



Label-free colorimetric assay for base excision repair enzyme activity based on nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification

Xiaojuan Liu^a, Mingqin Chen^b, Ting Hou^a, Xiuzhong Wang^a, Shufeng Liu^b, Feng Li^{a,*}

^a College of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao 266109, China

^b College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 September 2013

Received in revised form

14 November 2013

Accepted 20 November 2013

Available online 27 November 2013

Keywords:

Biosensor

DNAzyme

Colorimetric

UDG activity

ABSTRACT

Specific and sensitive detection of base excision repair enzyme activity is essential to many fundamental biochemical process researches. Here, we propose a novel label-free homogeneous strategy for visualized uracil DNA glycosylase (UDG) activity assay based on nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification. In this method two hairpin probes were employed for the colorimetric detection, namely hairpin probe 1 (HP 1) carrying two uracil residues in the stem, and hairpin probe 2 (HP 2) containing a G-rich DNAzyme segment, and the recognition sequence as well as the cleavage site for the nicking enzyme. In the presence of UDG, the uracil bases in the stem of HP 1 can be specifically recognized and hydrolyzed by UDG, which leads to the destabilization of its stem containing abasic sites (AP sites), and then results in the opening of HP 1 to form a single strand. The opened HP 1 hybridizes with HP 2 to form a DNA duplex, which initiates the specific cleavage of HP 2 by the nicking enzyme, leading to the release of G-rich DNAzyme segments. As a result, HP 1 is released and able to hybridize with another HP 2 to induce the continuous cleavage of HP 2, generating enormous amount of G-rich DNAzyme segments. Finally, the G-rich DNAzyme segments bind hemin to form a catalytically active G-quadruplex-hemin DNAzyme which can catalyze the H₂O₂-mediated oxidation of 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS²⁻) to the colored ABTS[•], providing a visible signal for UDG activity detection. This assay exhibits several advantages such as simplicity, low-cost, high selectivity and desirable sensitivity, which shows great potential of providing a promising platform for convenient and visualized analysis of UDG or other biomolecules.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

As the first glycosylase discovered, uracil-DNA glycosylase (UDG) is one of the most studied base excision repair (BER) enzymes functioning as a sentry guarding against undesired uracil to prevent uracil lesions of DNA in almost all known organisms (Lindahl, 1974). UDG initiates the DNA BER by catalyzing the hydrolysis of the N-glycosidic bond that links the base to the deoxyribose backbone, removing the uracil and generating an abasic site (AP site) (Krusong et al., 2006; Cole et al., 2010). Human UDG is able to remove uracil from both single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), whether it is in an U-A base pair or any type of base mismatches, but UDG is not active against uracil in RNA or any normal bases in DNA owing to its active site pocket being highly specific for uracil (Verri et al., 1992; Berramy and Baldwin, 2001; Slupphaug et al., 1995). Upon the removal of undesired uracil, the DNA repair process is then completed by AP endonucleases, deoxyribophosphodiesterases,

DNA polymerases and DNA ligases (David and Williams, 1988). Since the BER process plays a very important role to maintain genetic integrity and in related diseases such as human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and Bloom syndrome (Zharkov et al., 2010; Seal et al., 1988), sensitive assays for UDG activity are crucial for the study of mechanisms and functions of many fundamental biochemical processes. Therefore, it is desirable to develop sensitive and selective assays to monitor UDG activity.

Classic strategies for UDG activity assay are primarily gel electrophoresis, mass spectrometry, and radioactive labeling (Krokan and Wittwer, 1981; Ischenko and Saparbaev, 2002; Tchou et al., 1991). However, all of these methods are known to be time-consuming and indirect due to the requirement of sophisticated instrumentation, additional multi-step separation techniques, and costly labeled reagents. Therefore, to overcome the drawbacks of the traditional assays, some fluorescence-based strategies performed in homogeneous phases have been developed to realize simple, robust and rapid assay of UDG activity (Zhang et al., 2012; Bellamy et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2013; Xiang and Lu, 2012; Ono et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2011). For example, Liu et al. (2007) utilized dsDNA probes modified with multiple uracil residues for real-time monitoring of uracil removal by UDG. Qu's group

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 532 86080213.
E-mail address: lifeng@qust.edu.cn (F. Li).

