

Current Biology

A Kinesin-5, Cin8, Recruits Protein Phosphatase 1 to Kinetochores and Regulates Chromosome Segregation

Highlights

- The microtubule-based motor Cin8 is required to build tension in the Ndc80 complex
- Cin8 kinetochore localization depends on kinetochore MTs, Ndc80, and Dam1 complexes
- Cin8 binds PP1 and is localized near the N-terminal tail of Ndc80 at kinetochores
- *cin8-RAKA* mutant induces a loss of tension at Ndc80 and a severe mitotic delay

Authors

Aussie Suzuki, Amitabha Gupta, Sarah K. Long, ..., Edward D. Salmon, Sue Biggins, Kerry Bloom

Correspondence

aussie.suzuki@wisc.edu (A.S.), sbiggins@fredhutch.org (S.B.)

In Brief

Suzuki, Gupta, et al. report that a Kinesin-5, Cin8, binds Protein Phosphatase 1 (PP1) and localizes near the Ndc80 complex. A Cin8 mutant lacking PP1 binding induces a mitotic delay and loss of tension at Ndc80, suggesting a critical role for Cin8-PP1 in promoting chromosome segregation by controlling Ndc80 attachment to microtubules.



A Kinesin-5, Cin8, Recruits Protein Phosphatase 1 to Kinetochores and Regulates Chromosome Segregation

Aussie Suzuki,^{1,3,4,6,*} Amitabha Gupta,^{2,4} Sarah K. Long,¹ Rena Evans,² Benjamin L. Badger,¹ Edward D. Salmon,^{1,5} Sue Biggins,^{2,5,*} and Kerry Bloom^{1,5}

¹Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Division of Basic Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98109, USA

³McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research, Department of Oncology, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53705, USA

⁴These authors contributed equally

⁵Senior author

⁶Lead Contact

*Correspondence: aussie.suzuki@wisc.edu (A.S.), sbiggins@fredhutch.org (S.B.)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.038>

SUMMARY

Kinesin-5 is a highly conserved homo-tetrameric protein complex responsible for crosslinking microtubules and pushing spindle poles apart. The budding yeast Kinesin-5, Cin8, is highly concentrated at kinetochores in mitosis before anaphase, but its functions there are largely unsolved. Here, we show that Cin8 localizes to kinetochores in a cell-cycle-dependent manner and concentrates near the microtubule binding domains of Ndc80 at metaphase. Cin8's kinetochore localization depends on the Ndc80 complex, kinetochore microtubules, and the Dam1 complex. Consistent with its kinetochore localization, a Cin8 deletion induces a loss of tension at the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains and a major delay in mitotic progression. Cin8 associates with Protein Phosphatase 1 (PP1), and mutants that inhibit its PP1 binding also induce a loss of tension at the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains and delay mitotic progression. Taken together, our results suggest that Cin8-PP1 plays a critical role at kinetochores to promote accurate chromosome segregation by controlling Ndc80 attachment to microtubules.

INTRODUCTION

To ensure accurate chromosome segregation, spindle microtubules attach to the specific region of the chromosome called the kinetochore. The kinetochore is a macro-molecular protein complex containing more than 100 proteins that assembles on a specialized centromeric chromatin structure [1, 2].

The highly conserved Ndc80 complex has critical functions in mediating microtubule attachment and force generation, as well as controlling the spindle assembly checkpoint, the surveillance

