



BIO bragg gratings on microfibers for label-free biosensing

Augusto Juste-Dolz^a, Martina Delgado-Pinar^b, Miquel Avella-Oliver^{a,d,**}, Estrella Fernández^a, Daniel Pastor^c, Miguel V. Andrés^b, Ángel Maquieira^{a,d,*}

^a Instituto Interuniversitario de Investigación de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM), Universitat Politècnica de València, Universitat de València, 46022, Valencia, Spain

^b Department of Applied Physics and Electromagnetism-ICMUV, Universitat de València, Burjassot, 46100, Spain

^c Photonics Research Labs, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46021, Valencia, Spain

^d Departamento de Química, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022, Valencia, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Biosensor
Diffraction
Optical microfiber
Immunoassay
Non-specific binding
Label-free

ABSTRACT

Discovering nanoscale phenomena to sense biorecognition events introduces new perspectives to exploit nanoscience and nanotechnology for bioanalytical purposes. Here we present Bio Bragg Gratings (BBGs), a novel biosensing approach that consists of diffractive structures of protein bioreceptors patterned on the surface of optical waveguides, and tailored to transduce the magnitude of biorecognition assays into the intensity of single peaks in the reflection spectrum. This work addresses the design, fabrication, and optimization of this system by both theoretical and experimental studies to explore the fundamental physicochemical parameters involved. Functional biomolecular gratings are fabricated by microcontact printing on the surface of tapered optical microfibers, and their structural features were characterized. The transduction principle is experimentally demonstrated, and its quantitative bioanalytical prospects are assessed in a representative immunoassay, based on patterned protein probes and selective IgG targets, in label-free conditions. This biosensing system involves appealing perspectives to avoid unwanted signal contributions from non-specific binding, herein investigated in human serum samples. The work also proves how the optical response of the system can be easily tuned, and it provides insights into the relevance of this feature to conceive multiplexed BBG systems capable to perform multiple label-free biorecognition assays in a single device.

1. Introduction

The advances in chemistry, biotechnology and nanoscience have introduced exciting strategies to sense biomacromolecules (Mahmoudpour et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020) and the interaction events between them (Bhattacharyya et al., 2019; Escorihuela et al., 2015; Schneider and Niemeyer, 2018). Discovering new nanoscale phenomena to transduce biorecognition processes into measurable signals open new potential venues to materialize the benefits that nanoscience offers in key areas of today's society, such as medicine and pharmacology (Prasad et al., 2019; Wong et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020).

The implementation of some optical phenomena for biosensing such as SPR (Nootchanat et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019), SERS (Langer et al.,

2020; Liu et al., 2020), and light interference (Chen et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020a) became the seed of a high scientific activity in the last decades, which have provided a great knowledge on innovative, sensitive and label-free bioanalytical systems. Along these lines, light diffraction is still a promising and rather unexplored phenomena to transduce biorecognition events, as introduced by some investigations focused on diffraction-based sensing (Avella-Oliver et al., 2017, 2018; Goh et al., 2002, 2005) and focal molography (Frutiger et al., 2019, 2020; Gatterdam et al., 2017).

On the other hand, the integration of transduction principles in optical fibers presents a great potential to conceive miniaturized, inexpensive, low-loss, and compact systems for in-field analysis. This approach constitutes nowadays an important innovation area in the state-of-the-art, for both (bio)chemical and physical sensing (Wang and

* Corresponding author. Instituto Interuniversitario de Investigación de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM), Universitat Politècnica de València, E46022, Valencia, Spain.

** Corresponding author. Instituto Interuniversitario de Investigación de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM), Universitat Politècnica de València, E46022, Valencia, Spain.

E-mail addresses: miavol@upv.es (M. Avella-Oliver), amaquieira@qim.upv.es (Á. Maquieira).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2020.112916>

Received 7 October 2020; Received in revised form 2 December 2020; Accepted 17 December 2020

Available online 2 January 2021

0956-5663/© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Wolfbeis, 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). A paradigmatic strategy in this context is to inscribe a periodic modulation in the refractive index of the core material of optical fibers or microfibers, thus fabricating special fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs), microfiber Bragg gratings, long period gratings, and tilted fiber Bragg gratings whose optical response is designed to be sensitive to the presence of analytes in the external medium surrounding the optical device (Bekmurzayeva et al., 2018; Cao et al., 2017; Delgado-Pinar et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Loyez et al., 2020; Malachovská et al., 2015; Sridevi et al., 2015; Sypabekova et al., 2019).

In this study, we present a novel transduction principle to sense biorecognition events based on periodic networks of bioreceptors patterned on the surface of tapered optical fibers, herein called Bio Bragg Gratings (BBGs). As schematized in Fig. 1, the concept behind this idea relies on using a microfiber, whose optical modes present a significant evanescent field in the external medium, and imprinting a periodic biomolecular network along its surface. The fundamental optical mode will interact with the BBG, and it will result on a reflection peak centered at the optical wavelength that fulfills the Bragg condition. The biosensing transduction principle relies on the fact that binding events between the patterned bioreceptors and their targets in solution modify the amount of matter constituting the strips of the BBG (compared to the gaps), thus the presence of the analyte will change the modulation depth of the BBG. Consequently, this system aims to transduce the magnitude of binding events by means of the peak reflectivity. In addition to the novelty, this strategy projects potential prospects for label-free biosensing, with simple and inexpensive materials, and neglecting signal contributions generated by non-specific bindings (Gatterdam et al., 2017).