(Hu et al., 2011) developed a label-free fluorescence turn-on strategy to assay UDG activity based on the unique strong interaction between N-methyl mesoporphyrin IX and the folded G-quadruplex upon removal of uracil by UDG. Quite recently, a colorimetric approach for assaying UDG activity was proposed based on the enzyme-catalyzed assembly of gold nanoparticles decorated with DNA probes (Nguyen et al., 2012). To further increase the sensitivity of UDG activity assays, current interests have been focused on the incorporation of signal amplification mechanisms into more sensitive UDG activity detection.

DNA enzymes (DNAzymes) represent a class of catalytic nucleic acids selected by the systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment process (SELEX) (Willner et al., 2008). The G-quadruplex is a unique higher-order structure consisting of square-planar arrangements of guanine nucleobases stabilized by Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding and monovalent cations, and it is capable of intercalating hemin to form the G-quadruplex–hemin DNAzyme complex (Parkinson et al., 2002; Travascio et al., 1998). As one of the most studied DNAzymes, G-quadruplex–hemin DNAzyme exhibits superior peroxidase-like activity and can effectively catalyze the H_2O_2 -mediated oxidation of 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) ($ABTS^{2-}$) to generate a colorimetric signal as the readout (Li et al., 2010). This working mechanism of G-quadruplex–hemin DNAzyme has been widely used in the development of sensing biomolecules and metal ions by tethering it to a variety of DNA sequences with particular recognition features (Xiao et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2013; Elbaz et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2010). For instance, the recognition of cocaine by its aptamer leads to the activation of DNAzyme for cocaine detection (Elbaz et al., 2009). Kong's group proposed a strategy for Ag^+ detection based on Ag^+ -mediated formation of G-quadruplex–hemin DNAzyme combining with C– Ag^+ –C base pairs (Kong et al., 2010). Previous studies have demonstrated that nicking endonucleases (NEases) can recognize specific nucleotide sequences in dsDNA and catalyze the cleavages of only one strand of a dsDNA at a fixed position relative to the recognition sequence (Higgins et al., 2001). Quite recently, to take advantage of these fascinating characteristics of NEases, many researches have been focused on the integration of DNAzyme and NEases to develop nicking enzyme signal amplification detection platforms for different analytes to improve the sensitivity (Wen et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2013).

Herein, we propose a novel nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification strategy for sensitive label-free colorimetric analysis of UDG activity. This approach relies on the removal of uracil by UDG triggering the formation of G-quadruplex structures which result in the increase of catalytic activity of G-quadruplex–hemin DNAzyme. Specifically, the UDG activity sensing strategy was examined in the $H_2O_2/ABTS^{2-}$ system which generates a color change signal observed by naked eyes or detected by UV–vis spectroscopy. Furthermore, this combination of DNAzyme and the nicking enzyme signal amplification could have higher sensitivity than the traditional colorimetric strategies. Additionally, this method for UDG activity detection has the advantages of convenience and cost-efficiency, because no chemical modification or immobilization for the DNA probe is required. All of these advantages of the proposed strategy for the detection of UDG activity make it a very promising candidate to be applied in UDG functional studies in the future.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and instruments

EDTA, NaCl, $MgCl_2$, KCl, sodium 4-(2-hydroxyethyl) piperazine-1-ethanesulfonate (HEPES) and [tris(hydroxymethyl)-1]aminomethane

