


An electrochemical sensor for attomolar determination of mercury(II) using DNA/poly-L-methionine-gold nanoparticles/pencil graphite electrode

Hamid Reza Akbari Hasanjani, Kobra Zarei



PII: S0956-5663(18)31002-9
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2018.12.039>
Reference: BIOS11007

To appear in: *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*

Received date: 24 September 2018
Revised date: 19 December 2018
Accepted date: 19 December 2018

Cite this article as: Hamid Reza Akbari Hasanjani and Kobra Zarei, An electrochemical sensor for attomolar determination of mercury(II) using DNA/poly-L-methionine-gold nanoparticles/pencil graphite electrode, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2018.12.039>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

An electrochemical sensor for attomolar determination of mercury(II) using DNA/poly-L-methionine-gold nanoparticles/pencil graphite electrode

Hamid Reza Akbari Hasanjani, Kobra Zarei*

School of Chemistry, Damghan University, Damghan, Iran

*Corresponding author: Fax: +98-232-523-5431; E-mail: zarei@du.ac.ir

Abstract

The present work describes an ultrasensitive electrochemical sensor for determination of mercury(II) using deoxyribonucleic acid/poly-L-methionine-gold nanoparticles/pencil graphite electrode (DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE). To fabricate this biosensor, L-methionine (L-MET) was electropolymerized on the PGE surface followed by simultaneous electrochemical entrapment of AuNPs. Next, DNA was immobilized on the PMET-AuNPs/PGE by applying a 0 V potential. The surface area of modified and unmodified electrodes was determined by chronocoulometric technique. Hg^{2+} was detected in the linear dynamic range of 0.1 aM to 0.1 nM, and the detection limit was determined as 0.004 aM using square wave anodic stripping voltammetry (SWASV) under optimized conditions. The DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE demonstrated good selectivity toward Hg^{2+} against other metal ions such as V^{4+} , Pb^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Cd^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Sn^{2+} , In^{3+} , Ge^{4+} , and Fe^{3+} . Real samples studies were carried out in seawater and fish samples.

Keywords: Poly L-methionine, Gold nanoparticles, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), Mercury(II), Square wave anodic stripping voltammetry

1. Introduction

Mercury is a heavy metal with high toxicity even at low concentrations. Despite the importance of mercury in the industry, the environmental pollution caused by this metal is a very major problem [1]. Mercury using causes abnormal functions of the brain, kidney, liver, eyes, and bones upon accumulation in the body [2, 3]. The toxicity of mercury at low levels necessitates analyzing it in water and other samples [4].

There are several methods for detecting trace amounts of Hg^{2+} . Conventional Hg^{2+} detection methods include flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) [5], graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS) [6], inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [7], inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) [8], and UV-Vis spectroscopy [9]. However, these methods do not meet the demands for a portable, easy-to-use, quick and cheap analysis. Pay attention thus that the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) limit of Hg^{2+} for drinkable water is 10 nM, which is much less than the detection of most available assays. In this case, electrochemical analysis of heavy metals is an alternative to conventional methods and also it has a high sensitivity [10]. Electrochemistry offers unique application possibilities in the field of heavy metal analysis because of the compact, simple, and portable instrumentation, low cost, less complex of instrumentation, shorter measuring time, electrode miniaturization, and easy electrode modification [11-13]. Various electrochemical sensors for determination of Hg^{2+} ion have been reported including siliceous mesocellular foam [14], Schiff-base ligand [15], and ion imprinted polymer [16]. Biomaterials such as enzymes, amino acids, peptides, cells, and nucleic acids that can produce very selective and specific interactions, are the most important candidates for electrochemical detection of heavy metal ions [4].

The modification of polymeric species by coating onto the electrode surfaces (especially using the electropolymerization technique) gives a wide flexibility because they contain functional groups that can provide excellent high surface coverage using thick multilayer coating. L-methionine can be directly electropolymerized as a porous and conductive polymer, onto electrode from monomers [17-19]. Also, this amino acid is highly useful for immobilization of nucleic acids because of the presence of carboxyl groups [20]. In this regard, $-\text{NH}_2$ and $-\text{COOH}$ groups of amino acids play important role in the electropolymerization process on the electrode

surface [21]. In order to enhance sensitivity and amplify the electrochemical response, nanoparticles (NPs) are used as the supporting material in the preparation of modified electrode [22]. The presence of NPs on the electrode surface enables fast electron transfer kinetics, increases the electroactive surface area, and reduces over-potential. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) with unique properties such as high conductivity, good biocompatibility, and large specific surface area are very attractive materials for sensors and biosensors. Many studies have used AuNPs modified electrodes for voltammetric sensing [23-25]. Gold is an appropriate matrix for the electrochemical determination of Hg^{2+} because of its high affinity toward Hg^{2+} that can increase preconcentration effect [26]. Gold nanoparticles can bind to the surface of many polymers through covalent bonding to functional groups such as CN, NH_2 , and SH [18]. Thus, it seems L-methionine that contains NH_2 group can form chemical bond with gold nanoparticles.

