



Combination of ferrocene decorated gold nanoparticles and engineered primers for the direct reagentless determination of isothermally amplified DNA

Sallam AL-Madhagi¹ · Ciara K. O'Sullivan^{1,2} · Mamas I. Prodromidis³ · Ioanis Katakis¹

Received: 16 November 2020 / Accepted: 20 February 2021 / Published online: 9 March 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, AT part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

A reagent-less DNA sensor has been developed exploiting a combination of gold nanoparticles, modified primers, and isothermal amplification. It is applied to the determination of *Karlodinium armiger*, a toxic microalgae, as a model analyte to demonstrate this generic platform. Colloidal gold nanoparticles with an average diameter of 14 ± 0.87 nm were modified with a mixed self-assembled monolayer of thiolated 33-mer DNA probes and (6-mercaptopentyl) ferrocene. Modified primers, exploiting a C3 spacer between the primer-binding site and an engineered single-stranded tail, were used in an isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification reaction to produce an amplicon by two single-stranded tails. These tails were designed to be complementary to a gold electrode tethered capture oligo probe, and an oligo probe immobilized on the gold nanoparticles, respectively. The time required for hybridization of the target tailed DNA with the surface immobilized probe and reporter probe immobilized on AuNPs was optimized and reduced to 10 min, in both cases. Amplification time was further optimized to be 40 min to ensure the maximum signal. Under optimal conditions, the limit of detection was found to be 1.6 fM of target dsDNA. Finally, the developed biosensor was successfully applied to the detection of genomic DNA extracted from a seawater sample that had been spiked with *K. armiger* cells. The demonstrated generic electrochemical genosensor can be exploited for the detection of any DNA sequence and ongoing work is moving towards an integrated system for use at the point-of-need.

Keywords DNA detection · Modified primers · Functionalized gold nanoparticles · Electrochemical detection · Isothermal amplification · Recombinase polymerase amplification · *Karlodinium armiger* sequence in seawater

Introduction

The electrochemical determination of specific DNA sequences has been credited as a sensitive, low-cost, and portable analytical approach with application in many diverse areas [1]. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is routinely used for the amplification of DNA, and despite the fact that a number

of potential solutions, such as integrated microsystems, have been developed for deployment of PCR to non-laboratory settings, the inherent power and control requirement to run thermal cycling processes hinders its implementation at the point of need, particularly in resource limited settings. To address this limitation several alternative techniques for isothermal DNA amplification have been developed, including the recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA), which has several advantages over other isothermal techniques due to its simplicity, sensitivity and rapid amplification at a constant temperature between 25 and 42 °C, without the need for tight temperature control [2].

In spite of the ease-of-use, rapidity and efficiency of RPA, the hybridization-based detection of RPA amplicons and thus post-amplification processing for the generation of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), is required. A range of diverse methodologies have been developed for the generation of ssDNA from double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) amplicons, including thermal denaturation, heat/alkaline treatment of avidin/biotin-dsDNA and asymmetric PCR [3, 4].

✉ Ciara K. O'Sullivan
ciara.osullivan@urv.cat

✉ Ioanis Katakis
ioanis.katakis@urv.cat

¹ Interfibrio Research Group, Department of Chemical Engineering, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Avinguda Països Catalans 26, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

² Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats Passeig Lluís Companys 23 08010 Barcelona Spain

³ Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece

To facilitate the detection of the target sequence, we have developed a novel strategy for detecting DNA amplification products without the need to create ssDNA, by using primers modified with a carbon spacer (C3, C8, C18), which effectively blocks elongation. This results in a duplex amplicon flanked by two single-stranded DNA tails. These tails facilitate detection via direct hybridization with surface immobilized probes designed to be complementary to one of the tails, and with a labelled reporter probe complementary to the other tail. This approach has been used in both PCR [5] and RPA [6] amplification, and even though this approach obviates the requirement for post-amplification generation of ssDNA, a labelled reporter probe for hybridization to the other tail is still required. The assay consists of an initial hybridization of the tailed amplicon with the immobilized probe, a subsequent washing step, followed by addition of the labelled probe, further washing, and finally detection.

The use of solid phase amplification with a biotin labelled reverse primer for the optical detection of *Yersinia pestis*, was explored as a means of avoiding the need to hybridize to a reporter labelled probe, achieving a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.3 fM [7]. This approach was also exploited for solid-phase amplification on gold electrodes for the electrochemical detection of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* and *Francisella tularensis*, achieving LODs of 5×10^{-8} µg/mL genomic DNA [8] and 20 fM [9], respectively. However, addition of a reporter (streptavidin-HRP) was required, and to avoid this additional step. A HRP-labelled reverse primer was used and the detection limit was lowered by one order of magnitude [10]. In an alternative approach, we recently developed an electrochemical genosensor using a HRP-labelled primer in solution phase amplification, followed by solid-phase detection [11].