(Tris) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), hemin, disodium 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline)-6-sulfonate (ABTS) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The reagents were of analytical grade and were used without further purification or treatment. Double distilled water (DDW) was used throughout the experiments. 8-Oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (hoGG1), bovine serum albumin (BSA), and nicking enzyme Nb.BbvCI were purchased from the New England Biolabs, Inc. (Ipswich, MA, USA). *Escherichia coli* uracil DNA glycosylase (UDG) and $10\times$ UDG reaction buffer (200 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.2) were purchased from Fermentas China Co., Ltd. All oligonucleotides used in this work were synthesized and purified by Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), and their sequences were listed in Table 1. The hairpin probe 1 (HP 1) and hairpin probe 2 (HP 2) were used as provided and diluted in 20 mM Tris–HCl buffer solution (pH 8.0, containing 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM $MgCl_2$ and 1 mM EDTA) to give the stock solutions of 10 μ M. Each oligonucleotide was heated to 95 °C and maintained at this temperature for 10 min, and slowly cooled down to room temperature before use.

UV–vis absorbance measurements were performed using a Shimadzu UV-2600 UV–visible spectrophotometer at room temperature. The wavelength at the maximum absorbance (λ_{max}) of the peroxidase-mimicking reaction was 418 nm.

2.2. Assay of UDG activity

The uracil base removal experiments were performed in $1\times$ UDG reaction buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaCl, pH 8.2) containing 40 nM HP 1, 200 nM HP 2, and a varying amount of UDG at 37 °C for 30 min. Then, Nb.BbvCI (4 μ L, 5 U/ μ L) and $2\times$ concentrated CutSmart™ Buffer (34 μ L) were added and allowed to incubate for 1 h at 37 °C. After the reactions were completed, 2 μ L of 5 mM hemin (prepared in DMSO), 30 μ L of $10\times$ HEPES solution (250 mM HEPES, 2 M NaCl, 200 mM KCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, pH 8.0), and 140 μ L of H_2O were added and the mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 1.5 h to form the hemin/G-quadruplex structures. Finally, 30 μ L of 20 mM ABTS and 30 μ L of 20 mM H_2O_2 substrates were added to initiate the biocatalyzed oxidation of $ABTS^{2-}$. UV–vis absorption spectra were obtained 5 min later and the absorbance at 418 nm was used for quantitative analysis. All other control experiments were performed in a similar way. All experiments were repeated three times.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Principle of the analytical process for amplified detection of UDG activity

In the present work, we developed a novel simple homogeneous colorimetric sensor to investigate the UDG activity using nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification, and the principle of the strategy is illustrated in Fig. 1. The DNA probes utilized here

Table 1
Sequences of the oligonucleotides used in the experiments.

Name	Sequence
HP 1	5'- <u>CUGU</u> AAGGAGGGACCTCAGCACAG-3'
HP 2	5'-TGGGTAGGCGGGTTGGGTTTTTTGTGC [↓] TGAGGTCCCAACCC-3'

The underlined bold letters in HP 1 are deoxyuridines. The italic bold letters in HP 1 and HP 2 are the recognition sequences for Nb.BbvCI, and the arrow indicates the nicking position.




Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of the principle of the proposed assay. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

were rationally designed for achieving an efficient result. The hairpin probe 1 (HP 1) contained two uracil residues in the stem, and the green region of HP 1 was designed to be complementary to the green region of the hairpin probe 2 (HP 2), which contained a specific nicking enzyme cleavage site (red region) in the loop. Additionally, in HP 2, the G-rich DNAzyme sequence (blue region) is partially caged in the duplex structure of the stem by hybridization, preventing the formation of G-quadruplex and the intercalation of hemin molecule. The two DNA probes, HP 1 and HP 2, can coexist in solution with negligible intermolecular hybridization (Zheng et al., 2012). When UDG is introduced into the sensing system, the uracil bases in the stem of HP 1 can be specifically recognized and hydrolyzed by UDG, leaving an AP site, and then HP 1 is opened due to the destabilization of the stem containing AP sites. Thereby, the green region of HP 1 can hybridize with the green region of HP 2 to form the duplex structure containing a full recognition site for the nicking enzyme. As a widely used nicking enzyme, Nb.BbvCI which recognizes an asymmetric sequence (5'-GCTGAGG-3') was introduced into the recycle system, and it then specifically cleaved HP 2 into two fragments. Consequently, HP 1 dissociated from the cleaved HP 2 due to the less stable duplex structure, releasing the G-rich DNAzyme segments. Finally, the released HP 1 hybridized with another HP 2 and initiated the cycle of cleavages, resulting in the liberation of enormous amount of G-rich DNAzyme segments. The G-rich DNAzyme segment was able to form the G-quadruplex-hemin DNAzyme in the presence of hemin, and then effectively catalyzed the H_2O_2 -mediated oxidation of ABTS^{2-} , generating the colored product $\text{ABTS}^{\bullet-}$, which has a maximum absorption at 418 nm. Thus, we can easily monitor the UDG activity by observing the color change of the reaction system by naked-eye or by detecting the absorption signal at 418 nm with UV-vis spectroscopy. It is worth noting that one target could induce accumulated amounts of DNAzyme to generate increased detectable signal readout through the nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification process, which improves the sensitivity compared with traditional colorimetric assays.