mechanism that prevents anaphase until all kinetochores are properly attached to spindle microtubules [1, 3–6]. The Ndc80 complex binds to microtubules via two adjacent domains: a CH domain and an N-terminal tail. Microtubule binding affinity is regulated by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of the tail domain in response to the dominance of either the kinase or phosphatase [7–11]. During prometaphase, phosphorylation by Aurora B kinase dominates, weakening the interaction between Ndc80 and kinetochore microtubules in order to allow correction of attachment errors. In contrast, during metaphase, dephosphorylation by the Protein Phosphatase 1 (PP1) dominates to strengthen Ndc80 attachments to kinetochore microtubules [10]. In budding yeast, deletion of the N-terminal tail results in loss of tension at the Ndc80-microtubule interface as measured by a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) tension biosensor within Ndc80 [4]. Other important kinetochore-bound yeast microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) are the Dam1 complex and Stu2/ch-TOG, which are both recruited to kinetochores via Ndc80. The Dam1 complex contributes to kinetochore microtubule attachment by oligomerizing into a ring-like structure that surrounds a microtubule *in vitro* [12–19]. Stu2, which is a microtubule polymerase, also binds the Ndc80 complex [20–22]. Recent studies show that Ndc80, the Dam1 complex, and Stu2 work together at kinetochores to share the load for chromosome segregation in budding yeast [4, 5, 21, 23, 24].

In addition to kinetochore-bound MAPs, cytoskeleton motor proteins also have critical roles in chromosome segregation in most eukaryotic cells. Kinesin-5, also known as Eg5 or KIF11 in vertebrates, is a highly conserved homotetramer protein complex with two pairs of motor domains oriented in an opposite direction that serves to cross-link and slide anti-parallel microtubules apart [25, 26]. There are two Kinesin-5 family members in budding yeast: Cin8 and Kip1 [26, 27]. Unlike Kinesin-5 proteins in other species, Cin8 can behave as a bi-directional kinesin depending on whether it works alone or in conjunction with a team [28, 29]. Cin8 concentrates on central spindle microtubules during anaphase and plays a critical role in the maintenance of spindle pole separation [27, 30]. Although






Figure 1. Cin8 Localizes to Kinetochores in a Cell-Cycle-Dependent Manner

(A) Representative images of Cin8-GFP and Ndc80-mCherry throughout the cell cycle (left). The plot of normalized GFP intensity at kinetochores throughout the cell cycle (right). The signal intensity was normalized for the mean values of metaphase. (B) Dsn1-His-Flag was immunoprecipitated from asynchronously growing yeast cells expressing Dsn1-His-Flag, Cin8-3V5, or Dsn1-His-Flag/Cin8-3V5 (left) or from Dsn1-His-Flag/Cin8-3V5 cells that were synchronized in G1 (using α -factor), released, and harvested after 0, 40, 70, and 101 min (right). The levels of Cin8 that associate with kinetochores were analyzed by immunoblotting. See also Figure S1.

(C) Representative images of cells expressing Cin8-GFP and Ndc80-mCherry or Tub1-GFP and Spc29-RFP in control, nocodazole (Noc), or low-dose benomyl (Low Ben)-treated cells (left). The plot of normalized GFP intensity (right). The signal intensity was normalized for the mean values of control for either Cin8 or tubulin (Tub1). All values are shown as mean $\pm$ SD; $n > 80$ individual kinetochores for each experiment. * $p < 0.05$ (t test). Scale bars, 5 μ m.

(D) Kinetochores were isolated by immunoprecipitation of Dsn1-His-Flag from asynchronously growing yeast cells expressing Cin8-Snap-3V5 that were treated with or without nocodazole (Noc) for 15 min. The levels of Cin8 that associate with kinetochores were detected by immunoblotting.

Cin8 and Kip1 are functionally redundant for bipolar spindle formation, Cin8 makes a greater contribution to chromosome segregation [27, 31]. *cin8 Δ* cells are viable at 25°C, but they have a high rate of chromosome loss and prolonged progression through mitosis [27, 30]. Unlike *kip1 Δ* cells, *cin8 Δ* cells are synthetically lethal with inactivation of the spindle assembly checkpoint, suggesting a critical role in the segregation process [32]. Cin8 has been reported to bind to kinetochores based on a CEN DNA chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay and fluorescence localization [31, 33]. However, previous studies have mainly focused on the microtubule motor functions of Cin8 [26, 28, 29, 31], and the functions of Cin8 at kinetochores have been largely unsolved.