While this HRP label provides high sensitivity, due to the catalytic behaviour of the enzyme and its high turnover rate, enzyme labels require extra washing and substrate addition steps. Indeed, the assay protocol could be significantly simplified if an alternative to enzymes is implemented. It is, therefore, highly desirable to develop ultrasensitive electrochemical reagentless DNA biosensors [12, 13].

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) has been used widely in the development of reagent-less DNA sensors, due to the ability of this technique to measure the fractional change in the charge transfer resistance as a result of the hybridization event on the electrode surface. Examples include a DNA sensor based on pyrrolidinyl peptide nucleic acid immobilized on a paper-based carbon electrode [14], as well as a genosensor based on a self-assembled nanocomposite of reduced graphene oxide and modified manganese(III)tetraphenyl-porphyrin [15]. In another approach reported, poly(3,4-ethylene-dioxythiophene)-modified electrodes have been used, where the hybridization event changes the electrochemical properties of the polymer, which were probed using differential pulse voltammetry [16]. Another approach for the enzyme-free detection of mRNA was

developed using a combination of catalyzed hairpin assembly, the hybridization chain reaction and methylene blue as a redox probe [17]. The use of ferrocene-modified reporter probes has also been described, using the Velox™ technology, where a PCR product is hybridized with a ferrocene-labelled single-stranded DNA probe, and this hybrid is incubated with a double-strand specific exonuclease which facilitates cleavage of the terminal nucleotide, with the liberated mononucleotide-ferrocene label being detected on the surface of a screen-printed electrode using differential pulse voltammetry [18]. In an approach similar to what we propose in the work reported here, gold nanoparticle/streptavidin conjugates covered with 6-ferrocenylhexanethiol were used for the ultrasensitive detection of DNA. Detection was based on a 30-mer immobilized on a gold electrode via different SAM approaches, which hybridizes with a complementary biotinylated 30-mer, followed by the addition of the AuNP-SA-Fc complex [19]. In the follow-up paper by the same group, the same approach was applied to the detection of the HBV pre-S gene extracted from a serum sample, which was amplified using PCR, and detected via hybridization between a 27-mer immobilized probe and a 28-mer biotinylated probe, again achieving very low detection limits [20].

Nanoparticles such as gold, platinum, silver, and quantum dots have also been used in the development of reagentless DNA sensors. Silver-dendrimer nanocomposites have been used as oligonucleotide labels, but these require an additional step of dissolution of the silver nanoparticles in nitric acid, which is then followed by anodic stripping voltammetry, achieving an LOD of 0.78 pM after 1-h target incubation [18]. Quantum dot labels have also been reported but this approach again requires acid dissolution followed by stripping voltammetry of the Cd(II) ions. This gene assay displays an LOD as low as 33 aM within 3.5 h of assay time [21]. To avoid the need for the additional step of dissolution of the label in acid, the use of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) as a label and direct chronoamperometric detection was reported. The method enabled the determination of 0.8 Leishmania parasites per mL of blood (8×10^{-3} parasites per DNA amplification reaction) [22].

In the work reported herein, we describe an electrochemical DNA sensor, coupling tailed primers with isothermal amplification and AuNPs decorated with a mixed self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of thiolated ferrocene and thiolated DNA probes, with the overall objective of achieving an ultrasensitive, reagentless and simple platform for the direct detection of DNA amplicons (Fig. 1).

Materials and methods

Materials

RPA TwistAmp® basic kit was purchased from TwistDx Ltd. (Babraham, UK). DreamTaq DNA polymerase kit was




Fig. 1 Schematic of gold nanoparticle modification, isothermal amplification using tailed primers and electrochemical detection

purchased from Fisher Scientific (Madrid—Spain). DNA Clean & Concentrator-5™ kit was purchased from Zymo Research (Irvine, USA). Two-millimeter diameter gold working electrodes (CHI101), Ag/AgCl reference electrode with porous Teflon tip, and 0.3 μm and 0.05 μm α -alumina powder were obtained from CH Instruments (Austin, USA). (6-mercaptohexyl)ferrocene was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Barcelona, Spain) and dissolved in ethanol. Dithiol 1,6-(3,5-bis((6-mercaptohexyl)oxy)phenyl)-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxa-hexa-decane (DT1) was obtained from SensoPath Technologies (Bozeman, MT, USA). Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4) was obtained from Scharlau (Barcelona, Spain). DNA probes, DNA primers, synthetic analogues of *Karlodinium amiger* and *Karlodinium veneficum* targets were supplied by Biomers GmbH (Ulm, Germany) as lyophilized powder, reconstituted in RNase and DNase-free water and used without further purification. Genomic DNA samples were supplied by IRTA (Cataluña, Spain).