Herein we present the design, fabrication, and optimization of the BBGs in tapered microfibers, and report our investigations to explore and prove the concept of this biosensing transduction system. This work addresses an optical and functional characterization of the system by both theoretical and experimental studies using a model immunochemical assay. A custom setup is developed to fabricate the BBGs on tapered microfibers, and the structural features of the resulting bioreceptor networks are characterized by electron microscopy. Finally, this study demonstrates the bioanalytical performance of the system, provide insights into prospective biosensing properties of BBGs and discusses them.




Fig. 1. General illustration of the approach. (A) Scheme of a BBG on a microfiber, and its interaction with the guided light. (B) Scheme of the detection setup. See Fig. S1 for real images and additional setup details. (C) Optical response in the reflection spectrum before (i, and red dashed line) and after (ii, and blue continuous line) incubation and binding of target compounds on the patterned bioreceptors of the BBG. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Sodium phosphate buffer (PBS, 8 mM Na_2HPO_4 , 2 mM, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4), PBS-T (PBS with polysorbate 20 0.05% v/v), were prepared with purified water (Milli-Q, Millipore Iberica, Darmstadt, Germany) and filtered through 0.2 μm polyethersulfone membranes (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) Sylgard 184 was from Dow Corning (Wiesbaden, Germany). Bovine serum albumin (BSA), polysorbate 20 (Tween 20), antiBSA rabbit IgG, C-reactive protein (CRP), casein and human serum (human male, AB plasma) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain). Single-mode optical fibers SMF-28 were purchased from Corning (Madrid, Spain). The silicon grooved nanostructure (555.5 nm period, 140 nm groove depth, duty cycle 50%) used as a master to prepare the micro-contact printing stamp, was from LightSmyth (Eugene, OR, USA).

2.2. Simulations

Electromagnetic simulations to calculate the optical response of the system were carried out by means of finite difference method in the Quasi TE & TM approach, implemented on Matlab™ (Rumpf et al., 2014; Zhu and Brown, 2002). Electromagnetic fields distribution results were validated with commercial software MODE Lumerical (Finite Difference Eigenmode). The overlapping integrals (i.e. proportion of the total field interacting with the Bragg perturbation) were calculated from the obtained field distribution over the complete waveguide and compared with the field localized onto the BBG area. Then, the contradirectional coupling coefficient and the device reflectivity were calculated with the well-known closed form expressions for periodically perturbed waveguides (Erdogan, 1997; Yariv and Yeh, 2007).

An incident wavelength of 1550 nm and refractive indexes of 1.43 for biomolecules (Freeman et al., 2004; Sancho-Fornes et al., 2019) and 1.446 for silica microfibers were considered. For the simulations, the BBGs were defined as 2 mm long periodic (period = 555 nm, duty cycle = 50%) gratings of biomolecules that cover a 90° section of the total azimuthal coordinate of the fiber surface. The thickness of the printed strips in the simulations was considered 1 nm for BSA BBGs (i.e., before target incubation) and 10 nm for BSA-IgG BBGs (i.e., after target incubation) (Avella-Oliver et al., 2018).

2.3. Microfibers

Microfibers were fabricated by tapering standard single mode fibers (Corning SMF-28, 125 μm of diameter) by means of the pull-and-fuse technique. This process consists on the controlled pulling of a conventional fiber, while it is heated up to the plastic deformation temperature of the silica (Fig. S2). As described elsewhere (Birks and Li, 1992), this system allows to obtain uniform microfibers of several centimeters long, with diameters of the waist down to 1 μm . After fabrication, microfibers were fixed in a custom holder that keep them taut (Fig. S3).

2.4. BBG patterning

Nanostructured networks of bioreceptors (BBGs), constituted by periodic parallel strips of biomacromolecules and empty gaps between them (Fig. 1A), were patterned onto the surface of microfibers by microcontact printing and immobilized. For that, PDMS (elastomer: curing agent, 10:1 w/w) was poured onto the nanogrooved side of the silicon master, degassed in a vacuum chamber for 5 min, and polymerized overnight at 60 °C. Then, the cured polymer was peeled off from the master and cut in 10 × 5 mm pieces, and these stamps were washed three times by sonication in ethanol (30% in water, 5 min) and dried under a stream of air. Probe solutions in PBS (80 μL , 250 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) were incubated on the structured side of the stamps and after 160 min they

were rinsed with deionized water and dried by air stream, thus obtaining BBGs of physisorbed probes on the fiber (Juste-Dolz et al., 2018).

A custom setup was created to pattern the BBGs onto tapered fibers, based on a mechanical elevator that uplifts the inked stamps until their grooved side becomes in contact with the microfiber. A detailed description on the configuration and use of this setup is reported in Fig. S4. The optical response of the system was measured with the detection setup described below and used to monitor the stamping processes (Fig. S5).