3.2. Feasibility study

UV-vis spectroscopic measurements for samples under different conditions were carried out to verify the feasibility of the proposed strategy. The UV-vis absorption spectra of HP 2/hemin,

HP 1/HP 2/hemin, HP 1/HP 2/Nb.BbvCI/hemin, HP 1/HP 2/UDG/hemin and HP 1/HP 2/UDG/Nb.BbvCI/hemin systems were recorded in the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{ABTS}^{2-}$ system. As shown in Fig. 2, in the absence of UDG, HP 2/hemin (curve 1), HP 1/HP 2/hemin (curve 2) and HP 1/HP 2/Nb.BbvCI/hemin (curve 3) exhibited relatively weak UV-vis absorbance (at 418 nm), which can be attributed to the inherent low catalytic activity of hemin, indicating that the catalytically active G-quadruplex-hemin DNAzyme were scarcely formed. However, the UV-vis absorbance had an observable increase with only UDG added for the 1:1 binding strategy (curve 4). These results indicated that the two DNA probes, HP 1 and HP 2, can coexist in solutions until UDG is introduced to trigger the hybridization of HP 1 and HP 2 through the removal of the uracil bases in the stem of HP 1, resulting in the release of G-rich DNAzyme sequence. Obviously, when both UDG and Nb.BbvCI were added into the solution, a full recognition site for Nb.BbvCI was formed to initiate the recycle signal amplification strategy due to the formation of DNA duplex induced by UDG, leading to a dramatic increase of UV-vis absorbance (curve 5). The corresponding color changes shown in the inset of Fig. 2 further demonstrated the feasibility of our strategy. Thus, the experimental results clearly indicated that the proposed nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification visual detection strategy can be adopted for sensitive UDG activity detection.

3.3. Optimization of the concentration of HP 1

The concentration of HP 1 plays a critical role for the assay. To investigate the effect of the HP 1 concentration in the sensing system, UV-vis absorbance (at 418 nm) with different concentrations of HP 1 ranging from 10 nM to 200 nM were recorded and shown in Fig. 3. In the UDG group, 5 U/mL UDG were added in each sample, but in the control group all other components except UDG were added and the reaction condition was the same as that for the UDG group. The results indicated that the optimum concentration of HP 1 used in this sensing system was 40 nM based on the best signal-to-noise ratio.

3.4. UDG activity detection

Under the optimal experimental conditions, the activity of UDG was investigated by varying the concentration of UDG. As shown in Fig. 4A, a significant increase in the UV-vis absorbance was observed as the concentration of UDG was increased from 0.06 U/mL




Fig. 2. UV-vis absorption spectra of samples under different conditions: (1) HP 2 (200 nM); (2) HP 1 (40 nM) + HP 2 (200 nM); (3) HP 1 (40 nM) + HP 2 (200 nM) + Nb.BbvCI (20 U); (4) HP 1 (40 nM) + HP 2 (200 nM) + UDG (5 U/mL) and (5) HP 1 (40 nM) + HP 2 (200 nM) + UDG + Nb.BbvCI (20 U). The inset shows the photographic images of the reaction tubes.




