



# Manufacturing of an electrochemical biosensing platform based on hybrid DNA hydrogel: Taking lung cancer-specific miR-21 as an example

Shuopeng Liu, Wenqiong Su, Yulong Li, Lulu Zhang, Xianting Ding\*

Institute for Personalized Medicine, School of Biomedical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Cancer diagnostic  
DNA hydrogel  
MicroRNA  
Ferrocene  
Electrochemical biosensor

## ABSTRACT

DNA hydrogel garnered increasing attention in the sensing and medical field owing to its native biocompatibility and mechanical stability. While electrochemistry serves as a quantitative and sensitive detection technique, electrochemical DNA hydrogel biosensor is rarely reported. Here, for the first time, we report an electrochemical biosensor based on hybrid DNA hydrogel immobilized on indium tin oxide/polyethylene terephthalate (ITO/PET) electrode for the detection of lung cancer-specific microRNA, miR-21. The biosensor is capable of detecting miR-21 at a concentration as low as 5 nM (1 pmol) and linear read-out from 10 nM to 50  $\mu$ M. Ferrocene-tagged recognition probes were cross-linked with DNAs grafted on the polyacrylamide backbones to form the hybrid DNA hydrogel, which was further immobilized on 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (KH 570) treated ITO electrode. When the recognition probe was hybridized with the target miR-21, the hydrogel dissolved, producing a loss of ferrocene tags and a reduction in current, detected by Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) and Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV). The material characteristics of the biosensor were verified using contact angle meter and Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS). This novel biosensor holds great promise in early sensitive clinical diagnosis for a variety of cancer-specific biomarkers due to the flexible sequence design of the recognition probe.

## 1. Introduction

DNA hydrogel has received a substantial amount of attention as a promising biomaterial for sensor and actuator applications (Cai et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2016; Liu, 2011; Wei et al., 2008), owing to its biocompatibility, large specific surface, high diffusivity of small molecules, and mechanical stability (Huang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2011). According to the hydrogel composition, DNA hydrogels are categorized into pure and hybrid DNA hydrogel. Pure DNA hydrogel was firstly constructed from entirely branched DNAs through ligase-catalyzed reaction in 2006 (Um et al., 2006). The development of pure DNA hydrogel was limited by poor mechanical properties and high cost due to the massive DNAs required to form the hydrogel. To reduce the amount of DNA and enhance the stability of hydrogel, hydrophilic polymers like polyacrylamide (Lin et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2014), polypeptide (Chen et al., 2012; Shao et al., 2015), poly(phenylenevinylene) (Tang et al., 2009) and other materials (Cheng et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2010) have been reported to be involved as the backbones, and DNA strands grafted onto these polymers were cross-linked with probes to build the hybrid hydrogel (Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2008). DNA sequences of proper design can both act as the cross-linkers to build hydrogel and as the recognition probes to realize different stimuli-

responses, which offer the hybrid DNA hydrogel a great possibility to be applied to multi-target diagnosis due to the flexible sequence design of DNA strands (Shao et al., 2017).

While colorimetry has been used by the majority of the hybrid DNA hydrogel biosensors for detecting metal ion (Lin et al., 2011; Wei et al., 2015), cocaine (Wei et al., 2015, 2016; Zhu et al., 2010) and adenosine (Wei et al., 2015, 2016; Yang et al., 2008), it suffers from semi-quantification and relatively low sensitivity. Electrochemistry on the other hand has shown great promise since it exhibits numerous advantages such as high sensitivity and selectivity, minimal sample preparation, and simple usage for continuous in situ analysis. Due to these advantages, electrochemical biosensor based on hydrogel constructed with graphene oxide (GO) and fish sperm DNA was developed for nucleic acid detection (Sun et al., 2015). Polyethylenimine (PEI) and DNA probes were adsorbed to the GO/DNA hydrogel electrode by electrostatic attraction for target detection. Once the complementary DNA was hybridized with the DNA probe, the impedance will obviously decrease, showing great potential in sensitive and quantitative biomolecule detection.