The topography of the resulting BBGs was analyzed by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), using a ZEISS ULTRA-55 scanning electron microscope (ZEISS, Oxford Instruments).

2.5. Biorecognition assays

First, to perform and quantify the immunoassays, once fabricated the probe BBGs their optical responses were measured in air (as described in sections 2.6 and 2.7 below). Then, the microfibers containing the BBGs were immersed in 600 μL of liquid samples and incubated for 30 min. A custom incubation chamber made of PDMS was used to keep the fiber immersed within the liquid samples during the incubations. Subsequently, the fibers were rinsed with PBS-T and deionized water, and dried in air. Finally, the optical response of the BBGs after the biorecognition were measured in air. All the measurements and incubations were performed at room temperature.

2.6. Optical setup

The scheme of the optical setup is shown in Fig. 1B. The optical light was provided by an infrared LED source (1.3 mW continuous wave, central wavelength: 1550 nm, bandwidth > 100 nm), and it was launched to the microfiber through an optical circulator (Thorlabs, operation wavelength: 1550 nm, bandwidth: 90 nm). Thus, both transmission and reflection spectra were measured. An optical spectrum analyser AQ6370D, Yokogawa, 600–1700 nm, minimum resolution 20 p.m., was used to acquire the spectra. An additional FBG, written using UV radiation in the core of commercial photosensitive fiber (Fibercore PS1250) by means of the phase-mask technique, was included in the setup (Fig. S6). The peak intensity of this grating serves as a reference to monitor and correct potential power level changes introduced in the fabrication process and the immunoassays, as well as power level fluctuations (Supplementary Information 7).

2.7. Data acquisition and processing

Transmission and reflection spectra were acquired in each step of the BBGs fabrication and the subsequent biosensing assays. The data was analyzed in both logarithmic and linear scale, and a detailed description of this processing is provided in the Supplementary Information 10. All optical traces were registered within the range 1500–1580 nm with a spectral resolution of 50 p.m., and the variation in the peak reflectivity was used as the analytical signal. This net reflectivity was calculated as the difference between the peak reflectivity registered after patterning the probes, and after incubating the targets, as detailed in the Supplementary Information 7 and Fig. S8.

Noise was estimated as the standard deviation from 10 blank measurements ($0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of target IgG incubated on BBGs fabricated on 10 different fibers) that we employed to infer signal-to-noise ratios (SNR). Limits of detection and quantification were calculated from experimental dose-response curves as the concentrations associated to $\text{SNR} = 3$ and $\text{SNR} = 10$, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Microfibers design

The diameter of the microfiber is a key parameter in this BBG concept, since it determines the fraction of light in the evanescent field of the optical mode. It will ultimately affect the magnitude of the diffractive interaction with the bioreceptors and define the performance of resulting the biosensing transduction. Hence, theoretical calculations were performed beforehand experimental assessments to set the starting working conditions.

Firstly, the overlap integral between the fundamental optical mode (LP_{01}) and the diffractive nanostructure of bioreceptors (BBG) on the microfiber was calculated as a function of the diameter of the microfiber. As shown in Fig. 2A, as the diameter increases, the stronger confinement of the mode within the microfiber leads to a negligible overlap integral. However, when the microfiber diameter becomes comparable to the light wavelength, the evanescent field enlarges and the fraction of light overlapping the BBG displays an exponential growth. These preliminary insights are also supported by the calculations of the corresponding peak reflectivity of such BBGs (Fig. 2B), where the reflectivity increases (together with the overlap integral) when the fiber diameter decreases.

The BBG is located at one side of the fiber, which leads to an anisotropic system whose optical response must be dependent on the orientation of the linear polarization of the optical mode, partly due to the different position of the evanescent tail of the mode for each polarization (see Fig. 2C). Therefore, to perform a rigorous analysis, the optical response in both linear polarizations (X and Y) were also calculated together with the overlap integral and the peak reflectivity. As observed in Fig. 2A and B, for a 3 μm diameter there is difference of around 3 dB in the peak intensity between the two polarizations, which corresponds to a reduction in the fields overlapping of about a 50% and highlights the role of the polarization in this system. However, the anisotropic behavior of the BBG was not observed in the subsequent experimental immunoassays (section 3.3).

From these results and considering the manipulation feasibility of microfibers below 1 μm , fiber diameters from 2 to 5 μm were selected to experimentally investigate the BBG concept.

3.2. Structural and functional characterization of the BBGs

A critical step in this approach is the BBG patterning, and herein we address it by microcontact printing. This is an important and versatile technique in the state-of-art (Lamping et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020b), widely used to create functional and homogeneous patterns of biomolecules onto flat substrates of different compositions (Juste-Dolz et al., 2018). However, it remains challenging to pattern biomacromolecules onto curved, fragile, and micrometric structures as microfibers. In this work we successfully addressed this issue with a half-assisted setup that allows a practical manipulation of the microfibers and monitors the transmission spectrum of the optical device as a feedback system to control the nanoscopic patterning process taking place on the fiber surface. For this assessment, we patterned BBGs of physisorbed bovine serum albumin (BSA) probes on 5 μm microfibers.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, homogeneous periodic grooved structures are generated onto the surface of the microfiber, where darker vertical lines are the protein strips of the BBG, and the greyish ones are the gaps between them. A grating period (Λ_{BBG}) of $556 \pm 1 \text{ nm}$ was calculated from FESEM images (Fig. 3B), which agrees with the period of the original master structure (555 nm). However, as protein strips are thinner than the gaps the duty cycle becomes slightly lower (35%), and this structural difference can be attributed to the weak contact between the stamp and the microfiber. Moreover, due to the curvature of the microfiber, a maximum angular surface coverage of 90° may be reached with this patterning method. Therefore, our results bear witness to the ability of microcontact printing for generating patterned networks of