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) can be used for the expansion of heavy metals sensors, such as mercury, cadmium, and leads sensors, since they can form complexes with the selected nucleic acid bases. DNA is the main biomolecule that has recently attracted considerable attention because of its ability to bind small ligand molecules with high affinity and specificity. Small molecules interact with DNA in two well-characterized binding modes: covalent mod and reversible non-covalent binding mode (electrostatic, groove binding, and intercalation). The investigations of DNA biosensors are currently under intense review because of their attractive properties such as sensitivity, selectivity, simplicity, rapidity, and low cost. The interactions of metal ions with DNA have been investigated extensively and are known to alter the DNA's structure and function. DNA has four different principal binding sites for metal ions: the negatively charged phosphate backbone, the ribose hydroxyls, the base ring nitrogen's, and the exocyclic base keto groups [27]. In ds-DNA, such coordination tends to cause changes in the structure of the double helix. For example, it has been found that Hg^{2+} can bring two thymine (T) bases in the two strands together to form an Hg^{2+} connected metal–base pair $\text{T-Hg}^{2+}\text{-T}$, which deforms the classic Watson–Crick double helix structure [28]. The changes are dependent on the sequence of base pairs but tend to form a hairpin structure. Interference analysis with other common metal ions suggests that T-T interactions are specific to Hg^{2+} . Therefore, the sensor and biosensors based on DNA structure changes have a high selectivity and sensitivity. Although DNA biosensors for measuring of mercury have been reported [29-31], there is no report on biosensor design based on DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE.

In the present study, we have modified a pencil graphite electrode (PGE) by DNA/poly L-methionine-gold nanoparticles (DNA/PMET-AuNPs) for the detection of Hg^{2+} in the aqueous medium by the square wave anodic stripping voltammetry (SWASV). Application of very sensitive SWASV method for determination of Hg^{2+} can help to increase the sensitivity. This sensor showed a very low detection limit with respect to the high interaction of Hg^{2+} with DNA and poly L-methionine and also due to the AuNPs effect. Furthermore, the analytical performance of the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE, such as linear range, reproducibility, and stability were investigated and it was used as a useful electrode for electrochemical determination of Hg^{2+} in environmental samples.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and materials

Deoxyribonucleic acid sodium salt from salmon testes (DNA with CAS number: 438545-06-3) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. L-methionine, mercury(II) nitrate, and gold(III) chloride trihydrate were procured from the Merck company. The stock solution (100.0 ppm DNA) was prepared using Tris-HCl buffer solution (pH 7.0) and preserved in the freezer. Diluted solutions of the DNA were prepared using Tris-HCl buffer solution. L-methionine was dissolved in phosphate buffer solutions (PBS) with pH 7.0 (0.05 M), prepared using 0.05 M K_2HPO_4 and KH_2PO_4 solutions.

2.2. Apparatus

The voltammetric measurements were done with a Dropsens portable bipotentiostat/galvanostat μSTAT 400 instrument. Autolab potentiostat/galvanostat-12 electrochemical system was applied for electrochemical impedance spectroscopic (EIS) measurements. The Dropview 8400 and FRA 4.9 softwares were applied for voltammetric and EIS tests, respectively. The utilized three-electrode system was composed of a DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE as a working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl/KCl (saturated) as the reference electrode. The pencil graphite was accessible as pencil lead purchased from Owner Co. (Korea, type HB, 0.5 mm diameter, surface area 0.159 cm^2). A mechanical pencil was applied as a holder for the graphite leads. The electrical contact with the lead was obtained by soldering a metal wire to the metal part of the holder. Spectra were recorded and stored using a Perkin Elmer Lambda

25 spectrophotometer with a PC using a 1-cm path length quartz cell. The Metrohm pH meter (Model 827) was applied to control the pH value of the solutions.

EIS was carried out in the presence of 5.0 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]/K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ in 0.1 M KCl as a redox probe in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz, polarization potential of 0.15 V, and amplitude of 5 mV. The surface morphology of the modified electrode was characterized using field emission scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (FESEM-EDX) (model MIRA3TESCAN-XMU). Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed by a DME microscope with 95-50 E probe model.

2.3. Electrode modification

2.3.1. Modification of the poly L-methionine-gold nanoparticle/PGE (PMET-AuNPs/PGE)

The electrode was initially cleaned in ultrapure water two times and then in 50:50 (v/v) ethanol/water solution. The electrode modification of PMET-AuNPs on PGE was performed using cyclic voltammetric (CV) technique in the potential range of -0.6 V to 2 V with a scan rate of 100 mV.s^{-1} during 6 cycles and in 8.0 mM L-methionine (L-MET) and $48.6 \mu\text{M}$ $HAuCl_4$ with PBS (pH 7).