MicroRNAs are small, noncoding RNAs (19–25 nucleotides) with regulatory functions and are highly stable compared to RNAs. Rapid and quantitative detection of microRNAs can be applied to early cancer

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [dingxianting@sjtu.edu.cn](mailto:dingxianting@sjtu.edu.cn) (X. Ding).

diagnostics and provide information on cancer progression (Calin and Croce, 2006; Chen et al., 2008; Esquela-Kerscher and Slack, 2006; Labib et al., 2013). However, the intrinsic properties of microRNAs, such as low abundance, short and highly homologous sequences, make it extremely challenging to develop a rapid, low-cost and sensitive microRNA detection platform. Over the past few decades, extensive research efforts have been made toward rapid and sensitive microRNA detection techniques (Catuogno et al., 2011). Northern blotting is the golden standard but it is time-consuming and limited by low-sensitivity. Other techniques such as the RT-PCR (Cissell and Deo, 2009) and the Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) (Pang et al., 2016) are restricted by sophisticated instruments and false positive problems. Electrochemical biosensors are auspicious methods for sensitive and simple detection of microRNA without labeling or amplification.

In this paper, the hybrid DNA hydrogel was immobilized on the silanized ITO electrode surface to develop a novel electrochemical biosensor to detect lung cancer-specific microRNA, miR-21. The hybrid hydrogels were scaffolded with the DNA-grafted linear polyacrylamide (PAA) and the ferrocene-tagged DNAs as the recognition probes. The recognition probes were cross-linked with the DNA strands grafted onto two PAAs with sequences that are complementary to adjacent areas of the recognition probes. The polymer solution transformed into a hydrogel as the hybridization proceeds. To enhance the experimental results, the formation time of the hybrid DNA hydrogel and the immobilization time of hydrogel on the ITO electrode have been optimized. The fabrication process of the electrochemical biosensor was verified using Cyclic Voltammetry (CV), contact angle meter, and Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS). Finally, the developed DNA hydrogel biosensor was applied to detect microRNA of different concentrations utilizing the Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV) technique. The stability and selectivity of the developed DNA hydrogel biosensor were further inspected to evaluate the potential commercial application for clinical diagnosis.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials and apparatus

The DNAs and microRNAs involved in this study were synthesized by Takara (Dalian, PRC) and purified by HPLC (Table 1). Acrylamide, 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate (KH 570), ammonium persulfate (APS) and N,N,N,N-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) were all analytical grade and purchased from Acros (USA), while other reagents were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent (Shanghai, PRC). Aqueous solutions were prepared with Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ·cm resistivity) from a Milli-Q Academic system (Millipore, USA). Tris-EDTA buffer solution (TE, pH 8.0) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 0.0067 M, pH 7.4) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (USA). Solutions involved in microRNA-related experiments were prepared with DEPC-treated water (Sigma, Switzerland) to minimize the degradation of microRNAs.

Electrochemical measurements were performed with a CHI 660E electrochemical workstation (CH Instruments, USA) and a conventional three-electrode system consisting of an ITO/PET working electrode, a

platinum counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) reference electrode (Chenhua, PRC).

### 2.2. Construction of electrochemical hybrid DNA hydrogel biosensor

An electrochemical biosensor, based on the hybrid DNA hydrogel that utilizes the ferrocene-tagged DNAs as the recognition probes, was designed and constructed as shown in Fig. 1.