Fig. 2. Simulated optical response for different microfiber diameters. (A) Overlap integral and (B) reflectivity for the fundamental optical mode, a BBG thickness of 10 nm, and at both orientations of the linear polarization of the optical mode (red continuous line for X polarization and blue dashed line for Y polarization). The inset in Fig. 2A zooms in the overlap integral at larger diameters for a better visualization. (C) Electric field intensity distribution for both polarizations in a 1 μm microfiber. The blue line at the right side of both plots, represents the microfiber section covered by the BBG. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

biomolecules even on fragile and non-flat micrometric surfaces.

In order to monitor and optimize the fabrication process, we measured the optical response of the BBGs by means of the collection of reflection and transmission spectra at each step of their fabrication and the subsequent biorecognition assay on a 3 μm microfiber. At first, only




Fig. 3. (A) Scheme of a BBG fabricated on a microfiber and (B) FESEM image of a BSA BBG patterned onto a 5 μm microfiber.

the reflection peak corresponding to the reference FBG (1564 nm, Fig. 4 i) is observed. An additional intense reflection peak appears at 1537 nm during the BBG stamping step (Fig. S9), which meets the Bragg condition and confirms an effective contact of the grooved stamp on the surface of the microfiber. After the stamping, this peak remains in the reflection spectrum (Fig. 4 ii), which corroborates the transfer of the stamped bioreceptor and the proper structuration of the resulting BBG. This initial BBG peak reaches a −27 dB level respect to the reference, and this reflectivity drop agrees with the lower thickness and refractive index contrast of patterned proteins, compared to the grooved PDMS stamp in the previous stage.

Finally, the incubation of selective IgGs on the patterned protein displays an important enhancement of the BBG reflection peak that reaches a −13 dB level respect to reference (14 dB increase), as shown in Fig. 4 iii. According to the starting hypothesis, this enhancement must come from the greater amount of biological matter in the BBG strips generated by the biorecognition between the patterned probes and their targets in solution. Furthermore, this reflectivity enhancement is not observed after incubating only PBS-T buffer (Fig. S10). It is important to highlight here that this result constitutes the first experimental proof of bioanalytical transduction principle investigated in this study. Besides, the reflectivity of the reference FBG peak was used as a reference signal along the whole process, as well as to monitor any significant optical loss in the system.

3.3. Experimental performance

As a preliminary experimental prove towards real biosensing, we explored how the thickness of the biological layer that constitutes the BBG strips affects the optical response. For that, BBGs of proteins with a range of molecular weights (from 24 to 118 kDa) were patterned on different microfibers, and the intensity of the resulting reflection peak was compared. The results of this experiment (Fig. S11) show that the resulting peak reflectivity increases together with the molecular weight of the proteins (i.e., the amount of matter on the BBG strips), as expected and necessary for the success of this transduction system.

As discussed above, the intensity of the BBG peak in the reflection spectrum is predicted to decay as the diameter of the microfiber increases (Fig. 2B), and only BBG reflectivities produced in microfibers with diameters below 5 μm may be detected with standard equipment. This is a crucial issue since greater analytical signals in the biorecognition transduction will potentially enhance the sensitivity of the resulting bioanalytical systems. As observed in Fig. 5A, the simulated trend is also observed in experimental conditions. The divergencies between both trends were attributable to the fact that simulations are unable to consider the experimental uncertainty. Although maximum reflectivities for fiber diameters of 2 μm (and below) are displayed by the theoretical calculations, we have experimentally observed that these microfibres are much more fragile and lead to higher optical losses in the BBGs patterning and the subsequent sample incubations. Hence, 3 μm




Fig. 4. Experimental reflection spectra obtained at (i) Initial step, (ii) after the patterning a BBG of BSA, and (iii) after incubating specific antiBSA IgGs ($10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Schematic illustrations of the fiber and the BBG at each step is represented below each corresponding spectrum.




Fig. 5. (A) BBG peak reflectivities of patterned BSA probes before (green triangles) and after (red circles) incubating a solution of specific IgG ($10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), for a range of fiber diameters. Blue and black continuous lines represent the corresponding simulated data considering BBG thicknesses of 1 and 10 nm, respectively. (B) Experimental dose-response immunoassay curve, fitted to a sigmoidal (logistic 4 parameters) regression. (C) Net reflectivity achieved after incubating different dilutions of human serum in PBS-T buffer onto BBGs without (black circles) and with specific IgG ($10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, blue empty triangles). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

microfiber diameter was considered the best option.