Here, we demonstrate that Cin8 localizes to kinetochores in a cell-cycle-dependent manner, achieving maximum concentration at kinetochores prior to anaphase. Cin8 localization to the kinetochore depends on the Ndc80 complex, kinetochore microtubules, and the Dam1 complex. Cin8 directly binds to the Dam1 complex *in vitro* and associates with PP1 through a consensus PP1 binding motif. Depletion of Cin8 leads to a delay in mitotic progression that is associated with the loss of tension at Ndc80 microtubule binding domains as measured by the Ndc80 tension biosensor. Similar defects are produced by mutation of the Cin8 PP1 binding motif, although its kinetochore localization is normal. These defects are almost as severe as those in

cells lacking the N-terminal tail of Ndc80 [4, 34]. Our results demonstrate that a PP1/Cin8 complex plays a critical role in producing the tension needed at the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains to ensure normal mitotic progression and accurate chromosome segregation.

RESULTS

Cin-8 Localizes to Kinetochores in a Cell-Cycle-Dependent Manner

To better understand the localization of Cin8 to kinetochores, we measured its concentration at kinetochores throughout the cell cycle by quantitative light microscopy. The concentration of Cin8 on kinetochores was greatest at metaphase, significantly reduced in anaphase to approximately 20% of metaphase levels, and partially recovered during interphase (Figure 1A). Consistent with this, Cin8 co-immunoprecipitated with kinetochores via Dsn1 (Figure 1B, left), a core kinetochore protein that is a component of the Mis12 complex (also known as the MIND complex) and allows for the isolation of native kinetochores [35] (see details in [21, 36, 37]). The levels of co-immunoprecipitated Cin8 were highest at ~70–101 min after α -factor release, when the cells were highly enriched at metaphase (Figure 1B, right; Figure S1A). To test whether the kinetochore localization of Cin8 requires microtubules, we measured Cin8 levels after treatment with nocodazole, a microtubule-depolymerizing drug. Nocodazole treatment




Figure 2. The Ndc80 and Dam1 Complexes Are Required for Cin8 Kinetochores Localization

(A) Representative images of Cin8-GFP and Ndc80-mCherry at metaphase (left). The separation between sister kinetochores was obtained from cells expressing Spc29-RFP and Cin8-GFP or expressing Spc29-RFP and Ndc80-GFP. The separation was normalized for the separation values of Spc29-Spc29 (right). Scale bars, 2.5 μ m.

(B) Representative images of Cin8-GFP at metaphase in control cells, Ndc80-depleted cells, and Dam1-depleted cells (left). The plot of normalized GFP intensity is shown (right). The signal intensity was normalized for the mean values of each control. All values are shown as mean $\pm$ SD; $n > 80$ individual kinetochores for each experiment. * $p < 0.05$ (t test). Scale bars, 5 μ m.

(C) Kinetochores were purified via immunoprecipitation of Dsn1-His-Flag from Wild-type (WT), *ndc80-1*, or *dad1-1* cells that had been arrested in metaphase and then shifted to 37°C for 2 hr. The levels of Cin8-3V5 that co-purify with kinetochores were analyzed by immunoblotting (left) and quantified by normalization of the intensity relative to the levels of the core kinetochore protein Mtw1 (right).

(D) Immunoprecipitation with anti-V5 antibody from solution containing purified Cin8-3V5 and Ndc80 complex or Cin8-3V5 and Dam1 complex (left; see STAR Methods). The plot of Cin8 bound to Ndc80 or Dam1 versus input Cin8 is shown (right).

induced an 80% reduction of Cin8 at kinetochores, as well as a $\sim$ 90% reduction of microtubules compared to control (Figure 1C). We also examined Cin8 levels on purified kinetochores after nocodazole treatment and found that they were significantly reduced compared to the control (Figure 1D). Interestingly, a low-dose treatment of benomyl, which induces loss in the extent of microtubule dynamic instability (dynamicity) and an $\sim$ 50% reduction of microtubules due to preferential depolymerization of non-kinetochore microtubules [4, 38], did not affect the levels of Cin8 at kinetochores (Figure 1C). These results indicate that Cin8 localizes at the kinetochore from early mitosis through metaphase, and its kinetochore localization requires kinetochore microtubules, but it does not require the normal level of kinetochore microtubule dynamicity or the normal concentration of non-kinetochore spindle microtubules.