Two oligonucleotides modified with acrydite (strand-A, and strand-B) at the 5'-end were separately dissolved in TE buffer solution containing 4% acrylamide and pretreated in vacuum desiccator as stock solutions (3 mM). The oligonucleotide stock solutions were then placed in the vacuum oven at 37 °C for 5 min. 0.01 g APS and 5 μL TEMED were separately added in 100 μL deionization water to prepare fresh APS solution and TEMED solution. 1.4 μL APS solution and 2.8 μL TEMED solution were added to both stock solutions and then placed in the vacuum oven at 37 °C for 10 min to initiate the polymerization (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information). Polymer strands A and B (P-A and P-B) were mixed with 3 μL recognition probe tagged with ferrocene (100 mM). The mixed solution was incubated at 60 °C for 15 min and then cooled down to 37 °C. After hybridization, the sequences were cross-linked to form the DNA hydrogel with ferrocene tags trapped inside (Wei et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2008).

The ITO electrodes were ultrasonically cleaned in water and ethanol for 5 min, respectively. Oxygen plasma was utilized to pretreat the electrodes to increase the number of hydroxy groups on ITO surface. Then, the electrodes were immersed in 10% (v/v) KH 570/ethanol solution overnight to produce a silanized ITO electrode. The silanized electrodes were cleansed using ethanol and kept at 120 °C for 20 min. The self-assembled DNA hydrogels were immobilized on the prepared ITO electrodes at 37 °C for 1 h.

### 2.3. MicroRNA detection with the electrochemical hybrid DNA hydrogel biosensor

MicroRNA analyte solutions of different concentrations (5 nM – 50 μM) were prepared by diluting microRNA stock solution (100 μM) with DEPC-treated water. The developed hydrogel biosensors were initially incubated in miR-21 analyte solutions for 30 min so that DNA recognition probes could sufficiently hybridize with the target miR-21. The hydrogel biosensors hybridized with the target miR-21 were then rinsed with PBS to remove the physical adsorption molecules and supervised by DPV from 0 to 0.6 V with the pulse period of 0.5 s in PBS. Five pieces of the prepared hydrogel biosensors were utilized for the detection of each microRNA concentration. MicroRNAs with highly homologous sequences like miR-122, miR-16, and miR-141 (50 μM) were used as interfering sequences to investigate the specificity of the developed hydrogel biosensor. A mixture solution containing bovine serum albumin (BSA, 500 μM), glucose (500 μM), lactic acid (500 μM), miR-122 (500 μM), miR-16 (500 μM), miR-141 (500 μM), and miR-21 (50 μM) was used to evaluate the selectivity.


## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Preparation of electrochemical hybrid DNA hydrogel biosensor

To further improve the detection results, the self-assembled time of DNA hydrogel was optimized to 9 h (Fig. S2A in the Supporting Information) and the time of immobilization on the silanized ITO electrode surface was optimized to 1 h (Fig. S2B in the Supporting Information). The preparation process of the DNA hydrogel electrode was characterized by CV in PBS at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. Bare and silanized ITO electrode exhibited no redox peak (Fig. 2A, black and red line). However, there was a pair of obvious redox peaks generated from the ferrocene tags (Fig. 2A, blue line), indicating that the hybrid DNA hydrogel was successfully immobilized on the ITO electrode.

**Table 1**  
Sequences of DNAs and MicroRNAs Used in This Work.

| Name        | Sequence (5'-3')               |
|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Strand-A    | acrydite-AAAATGTGATATAT        |
| Strand-B    | acrydite-AAAATATCAGACTGA       |
| DNA probe   | ATATATCAACATCAGTCTGATAAGCTA-Fc |
| hsa-miR-21  | UAGCUUAUCAGACUGAUGUUGA         |
| hsa-miR-16  | UAGCAGCAGUAAAUUUGGCG           |
| hsa-miR-122 | UGGAGUGUGACAAUGGUGUUUG         |
| hsa-miR-141 | UAACACUGUCUGUAAAGAUGG          |




**Fig. 1.** Scheme of the proposed electrochemical DNA hydrogel biosensor to detect target miR-21. (A) Polymerization of acrydite-DNA sequences and acrylamide. (B) Self-assembly of the DNA hydrogel with the ferrocene-tagged recognition probes and polymer strands. (C) ITO electrode was pretreated and modified with KH 570. (D) The DNA hydrogel was immobilized on the silanized ITO electrode. (E) In the presence of target miR-21, the recognition probes hybridized with the miR-21 strands, forming double helices and released from the ITO electrode surface.