2.3.2. Immobilization of DNA on the PMET-AuNPs /PGE

After modification of the electrode by PMET and gold nanoparticles (PMET-AuNPs /PGE), the DNA was immobilized on the PMET-AuNPs /PGE using a potential of +0.5 V for 200 sec from a stirred solution containing 10.0 ppm DNA in a Tris-HCl buffer solution (pH 7.0). In this way, DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE was prepared and dried at the room temperature and applied to determine Hg^{2+} .

2.4. Preparation of real samples

The method was used to analyze Hg^{2+} in three water samples collected from the Caspian Sea (Gilan, Iran). Before using the samples, they were mixed and filtered (Whatman filter paper) through a membrane with a diameter of $0.45 \mu\text{m}$.

The Caspian white fishes were collected from the Caspian Sea in Gilan province of Iran. The samples were placed in clean plastic bags and stored on ice in an ice chest. They were then transported to the laboratory and kept in a freezer at -20°C prior to preparation for chemical analysis. A portion of the muscles was taken out quickly and was dried in an oven at 70°C for 48 h. After grinding the dry tissue, 0.5 g of the sample was digested with 5 mL of concentrated HNO_3 for 4 h at 100°C . The resulted mixture was filtered and transferred into 100 mL flask and then diluted with double distilled water up to 100 mL. The Hg^{2+} content of the sample was analyzed by the proposed method.

2.5. Procedure

The sample solution containing Hg^{2+} in acetic acid (pH 3.0) was transported to the voltammetric cell and the deposition potential 0 V was applied to the working electrode for 250 s while stirring the solution. Following the deposition period, the stirrer was halted and the square wave voltammogram was recorded from -0.5 to +0.6 V (vs. Ag/AgCl/KCl (saturated)) with a frequency of 20 Hz and a step potential of 5 mV. A blank solution without Hg^{2+} was applied to obtain the blank peak current.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electropolymerization of L-methionine, and fabrication of PMET-AuNPs/PGE and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE

The electropolymerization of L-MET using the cyclic voltammetry (CV) in the potential range of -0.6 to 2 V has been reported [17]. Also, the electrochemical entrapment of AuNPs has been performed with CV in the same potential range during 5 cycles [32]. Fig. S1 shows CVs of 8.0 mM L-methionine and 48.6 μM HAuCl_4 in 0.05 M PBS (pH 7.0) solution on the PGE in the potential range of -0.6 to 2 V. As can be seen, in the initial potential sweep, an irreversible oxidation peak appears at 1.50 V. However, after the second cycle scanning, the peak potential shifted to the cathodic direction, while the peak current decreased. The variations in the peak potential, displays simpler oxidation in the further cycles. The possible reason for variations in

the peak potential was due to the formation of polymer membrane and its connection to the electrode surface and also the effect of the formation of AuNPs on the electrode surface. The peak current slowly changes using further cycles. Scheme 1 illustrates the design of the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE electrochemical sensor and the steps involved in the Hg^{2+} electrochemical determination based on signal amplification of PMET-AuNPs and specific combination between Hg^{2+} and DNA especially, T bases of DNA, to form stable T- Hg^{2+} -T linkage [28]. As can be seen, L-methionine initially is oxidized to form free radicals at the surface of the electrode that can attach to the surface of PGE and the formed product reacts with another L-Met by the elimination of water molecule to form a conductive polymer film on the electrode surface [17, 19]. Simultaneously, AuNPs are simply formed during cyclic voltammetry scans and the progress of electropolymerization and possibility bind to the polymer through amide bonds [33]. Since metal nano particles at the electrode surface can be fragile in the absence of a stabilizing material, the electrode should be modified with stabilizing material such as polymers [18]. The porous structure of conductive polymers allows the AuNPs to disperse into the polymer matrix and generate additional active sites [18]. Finally, DNA is immobilized on the fabricated PMET-AuNPs/PGE by applying a constant potential. DNA is able to use their bases (adenine, thymine, guanine, and cytosine) to be adsorbed onto the AuNPs surfaces via coordination interaction between bases and AuNPs [34]. Two major bonding factors govern this interaction: the anchoring, either of the Au-N or Au-O type, and the nonconventional N-H...Au hydrogen bonding [35]. However, NH_2 terminated group of DNA can form covalent immobilization with some functional groups such as carboxyl that exists in the polymers [20]. After fabrication of DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE and in the determination step, Hg^{2+} is reduced preconcentrated on the electrode surface. Finally, the oxidation peak current was investigated using SWASV at 0.25 V.

Please insert scheme 1

3.2. The results of FESEM-EDS and AFM

Fig. 1 shows the field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images obtained to investigate the morphologies of the and modified PGEs. Fig. 1A presents the surface of PMET-

AuNPs/PGE. As can be seen in Fig. 1A, AuNPs were established on the electrode surface. Most of AuNPs were dispersed on the electrodes surface in the FE-SEM image, exhibiting a large surface area. Moreover, Fig. 1B shows SEM of DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE surface. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis was utilized to check the elements present in the modified electrodes. The EDS experiments showed that atomic percent of Au reached from zero at bare PGE to 0.88 at PMET-AuNPs/PGE and to 0.99 at DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE which it confirms deposition of Au. On the other hand, atomic percent of P from zero at bare PGE and PMET-AuNPs/PGE, increased to 0.2 at DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE which it is attributed to the presence of DNA [36].