PCR amplification of *Karlodinium amiger* ssDNA target to produce dsDNA and tailed templates

Single-stranded *Karlodinium amiger* target was amplified using conventional PCR to dsDNA for use as a template in the RPA assay. PCR amplification was performed using DreamTaq DNA polymerase kit - with the following volumes: 10 μL 5 $\times$ buffer, 5 μL dNTPs (2 mM), 5 μL MgCl_2 (50 mM), 1 μL forward primer (10 μM), 1 μL reverse primer (10 μM), 0.5 μL BSA (50 mg/mL), 27 μL H_2O , 0.5 μL of 5 U/ μL polymerase and 1 μL of 5 nM ssDNA template. All PCR reactions were heated at 95 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 min, followed by 20

rounds of PCR, with a denaturing step at 95 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 s, annealing step at 58 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 s, and an elongation step at 72 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 s. A final extension step was performed at 72 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 5 min. PCR amplification was carried out in an iCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Barcelona, Spain).

The same protocol was used exploiting tailed primers instead of unmodified primers to produce tailed amplicons to be used in the optimization experiments.

Double-stranded/tailed PCR products were analyzed using agarose gel electrophoresis. Four μL of PCR products was run with 4 μL of loading buffer in a 3% (w/v) agarose gel stained with GelRed™ nucleic acid stain and visualized with a UV lamp ($\lambda = 254 \text{ nm}$).

PCR products were purified using DNA Clean & Concentrator™ kit by mixing 5 volumes of DNA binding buffer to each volume of the PCR products and the mixture transferred to the Zymo-Spin column and centrifuged for 30 s at 10,000 g, after which the column was washed three times with 200 μL DNA wash buffer and additionally centrifuged for 30 s at 10,000 g. Finally, 10 μL of water was added directly to the column matrix, incubated for 1 min and then centrifuged for 30 s at 10,000 g to elute the dsDNA. Purified dsDNA was quantified by UV spectrophotometry with a NanoDrop™ 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at $\lambda = 260 \text{ nm}$.

Preparation of colloidal gold nanoparticles

AuNPs with an average diameter of 14 nm were prepared by citrate reduction of HAuCl_4 , followed a slightly modified reported method [23]. All glassware was cleaned with aqua

regia ($\text{HCl}:\text{HNO}_3 = 3:1$), rinsed with deionized water and dried before use. An aqueous solution of sodium citrate (2.0 mL, 1 wt.%) was rapidly added to a boiling HAuCl_4 solution (50 mL, 0.01 wt.%) under vigorous stirring conditions. The colour of the solution changed slowly from purple to red, indicating formation of the AuNPs. The solution was refluxed for an additional time of 15 min, maintaining vigorous stirring conditions. After the heat source was removed, the solution was stirred continuously until it reached room temperature. Finally, the cooled solution was stored at 4 °C.

Preparation of ferrocene/reporter probe-AuNP conjugation

The optimized conjugation of ferrocene/reporter probe-AuNP was prepared by mixing 10 μL of 100 μM thiolated DNA and 10 μL of 3 mM (6-Mercaptohexyl) ferrocene with 1 mL of 3.4 nM AuNPs. The solution was left to incubate for 24 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the conjugate was centrifuged at 6797g for 30 min at 22 °C and the pellet was re-suspended three times in 500 μL Milli-Q water in order to clean the conjugate and to remove any free DNA or ferrocene. The conjugate was then re-suspended in 50 μL Milli-Q (final concentration = 68 nM modified AuNPs). For detection, 4 μL of modified gold nanoparticles (68 nM modified AuNPs) was drop-casted onto the electrode surface and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C to hybridize with the reporter probe.

Solution phase RPA with solid phase detection

The target (synthetic dsDNA/genomic DNA) was first amplified using isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) utilizing the aforementioned tailed forward and reverse primers that were developed previously (Table 1) [24]. Subsequently, detection was carried out by hybridization to a capture probe immobilized on gold electrodes, followed by hybridization with the AuNP-linked reporter probe, and finally detected electrochemically.

RPA assay

The RPA amplification mixture was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, by mixing 2.4 μL of 10 μM tailed reverse primer and 2.4 μL of 10 μM tailed forward primer, 2 μL dsDNA template, 11 μL DNase free water and 29.5 μL 1× rehydration buffer. The lyophilized pellet was then added to the mixture. The reaction was finally initiated by addition of 2.5 μL of 280 mM magnesium acetate to a final volume of 50 μL , and the solution was immediately mixed by vortex. Amplification was performed for the defined time interval at 37 °C.

Electrode surface functionalization and electrochemical detection

The gold electrode surface was polished with suspensions of 0.3 μm and then 0.05 μm alumina particles followed by rinsing with Milli-Q water and ethanol and finally dried with N_2 gas. The gold surface was functionalized using a co-immobilization approach by drop-casting 4 μL of 100 μM DT1 and 5 μM thiolated capture probe in 1 M KH_2PO_4 . Formation of the SAMs was left to take place overnight at room temperature under a water-saturated atmosphere, and the electrodes were then rinsed with Milli-Q water and finally dried with N_2 gas.