The fabrication process was also investigated using contact angle meter and the EDS as shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 2B shows the images taken by the contact angle meter. The stratification of DNA hydrogel and dyeing solution added in the tube was also shown in Fig. 2B, indicating the formation of DNA hydrogel. Fig. 2C–F show the EDS analysis results of elements Si, N, P, and Fe. The electrode surface was almost completely covered with Si in Fig. 2C and the process of the silanization of ITO electrode was confirmed. Pure PAA hydrogel without DNA strands was prepared and utilized to demonstrate the immobilization reactions on the surface of the silanized ITO electrode. EDS phase distribution image of the pure PAA hydrogel was shown in Fig. 2D and the presence of element N indicates the successful immobilization of the PAA hydrogel. When the hybrid DNA hydrogel was immobilized on the ITO electrode, the element P generated by the phosphate backbones of DNA and element Fe originated from the ferrocene-labeled recognition

probes would widely exist on the surface of the ITO electrode, which was proved by the EDS phase distribution images (Fig. 2E and F).

### 3.2. Performance of the electrochemical hybrid DNA hydrogel biosensor for miR-21 detection

A series of analyte solutions were prepared with DEPC-treated water, concentration varying from 5 nM to 50  $\mu$ M. The prepared hydrogel biosensor was introduced to the miR-21 solution, so the ferrocene-tagged recognition probe would hybridize with the target miR-21, and the formed double helix would release from the hydrogel to the bulk solution due to the competitive probe-target binding (Yang et al., 2008), leading to the partially dissolved DNA hydrogel. DPV was used to examine the hydrogel biosensor, and the DPV signal generated by the electrochemical tags was proportional to the amount of the ferrocene



**Fig. 2.** (A) CV curves of bare, silanized, and hydrogel-coated ITO electrodes in PBS. (B) Images of contact angle test for ITO electrode immobilized with DNA hydrogel, inserted with visual observation of DNA hydrogel and dyeing solution stratification. EDS phase distribution images of silanized ITO electrode for the analysis of Si (C) and hydrogel-coated ITO electrode for the analysis of (D) N, (E) P and (F) Fe. Bright spots represent the locations of the EDS analysis points. Scale bar is 10  $\mu$ m.




Fig. 3. (A) DPV for the electrochemical DNA hydrogel in the presence of target miR-21 at 5  $\mu M$ . (B) Data point of 5 nM is an apparent outlier identified from Cook's distance plot, indicating detection of 5 nM does not strictly follow the linearity relationship between  $\Delta I$  and miR-21 concentration. (C) Linear regression model between  $\Delta I$  and miR-21 concentration for the electrochemical DNA hydrogel biosensor. (D) Residual of each data point in the normal Q-Q plot is uniformly distributed along a straight line, which means the standardized residuals accord with the normal distribution. (E) The corresponding abscissa of the vertex of parabola is close to 1, indicating no data transformation required in the model. (F) The storage stability of the hydrogel biosensor. (G) The selectivity and specificity of the hydrogel biosensor with different interferences: miR-21 (50  $\mu M$ ), miR-122 (50  $\mu M$ ), miR-16 (50  $\mu M$ ), miR-141 (50  $\mu M$ ), and a mixture solution (50  $\mu M$  of miR-21 and 500  $\mu M$  of each interference).

molecules, contained in the DNA hydrogel on the ITO electrode. During the detection of target miR-21 with the prepared hydrogel biosensor, the DPV curve of the fresh hydrogel biosensor was used as the baseline, and the DPV peak current was reduced after the hybridization. The decrease value ( $\Delta I$ ) of the peak current was utilized as the detection signal (Fig. 3A). The mean value of the detection signals from five separate hydrogel biosensors was used to establish the linear regression model between  $\Delta I$  and miR-21 concentration.