The core structural kinetochore proteins, including the Ndc80 complex, Dam1 complex, and constitutive centromere-associated protein network (CCAN) proteins, are stably associated with kinetochores during metaphase [39]. To test whether Cin8 is also stably associated with kinetochores, we analyzed

the turnover rate of Cin8-GFP using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). The half-life for fluorescence recovery after photobleaching of kinetochore Cin8-GFP was $\sim$ 35–40 s (Figures S1B and S1C). Thus, Cin8 is a dynamic component of the kinetochore, unlike the core structural proteins.

Cin8-Dam1 Interaction Promotes Cin8 Kinetochores Localization

Next, we more specifically investigated the location of Cin8 relative to the kinetochore Ndc80 complex. We determined the positions of the C terminus of Cin8 and the C terminus of Ndc80 relative to the spindle pole body (Spc29) by fluorescence co-localization. The mean position of the C terminus of Cin8 localized outside (toward the pole) of the mean position of the C terminus of Ndc80, near the expected position of the N terminus of Ndc80 (Figure 2A) [40, 41]. From this localization result, we hypothesized that Cin8 may interact with the proteins located near the N terminus of the Ndc80 complex, such as the Ndc80 and the Dam1 complexes. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed Cin8 levels at kinetochores in cells depleted of Ndc80 or Dam1 by a quantitative light microscopy (LM) method (Figure 2B). The Ndc80 and Dam1 proteins were fused at the endogenous locus to the auxin-inducible degron (AID) epitope tag to mediate degradation of the corresponding proteins in the presence of




Figure 3. Cin8 Is Essential for Producing Proper Tension at Ndc80

(A) Representative time-lapse images of control and *Cin8* deletion cells (top). The mean duration between prometaphase (PM) and anaphase onset (Ana onset) and between anaphase onset and late anaphase (Late Ana) (bottom). Mitotic stages were determined by sister kinetochore separations (K-K) and cellular bud neck [4]. K-K < 700 nm (prometaphase), 700 nm < K-K < 1,000 nm (metaphase), and K-K > 1,000 nm (anaphase); late anaphase is just before telophase. $n = 45$ (control) and $n = 24$ (*Cin8* deletion).

(B) Representative FRET images of control and *Cin8* deletion cells at prometaphase and metaphase (left). The mean FRET emission ratio in each condition is shown (right). All values are shown as mean $\pm$ SD; $n > 100$ individual kinetochores for each experiment. * $p < 0.05$ (t test). Scale bars, 5 μ m. See also Figure S2.

Cin8 Is Essential for Proper Mitotic Progression and Tension at the Microtubule Binding Domains of Ndc80

In order to investigate the functions of Cin8 at the kinetochore, we measured mitotic duration by time-lapse imaging in cells in which Cin8 was deleted. *cin8Δ* cells were severely delayed between prometaphase and anaphase onset, in addition to the earlier-reported significant delay in anaphase progression (Figure 3A) [30]. We previously found that tension at the microtubule binding domains of Ndc80 is essential for proper mitotic progression [4]. To examine whether loss of *CIN8* affects mitotic progression due to a lack of tension at the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains, we analyzed Ndc80 tension in *cin8Δ* cells using the Ndc80 tension sensor [4]. Cells lacking Cin8 had a significant loss of tension at the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains as revealed by higher FRET emission ratios compared to controls in prometaphase and metaphase, when Cin8 strongly localizes at kinetochores (Figure 3B). The mean values of FRET emission ratio in *cin8Δ* cells at prometaphase and metaphase were near 3.8 and 3.4, respectively. These values are close to the values of zero tension in the FRET sensor as measured by *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments [4], indicating that Cin8 is essential for producing proper tension at the microtubule binding domains of Ndc80.

