and Title
CrossRef: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title