Long-term stability studies of probe-functionalized electrodes were not carried out as we have previously carried out two sets of extensive storage stability studies with functionalized gold sputtered [25, 26] and printed circuit board electrodes [21, 27], and clearly demonstrated that the functionalized electrodes have a storage stability of >2 years when stored at either room-temperature or under refrigerated conditions, using a stabilizer (e.g., Stablicoat), or even in the absence of a stabilizer. In addition, we did not carry out studies for regeneration and re-use of the sensors using high / low pH buffers, or hot water, as the developed generic genosensor platform is planned to be for single, and not repeated use.

Amplified PCR/RPA product (4 μL) was drop-casted onto the electrode surface and incubated for the defined time at 37 °C to hybridize with the capture probe. This incubation was carried out in a humidity-saturated chamber to avoid problems due to evaporation. Following incubation, the electrodes were sequentially washed with Tris buffered saline (pH 8) under stirring. After washing, 4 μL of modified AuNPs was drop-casted onto the electrode surface and incubated for the defined time at 37 °C to hybridize with the reporter probe. Finally, the electrodes were washed with Tris buffered saline (pH 8) under magnetic stirring.

All electrochemical measurements were performed using an Autolab PGSTAT 12 potentiostat/galvanostat controlled with the General Purpose Electrochemical System software (GPES) (Metrohm Autolab, The Netherlands). The three-electrode system includes 2-mm gold working electrodes, Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference and platinum wire as the counter electrode. DP voltammograms were recorded in PBS (pH 7.4) solution at a potential range from 0 to 0.7 V vs Ag/AgCl reference electrode, using a step potential of 7 mV with 50 mV/s scan rate. The DPV potential for oxidation of the 6-(Ferrocenyl)hexanethiol occurs at 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl. The charge was calculated by dividing the peak area by scan rate. Each data point represents the mean of three measurements using three different working electrodes under the same measuring conditions.

Table 1 DNA target, probe, and primers sequences used in this work

Name	Nucleotide sequence (from 5' to 3')
<i>Karlodinium armiger</i> target	ata gct tca cag cag agg tta caa cac caa tgc tgc tcc gct acc cgc gat ctc atg cac cag gga agc ttc aag aca ccc cta ccc ccg tgc agg agc tca caa aga aag ttc aca gtg aga tgg ttg gat gtg tgt
Thiolated capture probe	ttc att gag ttc gtc gta at ttt ttt ttt ttt-SH
Tailed forward primer	att acg acg aac tca atg aa – C3 Spacer - ata gct tca cag cag agg tta caa c
Tailed reverse primer	tgt aaa acg acg gcc agt – C3 Spacer - aca cac atc caa cca tctt cac tg
Reporter probe	SH -ttt ttt ttt ttt act ggc cgt cgt ttt aca
Unmodified forward primer	ata gct tca cag cag agg tta caa c
Unmodified reverse primer	aca cac atc caa cca tYt cac tg
<i>Karlodinium veneficum</i> target	ata gct tgc cag aca aag gtg aat ccc aat gct gct cca cta ccc gcg aac tgc taa cgc cag ggt gcg gaa gag aac tac ccc aac ccc cgc gca aga gct cac aaa gaa gtt cac agt gaa atg gtt gga tgt gtg t

Analysis of spiked seawater sample

Extraction of genomic DNA Genomic DNA was extracted and purified from seawater samples and analyzed using quantitative PCR by IRTA (Sant Carles de la Ràpita, Spain), as previously described [21]. Briefly, seawater samples were spiked with cultured *K. armiger* cells and genomic DNA was extracted using the Biomeme (BIM) isolation kit (Biomeme, Philadelphia, USA). The sample (50 mL) was centrifuged at

3700g for 25 min and the pellet re-suspended in 300 μ L of lysis buffer (1 M NaCl, 70 mM Tris, 30 mM EDTA, pH 8.6). This was then transferred to a 2 ml cryotube containing ca. 50 μ g of 0.5 mm diameter zirconium glass beads (Biospec, USA) and lysed using a BeadBeater-8 (BioSpec, USA). The lysed sample (250 μ L) was then pumped through an ion-exchange cartridge to capture all the DNA, and the ion cartridge washed with 500 μ L of Biomeme Protein Wash solution, then with 750 μ L of Biomeme Wash Buffer and finally