auxin and the F box protein OsTir1 [42]. There was a significant decrease in Cin8 levels at the kinetochore in both strains, so we further examined this by purifying kinetochores from cells containing temperature-sensitive mutants in the Ndc80 and Dam1 complexes (*ndc80-1* and *dad1-1*, respectively). To ensure that cells were at similar cell-cycle stages, we purified the kinetochores from cells arrested in metaphase prior to the temperature shift. Consistent with the microscopy data, the levels of co-immunoprecipitated Cin8 were less than 10% of the control levels in *ndc80-1* mutant cells and significantly reduced in *dad1-1* cells (a member of the Dam1 complex) (Figure 2C). Because inactivation of Ndc80 induces the loss of proteins associated with Ndc80, including the Dam1 complex [15, 19, 43, 44], we tested whether Cin8 interacts directly with Ndc80 or Dam1 complexes by performing *in vitro* binding assays. The Ndc80 complex or Dam1 complex was immobilized on beads (see [21] for methods), and increasing amounts of purified Cin8 were added. There was a dose-dependent increase in the amount of Cin8 that bound to the Dam1 complex, but not to Ndc80, indicating that Cin8 directly associates with the Dam1 complex (Figure 2D). Taken together, these results suggest that the Dam1 complex is a receptor for Cin8 at the kinetochore. However, there is still some Cin8 on kinetochores purified from cells lacking Dam1, suggesting that there may be one or more additional Cin8 receptors at the kinetochore *in vivo*. Because this residual localization is abolished when Ndc80 is mutated, it is possible that Ndc80 is required for the localization of all Cin8 receptors or that the defect in microtubule attachments in *ndc80* mutants prevents Cin8 from localizing to kinetochores.

We then asked what causes the loss of this Ndc80 tension in *cin8Δ* cells. A previous study reported that the protein copy numbers of the Ndc80 and Dam1 complexes, as well as microtubule dynamicity, are critical to induce proper tension at Ndc80 [4]. We therefore examined the kinetochore levels of Ndc80 and Nuf2 (another component of the Ndc80 complex) in *cin8Δ* cells at metaphase and found that they were similar to those of control cells (Figure S2A). Next, we measured the levels of three components in the Dam1 complex at kinetochores in *cin8Δ* cells and found no significant difference for Dad1, Dad3, and Ask1 protein levels compared to control cells (Figure S2B).




Figure 4. Cin8 Binds to PP1 to Promote Mitotic Progression and Tension at Ndc80

(A) Cin8 was immunoprecipitated from cells expressing Cin8-3V5, Glc7-3GFP, or Cin8-3V5/Glc7-3GFP, and the levels of associated Glc7 were analyzed by immunoblotting.

(B) Schematic of the Cin8 protein, which has a motor domain (black) and four coiled-coil (CC) domains (orange). Cin8 has a PP1 binding motif between CC3 and CC4 domains, and two mutants designed to abolish PP1 binding are indicated. The motor mutant, *cin8-F467A* [46], is also indicated. Note that the authors in [46] used the original annotated Cin8, which started 38 aa upstream of the actual start codon.

(C) Cin8, *cin8-KAAKA*, or *cin8-F467A* was immunoprecipitated from cells that were also expressing Glc7-3GFP, and the levels of co-purifying Glc7 were analyzed by immunoblotting.

(D) Kinetochore were purified via Dsn1-His-Flag from cells expressing Cin8-3V5, *cin8-KAAKA*-3V5, or *cin8-F467A*-3V5, and the levels of associated Glc7 were analyzed by immunoblotting.

(E) Percentage of prometaphase (PM) and metaphase (M) cells in control cells, *cin8Δ* cells, and *cin8-RAKA* mutant cells.