The statistical properties of the mathematical model (Chambers and Hastie, 1992; Wilkinson and Rogers, 1973) between microRNA concentrations and peak current response were elucidated to demonstrate model validation and rationality. Fig. 3B shows that the cook's distance of 5 nM is larger than  $4 / N$  ( $N$  in this model is 7) (Bollen and Jackman, 1985), indicating an apparent outlier that should be removed from the regression model. As shown in Fig. 3C, decrease in peak current was proportional to the logarithmic value of microRNA concentration over the range of 10 nM – 50  $\mu M$  and the linear regression equation is  $\Delta I = 21.8144 \times \log (C_{microRNA}) - 20.7020$  with  $R^2 = 0.99$ . The normal Q-Q plot (Fig. 3D) indicates that the residual follows a normal distribution, which satisfied the prerequisite for a regression model. Whether the model should be fitted with  $Y$  or  $Y^\lambda$  was also investigated using the Box-

Cox transformation. As shown in Fig. 5E,  $\lambda$  equals approximately to 1, indicating no further transformation in the model was needed (Box and Cox, 1964; Venables and Ripley, 2002).

The storage stability of the hydrogel biosensor was evaluated by placing the prepared DNA hydrogel biosensors in a vacuum box at 4  $^\circ C$  for two weeks. Three pieces of the hydrogel biosensors were taken out for miR-21 detection (50  $\mu M$ ) on day 1, 3, 7, and 14, respectively (Fig. 3F). The results show that the DNA hydrogel biosensor retained 98.36% of the initial electrochemical detection signal after 3 days of storage, 93.43% after 7 days of storage and 85.67% after 14 days of storage, exhibiting a desired storage stability of the hydrogel biosensor.

Due to the property of highly homologous sequences, miR-122, miR-16 and miR-141 selected from the *Sanger miRBase* were used as interfering sequences to investigate the specificity of the developed hydrogel biosensor. The selective detection of miR-21 with the hydrogel biosensor was investigated in simulative cellular environment which was prepared with BSA, glucose, lactic acid and microRNA mixture. The corresponding detection results were shown in Fig. 3G and it is noticeable that the signal of miR-21 is much stronger than other interfering sequences, showing the electrochemical DNA hydrogel biosensor has great specificity to target miR-21. The detection results in

simulative cellular environment also show desired selectivity that the hydrogel biosensor can be potentially used in clinical application for early diagnosis of lung cancer.

#### 4. Conclusions

Electrochemical hybrid DNA hydrogel biosensor, utilizing a designed ferrocene-labeled DNA probe, was initially proposed for lung cancer-specific miR-21 detection. The recognition DNA probes were cross-linked with the DNA strands grafted onto PAAs to scaffold the hybrid DNA hydrogel. The detection limit of the presented hydrogel biosensor was as low as 5 nM (1 pmol). High sensitivity, desired stability and good selectivity of the DNA hydrogel biosensor indicates that the developed hydrogel biosensor can be promising for cancer clinical diagnosis. The novel electrochemical DNA hydrogel biosensor exhibits great potential as a sensitive detection platform that could be further extended to a variety of targets due to the flexible design of the recognition probe, such as proteins, exosomes, and small molecules (e.g., cocaine).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the State Key Laboratory of Robotics Open Grant (2016-006) and the China Science and Technology Innovation Zone (17-163-15-XJ-002-002-09).

#### Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.12.021>.