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) analysis was applied to investigate the surface morphology of the bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE, and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE. Fig. S2 illustrates the two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) AFM images of the bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE, and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE. As shown in Fig. S2A, in the bare PGE, a lumpy surface is seen. In comparison, Fig. S2B displays a smoother layer based on polymer formation. Fig. S2C illustrates surface morphology of DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE. The comparison of AFM images reveals a marked difference in the roughness of the surfaces bare PGE with DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE and PMET-AuNPs/PGE and similarity roughness between DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE and PMET-AuNPs/PGE. The roughness was obtained from AFM image as 139, 97 and 102 nm for bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE, and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE electrodes, respectively. In other words, the bare PGE surface has a high amount of roughness but when the polymer layer of PMET-AuNPs is formed on the PGE surface and the DNA is immobilized on this polymeric surface, the roughness decreases. This roughness reduction can probably lead to a more compact and stable layer on the electrode surface.

Please insert Fig. 1.

3.3. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results

It is well known that electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is an effective tool for studying the interface properties of surface-modified electrodes. The electrical conductivity is

one of the most important properties of the modified electrode. In this study, impedance measurements were used for conductivity studies. Fig. 2A shows EIS results of the bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE, and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE in the presence of 5.0 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]/K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ solution and 0.1 M KCl. It is therefore clear that the PMET-AuNPs/PGE can increase the electron transfer compared with bare PGE with respect to presence of conductive PMET and AuNPs. However, the presence of DNA has a lower effect on the conductivity rather than its absence. The equivalent circuit with the Nyquist diagram is shown in Fig. S3. In the circuit shown in Fig. S3A, R_s , CPE_{dl} , and R_{ct} represent solution resistance, a constant phase element corresponding to the double-layer capacitance, and the charge-transfer resistance, respectively. W is a finite-length Warburg impedance short-circuit term coupled to R_{ct} , which accounts for the Nernstian diffusion. In the circuit above, the charge transfer resistance of the electrode reaction is the only circuit element that has a simple physical meaning, illustrating how fast the charge transfer rate changes during the reaction of the modified and unmodified electrode with the electrode potential. The R_{ct} values for bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE, and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE were obtained at 958, 640, and 604 Ω , respectively using fitting obtained data in the above circuit (Fig. S3).

Please insert Fig. 2.

3.4. Electrochemical behavior of Hg^{2+} at un-modified and the modified PGE electrodes

Fig. S4 shows the comparison of mercury(II) nitrate detection on the bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE using SWASV in 0.1 pM Hg^{2+} solution and pH 3. SWASV curves responses were recorded in the positive potential range of -0.1 V to 0.6 V. Based on this figure, no observable signal was obtained on the bare electrode whereas, the signals increases with the progress in modification steps. In addition, the DNA/PMET-AuNPs /PGE shows a well-defined peak current that is 2.5 times higher than that of PMET-AuNPs/PGE. As can be seen, this electrode represents the highest signal compared to the others. In many of the heavy metal ion sensors, the target metal ion (Hg^{2+}) is adsorbed on the modified electrodes as metal form (Eq. 1) during the period of pre-deposition treatment. As a result, it undergoes oxidation reaction (Eq. 1) during the determination step. Hg^{2+} can be adsorbed on the DNA/PMET-AuNPs /PGE regarding its high interaction with DNA and PMET (see in

Supplementary material and Fig. S5). However, the presence of AuNPs amplifies the signal due to their high surface area and also high conductivity.



The effective surface area was also computed based on chronocoulometry experiments (see in Supplementary material and Fig. S6) as 0.0132, 0.129, and 0.141 cm² for bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE, and DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE electrodes, respectively. It should be mentioned that the effective surface area or active electrochemical surface area is where electron exchange takes place and it is different from the geometrical surface area, which is simply the sum of all the physical areas that cover the surface of the electrode [37]. These results show the expansion of surface area with the progress in the modification.

3.5. Optimization of experimental parameters

In order to maximize the efficiency of the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE, some effective parameters on the fabrication of electrode and determination of Hg²⁺ should be optimized. These parameters are included (I) concentration of L-MET, (II) concentration of HAuCl₄, (III) the number of cycles for polymerization of L-MET and entrapment of AuNPs, (IV) scan rate of the polymerization of L-MET-HAuCl₄, (V) concentration of DNA, (VI) immobilization potential of DNA, (VII) immobilization time of DNA, (VIII) effect of pH and effective parameters on the deposition of Hg²⁺ such as (IX) deposition time, (X) deposition potential of Hg²⁺, and also (XI) effect of frequency in SWV technique. The optimization these parameters are explained in the Supplementary material and Fig. S7.