**Fig. 2** TEM images of gold nanoparticles

Table 2 Ferrocene/reporter probe-AuNP conjugation conditions

	Ferrocene (3 mM)	Reporter probe (100 μ M)	Gold nanoparticles (3.4 nM)
1	10 μ L	1.25 μ L	1 mL
2	10 μ L	2.5 μ L	1 mL
3	10 μ L	5 μ L	1 mL
4	10 μ L	10 μ L	1 mL
5	10 μ L	20 μ L	1 mL

the genomic DNA was eluted with 500 μ L of Biomeme Elution buffer. The extracted DNA was kindly given to us by Dr. Mònica Campàs and Dr. Anna Toldrà.

qPCR analysis of extracted genomic DNA qPCR was performed using an ABI 7300 thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Spain) with previously optimized parameters [21]. Briefly, a two-step cycling protocol was carried out, with an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 20 s and 58 °C for 30 s. The reaction mixture contained 10 μ L 2 $\times$ SYBR Green dye, 2 μ L of primers (5 μ M), 2 μ L of DNA extracts or calibration curve standards prepared using a serial dilution of DNA target and 2 μ L of DNA free water.

Electrochemical detection of genomic DNA extracted from spiked seawater sample For the detection of the genomic DNA extracted from the seawater sample, the following protocol was used. The RPA amplification mixture was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, by mixing 2.4 μ L of 10 μ M tailed reverse primer and 2.4 μ L of 10 μ M tailed forward primer, 2 μ L dsDNA template, 11 μ L DNase free water and 29.5 μ L 1 $\times$ rehydration buffer, and the lyophilized pellet was added to the mixture. To initiate the reaction 2.5 μ L of 280 mM

magnesium acetate was added to a final volume of 50 μ L, and the tube containing all the reagents were vortexed. Amplification was carried out for 40 min at 37 °C. Following amplification, 4 μ L of amplified RPA product were dropcast onto the electrode surface and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C to hybridize with the capture probe. Following incubation, the electrodes were washed with Tris-buffered saline (pH 8) under magnetic stirring conditions. After washing, 4 μ L of modified gold nanoparticles was then added to the electrode surface and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C to hybridize with the reporter probe. Finally, following an intense wash with Tris-buffered saline (pH 8) under magnetic stirring conditions, differential pulse voltammetry was carried out in PBS (pH 7.4), at a potential range from 0 to 0.7 V vs Ag/AgCl reference electrode, using a step potential of 7 mV with 50 mV/s scan rate. The charge was calculated by dividing the peak area by scan rate.

Results and discussion

Characterization of gold nanoparticles

The size and the size distribution of the AuNPs were determined using TEM imaging (Fig. 2) to be 14 ± 0.87 nm, and their




Fig. 3 (A) Differential pulse voltammograms registered in the presence of tailed PCR amplicon using 1 nM template *Karlodinium armiger* (1), and a Tris-HCl control (2) using ferrocene/reporter probe-AuNP conjugation #4 as label. (B) Electrochemical evaluation of the ferrocene/

reporter probe-AuNP conjugations using conditions as outlined in Table 2, and the control is just Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) in the absence of PCR amplicon. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three measurements




Fig. 4 (A) Effect of duration of hybridization time of 1 nM tailed target with capture probe surface tethered on gold electrode. (B) Effect of duration of hybridization time of 1 nM tailed target with the functionalized

AuNPs. Experimental conditions as described in the text. The control represents replacement of the tailed amplicon with Tris-HCl. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three measurements

concentration was determined to be (3.4 nM) by scanning from 800 to 200 nm and monitoring the peak at 520 nm (Cary 100 Bio UV-visible spectrophotometer, Agilent). The concentration of the AuNPs was determined using the Beer-Lambert law, via the absorbance of the AuNPs at 520 nm and using an extinction coefficient of $3.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, as previously reported [24].

Ferrocene/reporter probe-AuNP conjugation optimization

The AuNPs were modified via chemisorption of different ratios of thiolated ferrocene (dissolved in ethanol) and thiolated reporter probe, as outlined in Table 2.





Fig. 5 Electrochemical response of RPA amplicons following 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 min amplification. NTC indicates the signal obtained following amplification of DNA-free water for 40 min. 2 pM target was used as template, 10 min hybridization with capture probe and 10 min hybridization with reporter probe. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three measurements

Initially, conventional PCR was performed (using the protocol described above) using tailed primers to produce amplification products with a duplex flanked by two single-stranded DNA tails. Following purification, 4 μL of the prepared tailed dsDNA (1 nM) was drop-casted on the electrode surface and incubated to allow hybridization with the surface capture probe for 20 min, and then with 4 μL of 68 nM modified AuNPs for a further 20 min at 37 °C. The electrochemical signals obtained for each of the ferrocene:DNA ratios outlined in Table 2, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), and the control is the electrochemical signal obtained where the tailed PCR amplicon is replaced by Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), using the conditions for conjugation #4 as a label, and the raw data can be seen in Fig. 3A and a summary of the results in in Fig. 3B. The electrochemical signal increases with increasing reporter probe concentration, reaching a plateau at the conjugation #4. For this reason, the conjugation #4 was used in all further experiments.