#### References

- Bollen, K.A., Jackman, R.W., 1985. Regression diagnostics an expository treatment of outliers and influential cases. *Sociol. Methods Res.* 13 (4), 510–542.
- Box, G.E.P., Cox, D.R., 1964. An analysis of transformations. *J. R. Stat. Soc. B* 26, 211–252.
- Cai, W., Xie, S., Zhang, J., Tang, D., Tang, Y., 2017. An electrochemical impedance biosensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup> detection based on DNA hydrogel by coupling with DNAzyme-assisted target recycling and hybridization chain reaction. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 98, 466–472.
- Calin, G.A., Croce, C.M., 2006. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 6 (11), 857–866.
- Catuogno, S., Esposito, C.L., Quintavalle, C., Cerchia, L., Condorelli, G., De Franciscis, V., 2011. Recent Advance in Biosensors for microRNAs Detection in Cancer. *Cancers* 3 (2), 1877–1898.
- Chambers, J.M., Hastie, T.J., 1992. Linear models. In: *Statistical Models in S*. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole.
- Chen, P., Li, C., Liu, D., Li, Z., 2012. DNA-grafted polypeptide molecular bottlebrush prepared via ring-opening polymerization and click chemistry. *Macromolecules* 45 (24), 9579–9584.
- Chen, X., Ba, Y., Ma, L., Cai, X., Yin, Y., Wang, K., Guo, J., Zhang, Y., Chen, J., Guo, X., Li, Q., Li, X., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Jiang, X., Xiang, Y., Xu, C., Zheng, P., Zhang, J., Li, R., Zhang, H., Shang, X., Gong, T., Ning, G., Wang, J., Zen, K., Zhang, J., Zhang, C.-Y., 2008. Characterization of microRNAs in serum: a novel class of biomarkers for diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. *Cell Res.* 18 (10), 997–1006.
- Cheng, E., Li, Y., Yang, Z., Deng, Z., Liu, D., 2011. DNA-SWNT hybrid hydrogel. *Chem. Commun.* 47 (19), 5545–5547.
- Cissell, K.A., Deo, S.K., 2009. Trends in microRNA detection. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 394 (4), 1109–1116.
- Esquela-Kerscher, A., Slack, F.J., 2006. Oncomirs - microRNAs with a role in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 6 (4), 259–269.
- Huang, Y., Fang, L., Zhu, Z., Ma, Y., Zhou, L., Chen, X., Xu, D., Yang, C., 2016. Design and synthesis of target-responsive hydrogel for portable visual quantitative detection of uranium with a microfluidic distance-based readout device. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 85, 496–502.
- Huang, Y.S., Ma, Y.L., Chen, Y.H., Wu, X.M., Fang, L.T., Zhu, Z., Yang, C.J., 2014. Target-responsive DNAzyme cross-linked hydrogel for visual quantitative detection of lead. *Anal. Chem.* 86 (22), 11434–11439.
- Labib, M., Ghobadloo, S.M., Khan, N., Kolphchikov, D.M., Berezovski, M.V., 2013. Four-way junction formation promoting ultrasensitive electrochemical detection of MicroRNA. *Anal. Chem.* 85 (20), 9422–9427.
- Lin, D.C., Yurke, B., Langrana, N.A., 2004. Mechanical properties of a reversible, DNA-crosslinked polyacrylamide hydrogel. *J. Biomech. Eng.-Trans. Asme* 126 (1), 104–110.
- Lin, H., Zou, Y., Huang, Y., Chen, J., Zhang, W.Y., Zhuang, Z., Jenkins, G., Yang, C.J., 2011. DNAzyme crosslinked hydrogel: a new platform for visual detection of metal ions. *Chem. Commun.* 47 (33), 9312.
- Liu, D., Jia, S., Zhang, H., Ma, Y., Guan, Z., Li, J., Zhu, Z., Ji, T., Yang, C.J., 2017. Integrating target-responsive hydrogel with pressuremeter readout enables simple, sensitive, user-friendly, quantitative point-of-care testing. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*.