However, although thoroughly checked, negligible changes in the optical response (both in amplitude and wavelength position) were observed for different linear polarizations when experimentally measuring bioreceptor BBGs, even after interacting with high concentrations of their target IgGs. In particular, it was confirmed by experimental results that the Bragg wavelength splitting is only observed in high-contrast gratings, as the ones resulting from the contact between the grooved PDMS and the fiber in the first step of the BBG patterning (Fig. S4). It is possible that a random polarization conversion in the grating region is behind the lack of significant polarization effects. Therefore, the polarizing elements were omitted in the optical setup for measuring BBG biorecognition assays in experimental conditions.

3.4. Immunosensing

The biosensing capabilities were studied by means of an experimental dose-response curve using a representative model immunoassay based on BBGs of patterned BSA probes and specific antiBSA IgGs as targets. A set of $3 \mu\text{m}$ microfibers were individually fabricated, patterned with the BSA probes, and incubated with different concentrations of target. As shown in Fig. 5B, the increase of the peak reflectivity is proportional to the target concentration, achieves a maximal reflectivity of 15%, and correlates well with the expected trend for a biorecognition dose-response curve ($R^2 = 0.997$). This indicates that the reproducibility

in the fabrication and testing processes is high. From these results, experimental detection and quantification limits of $0.1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $0.4 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively, of IgG in label-free conditions are inferred. This is a promising sensitivity which is in the range of other recent label-free optical approaches (Chen et al., 2018; Gatterdam et al., 2017; Juste-Dolz et al., 2018; Makhneva et al., 2019).

An important issue in biosensing are the problems associated to non-specific binding (NSB) (Hirst et al., 2008; Mittal et al., 2013). This is especially critical in label-free systems and biological samples, which commonly comprise a high content of biomacromolecules that adsorb on the sensor surface and generate signals that cannot be discriminated from the ones originated by the biorecognition of interest. A unique feature of diffractive bioanalytical systems is their potential to minimize signal contributions generated by NSB (Gatterdam et al., 2017). Unlike specific biorecognition of targets in the probe strips, NSB is a random process prone to take place evenly in the BBG strips and gaps. Therefore, in a first approximation, the reduction in the refractive index contrast generated by the unspecific adsorption on the gaps becomes compensated by the increase in the refractive index contrast caused by the NSB in the strips. To evaluate the effect of NSB, we studied the response of the system with the model immunoassay under a range of dilutions of human serum (7% of non-specific proteins, potentially interfering lipids, etc.) in PBS-T. Fig. 5C shows that reflectivity drops by half when pure human serum containing specific targets is incubated and it increases until it reaches the level of maximum reflectivity ($R = 15\%$) for pure

PBS-T (blue squares). Besides, the same serum dilutions without targets do not involve significant changes in the reflectivity achieved by the BSA pattern itself (Fig. 5C, black squares). These results demonstrate very promising perspectives for label-free detection in complex matrixes, whereas these NSB features could be improved by designing BBGs with a minimal compositional difference between the strips and the gaps.

Another interesting feature of this biosensing system is the easy wavelength tunability of its optical response. The Bragg's wavelength of the BBG reflection peak can be controlled by modifying the fiber diameter, in a first approach. As represented in Fig. 6A, a change in the diameter of the fiber induces a variation of the effective refractive index of the optical mode. Thus, a change in the microfiber diameter results in a Bragg's wavelength shift according to the Bragg condition. Our experimental results using fibers with diameters ranging from 2 to 5 μm match well with the simulations (Fig. 6B), being possible to tune the response within a range of 60 nm (from 1500 to 1560 nm). However, this approach would result in poorer sensitivities for the largest diameters.

Alternatively, the position of the BBG peak can also be tuned by modifying the BBG period. We found that this parameter can be easily controlled experimentally by just rotating the inked stamp in the stamping step (Fig. 6C), with respect to the longitudinal axis of the microfiber. This approach allows tuning the Bragg's wavelength accurately, since the reflectivity peak shifts towards longer wavelengths when the rotation angle increases. Also, it involves minimal nanofabrication requirements, when compared to creating a specific master substrate for each period. As shown in Fig. 6D, this tuning strategy permits to shift the position of the BBG peak up to 120 nm in 20°.

In addition to provide versatility in terms of the optical instrumentation compatible with this bioanalytical approach, this tunability introduces interesting capabilities for performing multiplexed assays. For example, the reflection peaks of multiple assays could be acquired in a single measurement, and effectively discriminated by combining BBGs with different periods in the same microfiber (Fig. 7A). To explore it, two BBGs were created on a single microfiber with two different stamp rotation angles (5° and 15° degrees), which resulted in different Λ_{BBG} (558 nm and 575 nm, respectively), in experimental immunoassay conditions. As shown in Fig. 7B, two main peaks were obtained, each one of them transducing the biorecognition event of a different assay. The peak at 1615 nm corresponds to the immunoassay with a shorter

BBG period (558 nm) and the 1650 nm peak to the longer period one (575 nm). Both peaks can be discriminated and quantified in the reflection spectrum of a single measurement, and this prove aims to open the door for prospective BBG systems integrating multiple BBGs tuned to spread across the reflection spectrum in order to perform and quantify multiple label-free assays for different targets in a single step.