3.6. The calibration curve, detection limit, reproducibility, and stability of DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE

SWASV was applied for the determination of Hg²⁺ ion using the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE and the obtained voltammograms are shown in Fig. 3A. Fig. 3B presents the linear correlation between peak current and the logarithm of Hg²⁺ concentration at DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE in the range between 0.1 aM and 0.1 nM with a correlation coefficient of 0.9977.

The limit of detection (LOD) was determined as $3S_b/m$; where S_b is the standard deviation of blank for five replica determinations and 'm' is the slope of the calibration curve. LOD for Hg²⁺

with the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE was 0.004 aM. We compared the results of this modified electrode with some other methods used for Hg^{2+} detection and listed the results in Table 1. In brief, the novel DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE for the sensing of Hg^{2+} shows a very low detection limit and wide linear dynamic range.

The reproducibility of the suggested modified electrode was examined to detect 30.0 aM Hg^{2+} by SWASV method. The current response of Hg^{2+} was determined by five different modified electrodes (Fig. S8). The relative standard deviation (RSD) for five measurements was obtained as 4.6%. The repeatability of DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE modified electrode was also investigated with five determinations of 30.0 aM Hg^{2+} with one modified electrode. The RSD was calculated as 3.7%. In order to investigate the stability of the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE electrode, the SWASV current responses for 30.0 aM Hg^{2+} in pH 3.0 was recorded over a period of 30 days. The response was reduced to 90% principal response after 3 days and to 80% after 12 days and after that, it was nearly constant to 30 days (Fig. S9). Also, to investigate stability of electrode composition in pH 3, the modified electrode was placed in solution with pH 3 and then the SWASV current responses for 500 nM Hg^{2+} were measured. As, Fig. S10 shows the response does not change over a period 60 min.

Please insert Fig. 3.

3.7. Interferences study

To assay selectivity of the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE, various possible interfering species were investigated for their possible effects on the determination of Hg^{2+} . The experimental results were compared with that for 0.01 nM Hg^{2+} detection. The results showed that a 10.0 nM increase in In^{3+} , Ge^{4+} , V^{4+} , Mg^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Ca^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , and a 1.0 nM increase in Sn^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Cu^{2+} and Ag^{+} have no interference on the determination of 0.01 nM Hg^{2+} using DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE. The results show more selectivity of the sensor for Hg^{2+} compared to the others. The high selectivity of the sensor is related to the specific coordination between Hg^{2+} and T bases of DNA, which can lead to the formation of stable complex T- Hg^{2+} -T. On the other hand, in addition to the more sensitivity of fabricated biosensor to Hg^{2+} compared with some other metal ions such as Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} (that are seen commonly in the real samples), the responses of these ions are seen at different potentials and also application of deposition potential 0 V in the

preconcentration step, helps to increase the selectivity of method. Since metal ions with more negative standard potentials, cannot reduce in this potential. Therefore, the fabricated biosensor shows a good selective response to Hg^{2+} against other metal ions.

3.8. Application of DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE in the analysis of environmental samples

The practical application of the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE electrochemical biosensor was studied for determination of Hg^{2+} in real samples such as sea water and the fish samples. The SWASV technique was employed for Hg^{2+} determination in real samples based on the optimal parameters of the suggested method. For this purpose, initially, the sea water and the fish samples, which were prepared in according to the Experimental section, were spiked with different concentrations of Hg^{2+} and then the proposed method was applied to determine their Hg^{2+} amounts using standard addition method. The determination results (Table 2) show that the recovery percentage was in the range of 90.0% to 106.0% and 96.6% to 112.0% for sea water and fish samples, respectively.

Conclusions

In this paper, DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE was simply fabricated by electropolymerization of L-MET and electrochemical entrapment of AuNPs and eventually immobilization of DNA on the PMET-AuNPs/PGE. This sensor showed excellent performance toward Hg^{2+} determination such as very low detection limit, wide linear dynamic range and suitable selectivity. Two components of the sensor contribute to its significant improvement of detection performance: 1) PMET-AuNPs were conveniently electrodeposited onto the electrode surface for improving electrode performance. In addition to enhancing effects of AuNPs and poly-L-methionine, the presence of them on the sensor surface provides a suitable platform for immobilization of DNA because of coordination interaction between DNA bases and AuNPs and also the presence of carboxyl groups in poly-L-methionine. 2) The strategy employed in this study mainly deals with the determination of Hg^{2+} based on specific combination between Hg^{2+} and DNA (especially T bases of DNA to form stable T- Hg^{2+} -T linkage). The fabricated sensor can be developed for detection of Hg^{2+} in different real samples and also for simultaneous determination of some heavy metals

using chemometrics methods in the future. However, the species with very near oxidation potential to Hg and also very high interaction with DNA may be interfered in the determination of Hg^{2+} using this method.

Acknowledgements

All persons who have made substantial contributions to the work reported in the manuscript (e.g., technical help, writing and editing assistance, general support), but who do not meet the criteria for authorship, are named in the Acknowledgements and have given us their written permission to be named. If we have not included an Acknowledgements, then that indicates that we have not received substantial contributions from non-authors.