Optimization of the experimental conditions

One nanometer of the amplified and purified tailed target DNA in 50 mM Tris-buffered saline (pH 8) containing 1 M NaCl was used to optimize the time needed for the hybridization of the tailed amplicons with surface immobilized capture probe. The tailed DNA (4 μL) was directly drop casted onto the electrode surface and allowed to hybridize from 2 to 20 min at 37 °C, while maintaining the hybridization time with the reporter probe constant at 20 min (Fig. 4A). The tailed amplicon was replaced with Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), which acted as a control to monitor any non-specific adsorption of the functionalized AuNPs on the electrode surface. Subsequently, the time needed for the hybridization of the

Fig. 6 Calibration plot obtained by amplifying a range of concentrations (20 pM to 20 aM) of dsDNA template starting concentrations after 40 min RPA and 10 min hybridization with capture probe and 10 min hybridization with reporter probe



surface-captured target with the reporter probe was optimized using the same strategy by varying the hybridization time of the target with the reporter probe from 2 to 20 min, while maintaining the hybridization time with the surface probe constant at 20 min (Fig. 4B). It can be clearly seen that 10 min was sufficient for each hybridization step.

Optimization of amplification time

The amplification time was optimized by comparing the amount of DNA generated following amplification of 2 pM dsDNA template for 10, 20, 30, 40 or 50 min, using the protocol described above. Tailed primers were used to perform the liquid phase amplification at 37 °C using a master mix of 100 μL . Subsequently, 15 μL aliquots were taken from the master RPA reaction after each of 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 min and the RPA reaction rapidly stopped by immediate freezing. For the no template control (NTC), DNA-free water was subjected to the same amplification conditions for 40 min. Following RPA, 4 μL of the amplification products were added to the electrode surface and allowed to hybridize with the surface immobilized capture probe for 10 min. This was then followed by hybridization with the AuNP-Fc labelled reporter probe. DPV measurements were carried out using the conditions described above. As can be seen in Fig. 5, increasing amplification times resulted in increasing amounts of DNA being produced, reaching a plateau at ca. 40 min, after which further amplification did not improve the amplification yield.

Using optimized conditions, a calibration plot was obtained using 8-fold serial dilutions of the starting dsDNA template from 20 pM to 20 aM. The LOD was found to be 1.6 fM and corresponds to the concentration of the analyte at the mean blank signal plus three times the standard deviation of the blank (Fig. 6).

Table 3 gives an overview of the current detection limits that can be achieved with selected electrochemical sensors which contain nanomaterials as an electrochemical label. This table shows that our sensor has the shortest assay time, at the same time it does not require post-amplification sample treatment.

The specificity of this sensor towards *Karlodinium armiger* target was evaluated by exposure to 2 pM *Karlodinium veneficum* target that had been amplified for 40 min. As expected, only the *Karlodinium armiger* gave a positive electrochemical signal (Fig. 7A), highlighting the specificity of the system.

Genomic DNA was extracted and purified from seawater samples spiked with *K. armiger* cells. The extracted DNA was analyzed using quantitative PCR by IRTA (Sant Carles de la Ràpita, Spain), employing extraction and purification procedures previously reported [2130]. A sample containing *Karlodinium armiger* target sequence (Ge + ve) and a control sample not containing the *Karlodinium armiger* sequence (Ge -ve) were analyzed, and as can be seen in Fig. 7B a significant positive electrochemical signal was observed in the case of the positive sample, whilst a markedly lower response was observed for the seawater sample not containing the *Karlodinium armiger* target.

Table 3 Comparison of the detection time and LOD of selected nanomaterials-based electrochemical genosensors

Electrode	ssDNA generation	Nanostructured material used	Detection Time	Detection method	LOD	Ref.
GCE	Required	AuNPs	2 h	DPV	350 aM	[26]
GCE	Required	dsDNA templated CuNPs	2 h	DPV	30 aM	[19]
SPCE	Required	Capture probe modified AuNPs	1 h	DPV	214 pM	[27]
Gold	Required	CNTs and AuNPs	1 h	LSV	5.2 fM	[28]
Gold	Required	DNA-templated silver nanoparticles (AgNPs)	1 h	DPV	4.7 aM	[29]
Gold	Not Required	Ferrocene decorated gold nanoparticles	20 min	DPV	1.6 fM	This work




Fig. 7 (A) Specificity of developed genosensor. (B) Application of genosensor to DNA extracted from real seawater sample containing *K. armiger* (Ge + ve) and not containing *K. armiger* (Ge -ve). Measurement conditions are described in text

The concentration of the genomic DNA has been calculated using the regression equation of the calibration plot (Fig. 6), and it found to be 3 fM, which is in excellent correlation with the results obtained from qPCR for the same sample (3.4 fM). These data justify the suitability of the developed method for the determination of *Karlodinium armiger* target sequence (Ge + ve) in real samples at the fM concentration level, and demonstrates the wide potential applicability of the generic approach to other DNA sequences.