4. Conclusions

This work introduces and demonstrates Bio Bragg Gratings for biosensing, a new physicochemical principle to transduce biorecognition events, based on diffractive networks of bioreceptors patterned on optical waveguides. The results of this theoretical and experimental study support the design, optimization, characterization and fabrication of functional biosensing systems capable of transducing unlabeled immunoassays as a peak in the reflection spectra. The approach is herein implemented in microfibers (1–5 μm in diameter) fabricated from standard optical fibers, which is an extremely inexpensive material that ensures a low optical loss and projects interesting perspectives for integration in telecommunication systems. This work also demonstrates the capability of micro-contact printing to pattern biomacromolecules onto fragile and non-flat microstructures. Different devices were fabricated and tested individually in a model immunoassay based on protein probes and IgG targets, and the results display well-correlated quantitative dose-response curves in label-free conditions. This biosensing approach presents appealing perspectives to avoid signal contributions from non-specific binding in the analysis of complex biological samples, as shown in this study with human blood serum. Besides, the wavelength response of the sensor can be easily tuned by modifying the microfiber diameter or the period of the biomolecular grating, and the results demonstrate that this tunability provides an interesting solution to perform multiplexed assays on a single fiber. In addition to introduce new biosensing possibilities for fiber-based developments, this investigation provides the basis for a prospective implementation of this transduction system in other waveguide materials and devices to conceive new integrated biosensors.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Augusto Juste-Dolz: Investigation, Writing - original draft,




Fig. 6. Tunability of the bioanalytical response. (A) Calculated wavelength dispersion of $LP_{01} n_{\text{eff}}$ for two microfiber diameters. (B) Experimental Bragg's wavelength of the BBG peaks (after incubating target IgGs at $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) in a range of fiber diameters and the corresponding simulated results (strip height of 10 nm). (C) Scheme of the variation of the period by rotating the stamp in the BBG patterning. (D) Experimental Bragg's wavelength shift measured for different devices. All of them were patterned using a 555 nm period stamp in a 3- μm fiber, by changing the angle between the stamp strips and the axis of the fiber. The simulation shows a sinus trend.




Fig. 7. Assay multiplexing by patterning different BBG periods in a single microfiber. **(A)** Schematic illustration of the approach. **(B)** Experimental reflection spectra obtained with the model immunoassay after incubating the target IgG ($10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) in two different BBGs patterned on a single fiber.

Visualization. **Martina Delgado-Pinar:** Investigation, Writing - original draft. **Miquel Avella-Oliver:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - original draft. **Estrella Fernández:** Conceptualization, Validation. **Daniel Pastor:** Formal analysis, Methodology. **Miguel V. Andrés:** Conceptualization, Resources, Writing - review & editing. **Ángel Maquieira:** Writing - review & editing, Supervision.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CTQ2016-75749-R and TEC2016-80385-P), Agencia Estatal de Investigación and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (PID2019-110877 GB-I00 and PDI2019-104276RB-I00) and Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO/2019/048 and PROMETEO/2017/103). A.J.-D. acknowledges the FPI-UPV 2017 grant program, M.A.-O acknowledges the APSOSTD/2019 program of the GVA.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2020.112916>.