Declaration of interests

None.

References:

- [1] J.H. Duffus, H.G.J. Worth, *Fundamental toxicology*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2006.
- [2] G. Aragay, A. Merkoçi, *Nanomaterials application in electrochemical detection of heavy metals*, *Electrochim. Acta*, 84 (2012) 49-61.
- [3] G.V. Ramesh, T.P. Radhakrishnan, A universal sensor for mercury (Hg, HgI, HgII) based on silver nanoparticle-embedded polymer thin film, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 3 (2011) 988-994.
- [4] L. Cui, J. Wu, H. Ju, *Electrochemical sensing of heavy metal ions with inorganic, organic and bio-materials*, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 63 (2015) 276-286.
- [5] M. Ghaedi, F. Ahmadi, A. Shokrollahi, Simultaneous preconcentration and determination of copper, nickel, cobalt and lead ions content by flame atomic absorption spectrometry, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 142 (2007) 272-278.
- [6] R. Nowka, I.L. Marr, T.M. Ansari, H. Müller, Direct analysis of solid samples by GFAAS—determination of trace heavy metals in barytes, *Fresenius. J. Anal. Chem.*, 364 (1999) 533-540.
- [7] J.L. Gómez-Ariza, F. Lorenzo, T. García-Barrera, Comparative study of atomic fluorescence spectroscopy and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry for mercury and arsenic multispeciation, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 382 (2005) 485-492.
- [8] X. Zhu, S.D. Alexandratos, Determination of trace levels of mercury in aqueous solutions by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry: elimination of the ‘memory effect’, *Microchem. J.*, 86 (2007) 37-41.
- [9] C. Yin, J. Iqbal, H. Hu, B. Liu, L. Zhang, B. Zhu, Y. Du, Sensitive determination of trace mercury by UV–visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy after complexation and membrane filtration-enrichment, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 233 (2012) 207-212.
- [10] H. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Ma, X. Ren, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, Q. Wei, Electrochemical DNA probe for Hg²⁺ detection based on a triple-helix DNA and Multistage Signal Amplification Strategy, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 86 (2016) 907-912.
- [11] C. Locatelli, D. Melucci, Voltammetric method for ultra-trace determination of total mercury and toxic metals in vegetables. Comparison with spectroscopy, *Open Chem.*, 11 (2013) 790-800.
- [12] L. Nejdl, B. Ruttkay-Nedecky, J. Kudr, M. Kremplova, N. Cernei, J. Prasek, M. Konecna, J. Hubalek, O. Zitka, J. Kynicky, Behaviour of zinc complexes and zinc sulphide nanoparticles revealed by using screen printed electrodes and spectrometry, *Sensors*, 13 (2013) 14417-14437.
- [13] U. Nejdl, J. Kudr, K. Cihlova, D. Chudobova, M. Zurek, L. Zalud, L. Kopečný, F. Burian, B. Ruttkay-Nedecky, S. Krizkova, Remote-controlled robotic platform ORPHEUS as a new tool for detection of bacteria in the environment, *Electrophoresis*, 35 (2014) 2333-2345.
- [14] M.K. Bojdi, M. Behbahani, F. Omid, G. Hesam, Application of a novel electrochemical sensor based on modified siliceous mesocellular foam for electrochemical detection of ultra-trace amounts of mercury ions, *New J. Chem.*, 40 (2016) 4519-4527.