Conclusions

In this work, the use of AuNPs modified with thiolated ssDNA and (6-mercaptohexyl)ferrocene for the reagentless electrochemical sensing of isothermally amplified amplicons of a model DNA target was demonstrated. The AuNP-facilitated labelling with multiple ferrocene molecules, thus contributing to significant signal enhancement without increase of non-specific signal. Modified primers were used to produce an amplicon with a central duplex flanked by two single-stranded tails. These tails were designed to be complementary to a gold electrode tethered capture oligo probe as well as an oligo probe immobilized on the AuNPs. The time required for hybridization of the target DNA with the surface immobilized probe and reporter probe was optimized to be 10 min, in both cases. Using the optimum conditions of amplification and hybridization, the LOD obtained was 1.6 fM for synthetic dsDNA target. At the same time, the selectivity of the sensor was demonstrated using *Karlodinium veneficum* DNA controls. Furthermore, the developed genosensor was utilized for the amplification and detection of genomic *Karlodinium armiger* extracted from a seawater sample. Future work will focus on the direct labelling of one of the primers with the ferrocene-decorated AuNPs and incorporation of the

assay into a lateral flow format, thus avoiding any wash steps or requirement for multiple hybridizations.

Acknowledgements This work has been carried out with partial financial support from Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (SEASENSING BIO2014-56024-C2-1): “Seasensing: Microsystems for rapid, reliable and cost effective detection of toxic microalgae on-site and in real-time.” S. A-M. acknowledges support from the government of Catalonia (GENCAT) for predoctoral grant 2017FI_B2 00184, and for the training grant that allowed him to visit the University of Ioannina. The authors thank Mònica Campàs and Anna Toldrà for the provision of genomic DNA extracted from seawater samples.

Code availability Not

Funding This work has been carried out with partial financial support from Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (SEASENSING BIO2014-56024-C21): “Seasensing: Microsystems for rapid, reliable and cost effective detection of toxic microalgae on-site and in real-time.”

Data availability Not

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Rafique B, Iqbal M, Mehmood T, Shaheen MA (2019) Electrochemical DNA biosensors: a review. *Sens Rev* 39:34–50. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SR-08-2017-0156>
2. Lobato IM, O’Sullivan CK (2018) Recombinase polymerase amplification: basics, applications and recent advances. *TrAC Trends Anal Chem* 98:19–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.10.015>
3. Tan L, Ge J, Jiao M, Jie G, Niu S (2018) Amplified electrochemiluminescence detection of DNA based on novel quantum dots signal probe by multiple cycling amplification strategy. *Talanta* 183:108–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.02.063>