References

- Avella-Oliver, M., Carrascosa, J., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A., 2017. Diffractive protein gratings as optically active transducers for high-throughput label-free immunosensing. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 9002–9008. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b01649>.
- Avella-Oliver, M., Ferrando, V., Monsoriu, J.A., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A., 2018. A label-free diffraction-based sensing displacement immunosensor to quantify low molecular weight organic compounds. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1033, 173–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.05.060>.
- Bekmurzayeva, A., Dukenbayev, K., Shaimerdenova, M., Bekniyazov, I., Ayupova, T., Syrbekova, M., Molardi, C., Tosi, D., 2018. Etched fiber bragg grating biosensor functionalized with aptamers for detection of thrombin. *Sensors* 18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18124298>.
- Bhattacharyya, I.M., Cohen, S., Shalabny, A., Bashouti, M., Akavayov, B., Shalev, G., 2019. Specific and label-free immunosensing of protein-protein interactions with silicon-based immunofETs. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 132, 143–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.03.003>.
- Birks, T.A., Li, Y.W., 1992. The shape of fiber tapers. *J. Lightwave Technol.* 10, 432–438. <https://doi.org/10.1109/50.134196>.
- Cao, Y., Wang, W., Guo, T., Ran, Y., Feng, X., Guan, B.-O., Yao, J., 2017. High-resolution and temperature-compensational HER2 antigen detection based on microwave photonic interrogation. *Sensor. Actuator. B* 245, 583–589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.01.085>.
- Chen, W.T., Li, S.S., Chu, J.P., Feng, K.C., Chen, J.K., 2018. Fabrication of ordered metallic glass nanotube arrays for label-free biosensing with diffractive reflectance. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 102, 129–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.10.023>.
- Chen, Y., Liu, J., Yang, Z., Wilkinson, J.S., Zhou, X., 2019. Optical biosensors based on refractometric sensing schemes: a review. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 144, 111693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.111693>.
- Delgado-Pinar, M., Shi, Q., Poveda-Wong, L., Delgado-Pinar, E., Xu, B., Zhao, J., Cruz, J. L., Andrés, M.V., 2017. Oligonucleotide-hybridization fiber-optic biosensor using a narrow bandwidth long period grating. *IEEE Sensor. J.* 17, 5503–5509. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2017.2723759>.
- Erdogan, T., 1997. Fiber grating spectra. *J. Lightwave Technol.* 15, 1277–1294. <https://doi.org/10.1109/50.618322>.
- Escorihuela, J., González-Martínez, M.Á., López-Paz, J.L., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A., Gimenez-Romero, D., 2015. Dual-polarization interferometry: a novel technique to light up the nanomolecular world. *Chem. Rev.* 115 (1), 265–294. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5002063>.
- Freeman, N.J., Peel, L.L., Swann, M.J., Cross, G.H., Reeves, A., Brand, S., Lu, J.R., 2004. Real time, high resolution studies of protein adsorption and structure at the solid-liquid interface using dual polarization interferometry. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 16, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/26/023>.
- Frutiger, A., Blickenstorfer, Y., Bischof, S., Forró, C., Lauer, M., Gatterdam, V., Fattinger, C., Vörös, J., 2019. Principles for sensitive and robust biomolecular interaction analysis: the limits of detection and resolution of diffraction-limited focal molography. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* 11, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.11.014056>.
- Frutiger, A., Tschannen, C.D., Blickenstorfer, Y., Reichmuth, A.M., Fattinger, C., Vörös, J., 2020. Image reversal reactive immersion lithography improves the detection limit of focal molography: erratum. *Opt. Lett.* 45, 918. <https://doi.org/10.1364/ol.45.000918>.
- Gatterdam, V., Frutiger, A., Stengele, K.P., Heindl, D., Lübbers, T., Vörös, J., Fattinger, C., 2017. Focal molography is a new method for the in situ analysis of molecular interactions in biological samples. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 12, 1089–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2017.168>.
- Goh, J.B., Loo, R.W., Goh, M.C., 2005. Label-free monitoring of multiple biomolecular binding interactions in real-time with diffraction-based sensing. *Sensor. Actuator. B Chem.* 106, 243–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2004.08.003>.
- Goh, J.B., Loo, R.W., McAloney, R.A., Goh, M.C., 2002. Diffraction-based assay for detecting multiple analytes. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 374, 54–56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-002-1478-5>.
- Hirst, E.R., Yuan, Y.J., Xu, W.L., Bronlund, J.E., 2008. Bond-rupture immunosensors-A review. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 23, 1759–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2008.02.002>.
- Juste-Dolz, A., Avella-Oliver, M., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A., 2018. Indirect microcontact printing to create functional patterns of physisorbed antibodies. *Sensors* 18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18093163>.
- Lamping, S., Buten, C., Ravoo, B.J., 2019. Functionalization and patterning of self-assembled monolayers and polymer brushes using microcontact chemistry. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00041>.
- Langer, J., de Aberasturi, D.J., Aizpurua, J., Alvarez-Puebla, R.A., Auguie, B., Baumberg, J.J., Bazan, G.C., Bell, S.E.J., Boisen, A., Brolo, A.G., Choo, J., Cialla-May, D., Deckert, V., Fabris, L., Faulds, K., Javier García de Abajo, F., Goodacre, R., Graham, D., Haes, A.J., Haynes, C.L., Huck, C., Itoh, T., Käll, M., Kneipp, J., Kotov, N.A., Kuang, H., Le Ru, E.C., Lee, H.K., Li, J.F., Ling, X.Y., Maier, S.A., Mayerhöfer, T., Moskovits, M., Murakoshi, K., Nam, J.M., Nie, S., Ozaki, Y., Pastoriza-Santos, I., Perez-Juste, J., Popp, J., Pucci, A., Reich, S., Ren, B., Schatz, G. C., Shegai, T., Schlücker, S., Tay, L.L., George Thomas, K., Tian, Z.Q., van Duyn, R. P., Vo-Dinh, T., Wang, Y., Willets, K.A., Xu, C., Xu, H., Xu, Y., Yamamoto, Y.S., Zhao, B., Liz-Marzán, L.M., 2020. Present and future of surface-enhanced Raman scattering. *ACS Nano* 14, 28–117. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b04224>.
- Liu, J., Jalali, M., Mahshid, S., Wachsmann-Hogiu, S., 2020. Are plasmonic optical biosensors ready for use in point-of-need applications? *Analyst.* <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9an02149c>.