Fig. 3. Absorbance under different concentrations of HP 1 in the sensing system. For the UDG sample groups 5 U/mL UDG was added, and the control groups contained all the components in the UDG sample groups except UDG. The concentration of HP 2 was 200 nM, and the concentration of HP 1 varied from 10 nM to 200 nM.




Fig. 4. (A) UV-vis absorbance spectra under different concentrations of UDG: (a) 0, (b) 0.06, (c) 0.1, (d) 0.2, (e) 0.4, (f) 1, (g) 2, (h) 5 and (i) 8 U/mL. (B) Calibration curve of the absorbance at 418 nm as a function of the UDG concentration. Inset shows the linear relationship between the absorbance and the logarithm of the UDG concentration.

to 8 U/mL. Since the increase of UV-vis absorbance is caused by the accumulation of the catalytically active G-quadruplex-hemin DNAzyme, the results indicated that UDG with a higher concentration




Fig. 5. UV-vis absorbance at 418 nm of the reaction systems with the addition of UDG (5 U/mL) or other nonspecific proteins (5 U/mL hoGG1, 1 mg/mL BSA).

can yield more active G-rich DNAzyme segments through the nicking enzyme catalyzed DNA cleavage reaction. Fig. 4B depicts the relationship between the absorbance at 418 nm and the UDG concentration. The calibration curve for analyzing the activity of UDG was shown in the inset of Fig. 4B. As we can see, there was a good linear correlation between the absorbance and the logarithm of the UDG concentration over the range from 0.06 U/mL to 8 U/mL and the detection limit was estimated to be 0.02 U/mL based on 3σ , which exhibited better or comparable performance compared to other fluorescent or colorimetric methods (Zhang et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2012). In addition, the repeatability of the sensing system was further investigated through six successive assays in the presence of 5 U/mL UDG. It was found that the relative standard deviation (RSD) was 2.17%, which demonstrated that the proposed approach possessed an acceptable repeatability.

3.5. Selectivity of the UDG activity assay

Furthermore, the selectivity of the as-proposed sensing system was investigated by using a nonspecific protein bovine serum albumin (BSA) and another BER enzyme 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (hoGG1) in the control experiments. As shown in Fig. 5, both BSA and hoGG1 gave relatively small signals. In contrast, a significant absorbance signal was observed when UDG was used, owing to the specific recognition and removal of uracil from HP 1 initiating the following reactions. Therefore, the proposed strategy had high selectivity for UDG.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have proposed a novel and simple homogeneous strategy for colorimetric detection of UDG activity by integrating DNAzyme and the nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification. The DNA probes require no chemical modification or label, which makes this approach convenient and low-cost. Additionally, this sensing system has high selectivity and sensitivity, and therefore exhibits better or comparable performance compared to those previously reported fluorescence-based or gold nanoparticle-based assays. More importantly, the proposed strategy represents the first example of using the nicking enzyme assisted signal amplification for colorimetric detection of UDG activity. Therefore, this method has great potential to be applied in the analysis of many other target molecules with excellent selectivity and desirable sensitivity.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21375072, 21175076 and 21005043), the Scientific Special Expenditure for Non-profit Public Industry of State Oceanic Administration (201105020), and the Basic Research Program of Qingdao (Nos. 13-1-4-214-jch and 13-1-4-226-jch).