4. Mousavisani SZ, Raoof JB, Turner APF, Ojani R, Mak WC (2018) Label-free DNA sensor based on diazonium immobilisation for detection of DNA damage in breast cancer 1 gene. *Sensors Actuators B Chem* 264:59–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.02.152>
5. Joda H, Beni V, Willems A, Frank R, Höth J, Lind K, Strömbom L, Katakis I, O'Sullivan CK (2015) Modified primers for rapid and direct electrochemical analysis of coeliac disease associated HLA alleles. *Biosens Bioelectron* 73:64–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2015.05.048>
6. Al-Madhagi S, Joda H, Jauset-Rubio M et al (2018) Isothermal amplification using modified primers for rapid electrochemical analysis of coeliac disease associated DQB1*02 HLA allele. *Anal Biochem* 556:16–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2018.06.013>
7. Mayboroda O, Benito AG, Del Rio JS et al (2016) Isothermal solid-phase amplification system for detection of *Yersinia pestis*. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 408:671–676. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-015-9177-1>
8. Del Río JS, Svobodova M, Bustos P et al (2016) Electrochemical detection of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* genomic DNA from salmon samples using solid-phase recombinase polymerase amplification. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 408:8611–8620. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9639-0>
9. Del Río JS, Yehia Adly N, Acero-Sánchez JL et al (2014) Electrochemical detection of *Francisella tularensis* genomic DNA using solid-phase recombinase polymerase amplification. *Biosens Bioelectron* 54:674–678. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2013.11.035>
10. Del Río JS, Lobato IM, Mayboroda O et al (2017) Enhanced solid-phase recombinase polymerase amplification and electrochemical detection. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 409(12):3261–3269. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0269-y>
11. Ahmed N, AL-Madhagi S, Ortiz M et al (2020) Direct electrochemical detection of enzyme labelled, isothermally amplified DNA. *Anal Biochem* 598:113705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2020.113705>
12. Reta N, Saint CP, Michelmores A, Prieto-Simon B, Voelcker NH (2018) Nanostructured electrochemical biosensors for label-free detection of water- and food-borne pathogens. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 10(7):6055–6072. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b13943>
13. Wang Y, Sauriat-Dorizon H, Korri-Youssoufi H (2017) Direct electrochemical DNA biosensor based on reduced graphene oxide and metalloporphyrin nanocomposite. *Sensors Actuators B Chem* 251:40–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.04.128>
14. Teengam P, Siangproh W, Tuantranont A, Vilaivan T, Chailapakul O, Henry CS (2018) Electrochemical impedance-based DNA sensor using pyrrolidinyl peptide nucleic acids for tuberculosis detection. *Anal Chim Acta* 1044:102–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.07.045>
15. Bizid S, Mlika R, Haj Said A, Chemli M, Korri-Youssoufi H (2016) Investigations of poly(p-phenylene) modified with ferrocene and their application in electrochemical DNA sensing. *Sensors Actuators B Chem* 226:370–380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.11.137>
16. Liu H, Bei X, Xia Q, Fu Y, Zhang S, Liu M, Fan K, Zhang M, Yang Y (2016) Enzyme-free electrochemical detection of microRNA-21 using immobilized hairpin probes and a target-triggered hybridization chain reaction amplification strategy. *Microchim Acta* 183:297–304. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-015-1636-z>
17. Pearce DM, Shenton DP, Holden J, Gaydos CA (2011) Evaluation of a novel electrochemical detection method for chlamydia trachomatis: application for point-of-care diagnostics. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 58:755–758. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2010.2095851>
18. Jin X, Zhou L, Zhu B, Jiang X, Zhu N (2018) Silver-dendrimer nanocomposites as oligonucleotide labels for electrochemical stripping detection of DNA hybridization. *Biosens Bioelectron* 107:237–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2018.02.033>
19. Wang Y, Meng W, Chen X, Zhang Y (2020) DNA-templated copper nanoparticles as signalling probe for electrochemical determination of microRNA-222. *Microchim Acta* 187:4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-019-4011-7>
20. De La Escosura-Muñiz A, Baptista-Pires L, Serrano L et al (2016) Magnetic bead/gold nanoparticle double-labeled primers for electrochemical detection of isothermal amplified *Leishmania* DNA. *Small* 12:205–213. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201502350>
21. Toldrà A, Andree KB, Fernández-tejedor M et al (2018) Dual quantitative PCR assay for identification and enumeration of *Karlodinium veneficum* and *Karlodinium armiger* combined with a simple and rapid DNA extraction method. *J Appl Phycol* 30:2435–2445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-018-1446-x>
22. Joda H, Valerio H, Noora A, et al (2014) Medium-high resolution electrochemical genotyping of HLA-DQ2 / DQ8 for detection of predisposition to coeliac disease. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 406:2757–2769. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-014-7650-x>
23. Acero Sánchez JL, Joda H, Henry OYF, Solnestam BW, Kvastad L, Akan PS, Lundberg J, Laddach N, Ramakrishnan D, Riley I, Schwind C, Latta D, O'Sullivan CK (2017) Electrochemical genetic profiling of single cancer cells. *Anal Chem* 89:3378–3385. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b03973>
24. Liu X, Atwater M, Wang J, Huo Q (2007) Extinction coefficient of gold nanoparticles with different sizes and different capping ligands. *Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces* 58:3–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2006.08.005>
25. Liu L, Pan H, Du M et al (2010) Glassy carbon electrode modified with nafion-*au* colloids for clenbuterol electroanalysis. *Electrochim Acta* 55:7240–7245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2010.06.078>
26. Shi A, Wang J, Han X, Fang X, Zhang Y (2014) A sensitive electrochemical DNA biosensor based on gold nanomaterial and graphene amplified signal. *Sensors Actuators B Chem* 200:206–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.04.024>
27. Lau HY, Wu H, Wee EJH, Trau M, Wang Y, Botella JR (2017) Specific and sensitive isothermal electrochemical biosensor for plant pathogen DNA detection with colloidal gold nanoparticles as probes. *Sci Rep* 7:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38896>
28. Han S, Liu W, Zheng M, Wang R (2020) Label-free and ultrasensitive electrochemical DNA biosensor based on urchinlike carbon nanotube-gold nanoparticle nanoclusters. *Anal Chem* 92:4780–4787. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b03520>
29. Sun H, Kong J, Wang Q, Liu Q, Zhang X (2019) Dual signal amplification by eATRP and DNA-templated silver nanoparticles for ultrasensitive electrochemical detection of nucleic acids. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 11:27568–27573. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b08037>
30. Toldrà A, Jauset-rubio M, Andree KB et al (2018) Detection and quantification of the toxic marine microalgae *Karlodinium veneficum* and *Karlodinium armiger* using recombinase polymerase amplification and enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay. *Anal Chim Acta* 1039:140–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.07.057>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.