- [15] F. Nourifard, M. Payehghadr, M. Kalhor, A. Nejadali, An electrochemical sensor for determination of Ultratrace Cd, Cu and Hg in water samples by modified carbon paste electrode base on a new Schiff base ligand, *Electroanalysis*, 27 (2015) 2479-2485.
- [16] A. Shirzadmehr, A. Afkhami, T. Madrakian, A new nano-composite potentiometric sensor containing an Hg²⁺-ion imprinted polymer for the trace determination of mercury ions in different matrices, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 204 (2015) 227-235.
- [17] R. Ojani, A. Alinezhad, Z. Abedi, A highly sensitive electrochemical sensor for simultaneous detection of uric acid, xanthine and hypoxanthine based on poly (l-methionine) modified glassy carbon electrode, *Sens. Actuators B*, 188 (2013) 621-630.
- [18] J.-B.R. Reza Ojani, Ali Asghar Maleki, Saeid Safshekan, Simultaneous and sensitive detection of dopamine and uric acid using a poly(L-methionine)/gold nanoparticle-modified glassy carbon electrode, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 35 (2014) 423-429.
- [19] G. Venkataprasad, T.M. Reddy, P. Shaikshavali, P. Gopal, A Novel Electrochemical Sensor Based on Multi-walled Carbon Nanotubes/Poly (L-Methionine) for the Investigation of 5-Nitroindazole: A Voltammetric Study, *Anal. Chem. Lett.*, 8 (2018) 457-474.
- [20] J.I. Abdul Rashid, N.A. Yusof, The strategies of DNA immobilization and hybridization detection mechanism in the construction of electrochemical DNA sensor: A review, *Sens. BioSensing Res.*, 16 (2017) 19-31.
- [21] F. Zhang, S. Gu, Y. Ding, L. Zhou, Z. Zhang, L. Li, Electrooxidation and determination of cefotaxime on Au nanoparticles/poly (L-arginine) modified carbon paste electrode, *J. Electroanal. Chem*, 698 (2013) 25-30.
- [22] C. Zhu, G. Yang, H. Li, D. Du, Y. Lin, Electrochemical sensors and biosensors based on nanomaterials and nanostructures, *Anal. Chem.*, 87 (2014) 230-249.
- [23] F. Azadmehr, K. Zarei, Ultrasensitive determination of ceftizoxime using pencil graphite electrode modified by hollow gold nanoparticles/reduced graphene oxide, *Arab. J. Chem.*, DOI: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2018.02.004.
- [24] E. Bernalte, C.M. Sánchez, E.P. Gil, Determination of Mercury in indoor dust samples by ultrasonic probe microextraction and stripping voltammetry on gold nanoparticles-modified screen-printed electrodes, *Talanta*, 97 (2012) 187-192.
- [25] K. Zarei, A. Khodadadi, Very sensitive electrochemical determination of diuron on glassy carbon electrode modified with reduced graphene oxide-gold nanoparticle-Nafion composite film, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. saf.*, 144 (2017) 171-177.
- [26] N. Wang, M. Lin, H. Dai, H. Ma, Functionalized goldnanoparticles/reducedgrapheneoxide nanocomposites for ultrasensitive electrochemical sensing of mercury ions based on thymine–mercury–thymine structure, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 79 (2016) 320–326.
- [27] J. Anastassopoulou, Metal–DNA interactions, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 651–653 (2003) 19–26.
- [28] B. Zhang, I.H. Guo, Highly sensitive and selective photoelectrochemical DNA sensor for the detection of Hg²⁺ in aqueous solutions, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 37 (2012) 112-115.
- [29] X. Tang, H. Liu, B. Zou, D. Tian, H. Huang, A fishnet electrochemical Hg²⁺ sensing strategy based on gold nanoparticle-bioconjugate and thymine–Hg²⁺–thymine coordination chemistry, *Analyst*, 137 (2012) 309-311.
- [30] D. Wu, Q. Zhang, X. Chu, H. Wang, G. Shen, R. Yu, Ultrasensitive electrochemical sensor for mercury (II) based on target-induced structure-switching DNA, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 25 (2010) 1025-1031.

- [31] Y. Zhang, G.M. Zeng, L. Tang, J. Chen, Y. Zhu, X.X. He, Y. He, Electrochemical sensor based on electrodeposited graphene-Au modified electrode and nanoAu carrier amplified signal strategy for attomolar mercury detection, *Anal. Chem.*, 87 (2015) 989-996.
- [32] B. Rezaei, M. Boroujeni, A.A. Ensafi, Fabrication of DNA, o-phenylenediamine, and gold nanoparticle bioimprinted polymer electrochemical sensor for the determination of dopamine, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 66 (2015) 490-496.
- [33] B. Rafiee, A.R. Fakhari, M. Ghaffarzadeh, Impedimetric and stripping voltammetric determination of methamphetamine at gold nanoparticles-multiwalled carbon nanotubes modified screen printed electrode, *Sens. Actuators B*, 218 (2015) 271-279.
- [34] X. Zuo, H. Wu, J. Toh, S.F.Y. Li, Mechanism of mercury detection based on interaction of single-strand DNA and hybridized DNA with gold nanoparticles, *Talanta*, 82 (2010) 1642-1646.
- [35] E.S. Kryachko, F. Remacle, Complexes of DNA bases and Watson-Crick base pairs with small neutral gold clusters, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 109 (2005) 22746-22757.
- [36] U. Nithiyanantham, A. Ramadoss, S. Rao Ede, S. Kundu, DNA mediated wire-like clusters of self-assembled TiO₂ nanomaterials: supercapacitor and dye sensitized solar cell applications, *Nanoscale*, 6 (2014) 8010-8023.
- [37] V. Fragkou, Y. Ge, G. Steiner, D. Freeman, N. Bartetzko, A.P.F. Turner, Determination of the real surface area of a screen-printed electrode by chronocoulometry, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 7 (2012) 6214-6220.
- [38] A. Moutcine, A. Chtaini, Electrochemical determination of trace mercury in water sample using EDTA-CPE modified electrode, *Sens. Biosensing Res.*, 17 (2018) 30-35.
- [39] P. Kanchana, N. Sudhan, S. Anandhakumar, J. Mathiyarasu, P. Manisankar, C. Sekar, Electrochemical detection of mercury using biosynthesized hydroxyapatite nanoparticles modified glassy carbon electrodes without preconcentration, *RSC Adv.*, 5 (2015) 68587-68594.
- [40] H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, H. Khoshshafar, M. Rezaei, A. Shirzadmehr, Simultaneous electrochemical determination of heavy metals using a triphenylphosphine/MWCNTs composite carbon ionic liquid electrode, *Sens. Actuators B*, 186 (2013) 451-460.
- [41] G.G. Matlou, D. Nkosi, K. Pillay, O. Arotiba, Electrochemical detection of Hg (II) in water using self-assembled single walled carbon nanotube-poly (m-amino benzene sulfonic acid) on gold electrode, *Sens. Biosensing Res.*, 10 (2016) 27-33.
- [42] D. Li, J. Li, X. Jia, E. Wang, Gold nanoparticles decorated carbon fiber mat as a novel sensing platform for sensitive detection of Hg (II), *Electrochem. Commun.*, 42 (2014) 30-33.
- [43] Y. Lin, Y. Peng, J. Di, Electrochemical detection of Hg (II) ions based on nanoporous gold nanoparticles modified indium tin oxide electrode, *Sens. Actuators B*, 220 (2015) 1086-1090.