References

- Bellamy, S.R.W., Krusong, K., Baldwin, G.S., 2007. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, 1478–1487.
- Berramy, S.R., Baldwin, G.S., 2001. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 3857–3863.
- Cole, H.A., Godwin, J.M.T., Hayes, J.J., 2010. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 2876–2885.
- David, S.S., Williams, S.D., 1988. *Chem. Rev.* 98, 1221–1261.
- Elbaz, J., Moshe, M., Shlyahovsky, B., Willner, I., 2009. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 15, 3411–3418.
- Higgins, L.S., Besnier, C., Kong, H.M., 2001. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 2492–2501.
- Hu, D., Huang, Z.Z., Pu, F., Ren, J.S., Qu, X.G., 2011. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 17, 1635–1641.
- Huang, Y., Chen, J., Zhao, S.L., Shi, M., Chen, Z.F., Liang, H., 2013. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 4423–4430.
- Ischenko, A.A., Saparbaev, M.K., 2002. *Nature* 415, 183–187.
- Kong, D.M., Cai, L.L., Shen, H.X., 2010. *Analyst* 135, 1253–1258.
- Krokan, H., Wittwer, C.U., 1981. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 9, 2599–2613.
- Krusong, K., Carpenter, E.P., Bellamy, S.R.W., Savva, R., Baldwin, G.S., 2006. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 4983–4992.
- Li, W., Liu, Z.L., Lin, H., Nie, Z., Chen, J.H., Xu, X.H., Yao, S.Z., 2010. *Anal. Chem.* 82, 1935–1941.
- Lindahl, T., 1974. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 71, 3649–3653.
- Liu, B., Yang, X.H., Wang, K.M., Tan, W.H., Li, H.M., Tang, H.X., 2007. *Anal. Biochem.* 366, 237–243.
- Liu, S.C., Wu, H.W., Jiang, J.H., Shen, G.L., Yu, R.Q., 2013. *Anal. Methods* 5, 164–168.
- Nguyen, V.T., Le, D.V., Nie, C., Zhou, D.M., Wang, Y.Z., Tang, L.J., Jiang, J.H., Yu, R.Q., 2012. *Talanta* 100, 303–307.
- Ono, T., Wang, S.L., Koo, C.K., Engstrom, L., David, S.S., Kool, E.T., 2012. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 51, 1689–1692.
- Parkinson, G.N., Lee, M.P.H., Neidle, S., 2002. *Nature* 417, 876–880.
- Seal, G., Brech, K., Karp, S.J., Cool, B.L., Sirover, M.A., 1988. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 85, 2339–2343.
- Slupphaug, G., Eftedal, I., Kavli, B., Bharati, S., Helle, N.M., Haug, T., Levine, D.W., Krokan, H.E., 1995. *Biochemistry* 34, 128–138.
- Tchou, J., Kasai, H., Shibutani, S., Chung, M.H., Laval, J., Grollman, A.P., Nishimura, S., 1991. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 88, 4690–4694.
- Travascio, P., Li, Y.F., Sen, D., 1998. *Chem. Biol.* 5, 505–517.
- Verri, A., Mazzarello, P., Spadari, S., Focher, F., 1992. *Biochem. J.* 287, 1007–1010.
- Wen, Y.Q., Xu, Y., Mao, X.H., Wei, Y.L., Song, H.Y., Chen, N., Huang, Q., Fan, C.H., Li, D., 2012. *Anal. Chem.* 84, 7664–7669.
- Willner, I., Shlyahovsky, B., Zayats, M., Willner, B., 2008. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 37, 1153–1165.
- Xiang, Y., Lu, Y., 2012. *Anal. Chem.* 84, 9981–9987.
- Xiao, Y., Pavlov, V., Niazov, T., Dishon, A., Kotler, M., Willner, I., 2004. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126, 7430–7431.
- Yang, X., Tong, C.Y., Long, Y., Liu, B., 2011. *Mol. Cell. Probes* 25, 219–221.
- Zhang, L.L., Zhao, J.J., Jiang, J.H., Yu, R.Q., 2012. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 8820–8822.
- Zharkov, D.O., Mechetin, G.V., Nevinsky, G.A., 2010. *Mutat. Res.* 685, 11–22.
- Zheng, A.X., Wang, J.R., Li, J., Song, X.R., Chen, G.N., Yang, H.H., 2012. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 374–376.
- Zhou, D.M., Xi, Q., Liang, M.F., Chen, C.H., Tang, L.J., Jiang, J.H., 2013. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 41, 359–365.