- Liu, T., Liang, L.-L., Xiao, P., Sun, L.-P., Huang, Y.-Y., Ran, Y., Jin, L., Guan, B.-O., 2018. A label-free cardiac biomarker immunosensor based on phase-shifted microfiber Bragg grating. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 100, 155–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.08.061>.
- Loyez, M., Hassan, E.M., Lobry, M., Liu, F., Caucheteur, C., Wattiez, R., Derosa, M.C., Willmore, W.G., Albert, J., 2020. Rapid detection of circulating breast cancer cells using a multiresonant optical fiber aptasensor with plasmonic amplification. *ACS Sens.* 5, 454–463. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.9b02155>.
- Mahmoudpour, M., Ezzati Nazhad Dolatabadi, J., Torbati, M., Pirpour Tazehkand, A., Homayouni-Rad, A., de la Guardia, M., 2019. Nanomaterials and new biorecognition molecules based surface plasmon resonance biosensors for mycotoxin detection. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 143, 111603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.111603>.
- Makhneva, E., Farka, Z., Pastucha, M., Obrušník, A., Horácková, V., Skládal, P., Zajíčková, L., 2019. Maleic anhydride and acetylene plasma copolymer surfaces for SPR immunosensing. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 411, 7689–7697. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-019-01979-9>.
- Malachovská, V., Ribaut, C., Voisin, V., Surin, M., Leclère, P., Wattiez, R., Caucheteur, C., 2015. Fiber-Optic SPR immunosensors tailored to target epithelial cells through membrane receptors. *Anal. Chem.* 87, 5957–5965. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00159>.
- Mittal, S., Wong, I.Y., Yanik, A.A., Deen, W.M., Toner, M., 2013. Discontinuous nanoporous membranes reduce non-specific fouling for immunoaffinity cell capture. *Small* 9, 4207–4214. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201300977>.
- Nootchanat, S., Jaikeandee, W., Yaiwong, P., Lertvachirapaiboon, C., Shinbo, K., Kato, K., Ekgasit, S., Baba, A., 2019. Fabrication of miniature surface plasmon resonance sensor chips by using confined sessile drop technique. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 11, 11954–11960. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b01617>.
- Prasad, A., Choi, J., Jia, Z., Park, S., Gartia, M.R., 2019. Nanohole array plasmonic biosensors: emerging point-of-care applications. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 130, 185–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.01.037>.
- Rumpf, R.C., Garcia, C.R., Berry, E.A., Barton, J.H., 2014. Finite-difference frequency-domain algorithm for modeling electromagnetic scattering from general anisotropic objects. *Prog. Electromagn. Res.* 61, 55–67. <https://doi.org/10.2528/PIERB14071606>.
- Sancho-Fornes, G., Avella-Oliver, M., Carrascosa, J., Fernandez, E., Brun, E.M., Maquieira, A., 2019. Disk-based one-dimensional photonic crystal slabs for label-free immunosensing. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 126, 315–323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2018.11.005>.
- Schneider, A.K., Niemeyer, C.M., 2018. DNA surface technology: from gene sensors to integrated systems for life and materials sciences. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57, 16959–16967. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201811713>.
- Sridevi, S., Vasu, K.S., Asokan, S., Sood, A.K., 2015. Sensitive detection of C-reactive protein using optical fiber Bragg gratings. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 65, 251–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2014.10.033>.
- Sypabekova, M., Korganbayev, S., González-Vila, Á., Caucheteur, C., Shaimerdenova, M., Ayupova, T., Bekmurzayeva, A., Vangelista, L., Tosi, D., 2019. Functionalized etched tilted fiber Bragg grating aptasensor for label-free protein detection. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 146, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.111765>.
- Wang, J., Sanchez, M.M., Yin, Y., Herzer, R., Ma, L., Schmidt, O.G., 2020a. Silicon-based integrated label-free optofluidic biosensors: latest advances and roadmap. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 5 <https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.201901138>.
- Wang, X., Sperling, M., Reifarth, M., Böker, A., 2020b. Shaping metallic nanolattices: design by microcontact printing from wrinkled stamps. *Small* 16, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201906721>.
- Wang, X.D., Wolfbeis, O.S., 2020. Fiber-Optic chemical sensors and biosensors (2015–2019). *Anal. Chem.* 92, 397–430. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b04708>.
- Wong, X.Y., Sena-Torralba, A., Álvarez-Diduk, R., Muthoosamy, K., Merkoçi, A., 2020. Nanomaterials for nanotheranostics: tuning their properties according to disease needs. *ACS Nano* 14, 2585–2627. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b08133>.
- Xu, L., Shoaie, N., Jahanpeyma, F., Zhao, J., Azimzadeh, M., Al-Jamal, K.T., 2020. Optical, electrochemical and electrical (nano)biosensors for detection of exosomes: a comprehensive overview. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 161 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2020.112222>.
- Yariv, A., Yeh, P., 2007. *Photonics: Optical Electronics in Modern Communications*, 6. Oxford university press, New York.
- Zhang, L., Ying, Y., Li, Y., Fu, Y., 2020. Integration and synergy in protein-nanomaterial hybrids for biosensing: strategies and in-field detection applications. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 154, 112036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2020.112036>.
- Zhao, Y., Hu, X., Hu, S., Peng, Y., 2020. Applications of fiber-optic biochemical sensor in microfluidic chips: A review. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 166, 112447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2020.112447>.
- Zhao, Y., Tong, R. jie, Xia, F., Peng, Y., 2019. Current status of optical fiber biosensor based on surface plasmon resonance. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 142, 111505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.111505>.
- Zhu, Z., Brown, T.G., 2002. Full-vectorial finite-difference analysis of microstructured optical fibers. *Optic Express* 10, 853–864. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.10.000853>.