Table 1. Detection limit and linear dynamic range of various electrochemical methods for determination of Hg^{2+}

Electrode	Method	Linear dynamic range	Detection limit	References
Functionalized gold nanoparticles/reduced graphene oxide	DPV ^a	10 ng.L ⁻¹ to 1.0 µg.L ⁻¹	1.5 ng.L ⁻¹	[26]
Gold nanoparticle-bioconjugate and thymine- Hg^{2+} -thymine	DPV	0.05 to 2.5 nM	7.38 pM	[29]
Target-induced structure-switching DNA	DPV	0.1 nM to 5.0 µM	0.06 nM	[30]
DNA/Graphen-Au	SWV	1.0 aM to 100 nM	0.001 aM	[31]
EDTA/CPE ^b	SWV	5×10^{-5} to 35×10^{-5} M	16.6×10^{-9} M	[38]
AV-HA/GCE ^c	SWV	2×10^{-7} to 2.1×10^{-4} M	141 nM	[39]
PPh ₃ /MWCNTs/IL/CPE ^d	SWASV	1×10^{-4} to 0.15 µM	9.2×10^{-5} µM	[40]
Au/DMAET/(SWCNT-PABS) ^e	SWASV	20 to 250 µM	0.06 µM	[41]
AuNPs/CFME ^f	DPASV	0.2 to 50 µM	0.1 µM	[42]
Np- AuNPs/ITO ^g	DPASV ^h	0.1 to 10 µM	0.03 µM	[43]
DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE	SWASV	0.1 aM to 0.1nM	0.004 aM	This work

^a Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV), ^b Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA)/ carbon paste electrode, ^c Aloe vera- hydroxyapatite/ glassy carbon electrode, ^d Triphenyl phosphine/ multiwalled carbon nanotube/ ionic liquid/ carbon paste electrode, ^e Au/ 2-dimethyl amino ethane thiol hydrochloride/ (single walled carbon nanotube- poly (m-amino benzene sulfonic acid)), ^f Gold nanoparticles decorated carbon fiber electrode, ^g Nanoporous gold nanoparticles/ indium tin oxide electrode, ^h Differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry.

Table 2. Determination of Hg^{2+} in environmental samples

Sample	Add (pM)	Found (pM)	% Recovery
Sea water ^a	0.0	1.4 ± 0.1^c	-
	3.0	4.1 ± 0.2	90.0
	5.0	6.7 ± 0.2	106.0
Fish ^b	0.0	1.0 ± 0.1	-
	3.0	3.9 ± 0.2	96.6
	5.0	6.6 ± 0.3	112.0

^aCaspian sea, ^bCaspian white fish, ^cMean $\pm$ standard deviation (for triplicate determinations)



Figures Captions:


Scheme 1. A schematic of fabrication of the DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE electrochemical sensor for mercury(II) detection.


Fig. 1. FE-SEM images of (A) PMET-AuNPs/PGE and (B) DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE.

Fig. 2. (A) EIS of bare PGE, PMET-AuNPs/PGE, and DNA/PMET-AuNPs /PGE in the presence of 5.0 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]/K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ solution and 0.1 M KCl.

Fig. 3. (A) Square wave voltammograms of Hg^{2+} at DNA/PMET-AuNPs/PGE in pH 3 at frequency of 20 Hz with a step potential of 5mV, deposition potential 0 V and deposition time 250 s (containing different concentrations of Hg^{2+} from 0.1 aM 0.1nM) (B) the linear relationship between the current responses versus logarithm of concentration of Hg^{2+} .







Highlights

- A novel DNA/PMET-AuNPs electrochemical sensor has been developed
- The presence of PMET provides a suitable platform for immobilization of DNA
- The surface morphology modified electrode was characterized by FESEM, EDS, AFM and EIS
- The proposed sensor showed wide linear dynamic range and very low detection limit
- The sensor was used for determination of Hg²⁺ in sea water and fish samples.