- Liu, D.S., Cheng, E.J., Yang, Z.Q., 2011. DNA-based switchable devices and materials. *NPG Asia Mater.* 3, 109–114.
- Liu, J., 2011. Oligonucleotide-functionalized hydrogels as stimuli responsive materials and biosensors. *Soft Matter* 7 (15), 6757–6767.
- Pang, Y., Wang, C., Wang, J., Sun, Z., Xiao, R., Wang, S., 2016. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Ag magnetic nanoparticles for microRNA capture and duplex-specific nuclease signal amplification based SERS detection in cancer cells. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 79, 574–580.
- Shao, Y., Jia, H., Cao, T., Liu, D., 2017. Supramolecular Hydrogels based on DNA self-assembly. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 50 (4), 659–668.
- Shao, Y., Li, C., Zhou, X., Chen, P., Yang, Z., Li, Z., Liu, D., 2015. Responsive polypeptide-DNA hydrogel crosslinked by G-quadruplex. *Acta Chim. Sin.* 73 (8), 815–818.
- Sun, L., Hu, N., Peng, J., Chen, L., Weng, J., 2015. Ultrasensitive detection of mitochondrial DNA mutation by graphene oxide/DNA hydrogel electrode. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 24 (44), 6905–6913.
- Tang, H., Duan, X., Feng, X., Liu, L., Wang, S., Li, Y., Zhu, D., 2009. Fluorescent DNA-poly (phenylenevinylene) hybrid hydrogels for monitoring drug release. *Chem. Commun.* 6, 641–643.
- Um, S.H., Lee, J.B., Park, N., Kwon, S.Y., Umbach, C.C., Luo, D., 2006. Enzyme-catalysed assembly of DNA hydrogel. *Nat. Mater.* 5 (10), 797–801.
- Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D., 2002. Modern applied statistics with S. *Stat. Comput.* 52 (1), 704–705.
- Wei, B., Cheng, I., Luo, K.Q., Mi, Y., 2008. Capture and release of protein by a reversible DNA-induced sol-gel transition system. *Angew. Chem.-Int. Ed.* 47 (2), 331–333.
- Wei, X., Tian, T., Jia, S., Zhu, Z., Ma, Y., Sun, J.J., Lin, Z., Yang, C.J., 2015. Target-responsive DNA hydrogel mediated "Stop-Flow" microfluidic paper-based analytic device for rapid, portable and visual detection of multiple targets. *Anal. Chem.* 87 (8), 4275.
- Wei, X.F., Tian, T., Jia, S.S., Zhu, Z., Ma, Y.L., Sun, J.J., Lin, Z.Y., Yang, C.J., 2016. Microfluidic distance readout sweet hydrogel integrated paper based analytical device (mu dish-PAD) for visual quantitative point-of-care testing. *Anal. Chem.* 88 (4), 2345–2352.
- Wilkinson, G.N., Rogers, C.E., 1973. Symbolic description of factorial models for analysis of variance. *J. R. Stat. Soc.* 22 (3), 392–399.
- Xu, Y., Wu, Q., Sun, Y., Bai, H., Shi, G., 2010. Three-dimensional self-assembly of graphene oxide and DNA into multifunctional hydrogels. *ACS Nano* 4 (12), 7358–7362.
- Yang, H.H., Liu, H.P., Kang, H.Z., Tan, W.H., 2008. Engineering target-responsive hydrogels based on aptamer - target interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130 (20), 6320–6321.
- Zhu, Z., Guan, Z., Jia, S., Lei, Z., Lin, S., Zhang, H., Ma, Y., Tian, Z.-Q., Yang, C.J., 2014. Au@Pt nanoparticle encapsulated target-responsive hydrogel with volumetric Bar-chart chip readout for quantitative point-of-care testing. *Angew. Chem.-Int. Ed.* 53 (46), 12503–12507.
- Zhu, Z., Wu, C., Liu, H., Zou, Y., Zhang, X., Kang, H., Yang, C.J., Tan, W., 2010. An aptamer cross-linked hydrogel as a colorimetric platform for visual detection. *Angew. Chem.* 122 (6), 1070–1074.