(F) Representative FRET images of control and *cin8-RAKA* mutant cells (left). The mean FRET emission ratio in the condition on the left is shown (right). All values are shown as mean $\pm$ SD; $n > 100$ individual kinetochores for each experiment. * $p < 0.05$ (t test). Scale bars, 5 μ m. See also Figure S3.

(G) Schematic models of how yeast Kinesin-5 regulates proper force production at the microtubule binding domains of Ndc80. In wild-type cells, Cin8 recruits PP1 to kinetochores during early mitosis in manner that requires microtubules and Ndc80 and Dam1 complexes. This leads to PP1 dephosphorylation of the Ndc80 N terminus to strengthen its attachment to microtubules and to produce force for proper mitotic progression. In Cin8-depleted cells, kinetochore-microtubule attachments are weak because the N-terminal tail of Ndc80 remains phosphorylated due to the loss of PP1.

There was also no significant difference in the stoichiometry of core structural kinetochore components when purified kinetochores were examined by silver-stained PAGE (Figure S2C) [5, 21, 37]. Lastly, we examined the degree of microtubule dynamics by FRAP but did not detect significant differences in the rates of FRAP between control and *cin8Δ* cells (Figure S2D). As expected [38], wild-type cells treated with a low dose of benomyl exhibited a severe delay in microtubule fluorescence recovery (Figure S2D). These results imply that Cin8 is involved in controlling tension at the microtubule binding domains of Ndc80 without changing microtubule dynamics or the amount of Ndc80 and Dam1 complexes at kinetochores.

The N-terminal tail of Ndc80 is required to generate tension [4], and we noticed that the phenotypes of the *cin8Δ* cells are very similar to those in an Ndc80 mutant lacking the tail (Ndc80 tailless) (Figures 3A and 3B) [4, 30, 34]. To determine whether Cin8 might act through the Ndc80 tail, we constructed cells lacking both Cin8 and the tail domain of Ndc80 and examined their phenotype. There was no additional mitotic delay in the dou-

ble-mutant cells (Figure S3A), suggesting that Cin8 may be involved in tension control at the N-terminal tail of Ndc80. The N-terminal tail of Ndc80 is not responsible for recruiting Cin8 to kinetochores since Cin8 levels at kinetochores did not change in Ndc80 tailless mutant cells compared to the control (Figure S3B).

Cin8 Recruits PP1 to Mediate Proper Mitotic Progression and Tension at Ndc80

The affinity of the Ndc80 tail for microtubules is regulated by the degree of phosphorylation, which is mediated by the balance of Aurora B kinase activity and the opposing PP1 phosphatase [4, 9–11, 23]. When kinetochores establish bi-oriented attachments at metaphase, Ndc80 should be dephosphorylated by PP1 to increase its affinity for kinetochore microtubules to generate maximal force production [10, 45]. Because Cin8 depletion did not alter the loss of Ndc80 tension exhibited by the Ndc80 tailless, we hypothesized that Cin8 may contribute to dephosphorylation of the Ndc80 tail to enhance microtubule binding

affinity. PP1 opposes Aurora B phosphorylation, so we tested whether Cin8 associates with PP1 by a co-immunoprecipitation assay. Indeed, the catalytic subunit of PP1, Glc7, co-purified with Cin8 (Figure 4A). To determine whether the association is through direct binding to Cin8, we analyzed Cin8 for PP1 binding motifs and found two conserved binding motifs (Figure 4B); one (RVKW) is in the unstructured region between coiled-coils 3 and 4, and another (RVDF) is in coiled-coil 2, which is unlikely to bind to PP1 because it associates with unstructured regions. We therefore made mutants in the RVKW motif to abolish Glc7 binding (*cin8-KAAGA* and *cin8-RAKA*). As a control, we also constructed *cin8-F467A*, a mutant in the Cin8 motor domain that decreases its microtubule binding affinity (approximately ten times lower than that of wild-type Cin8 *in vitro*) [46]. We tested whether the mutants altered the levels of PP1 that co-immunoprecipitate with Cin8. While the amounts of Glc7 that co-purified with wild-type Cin8 and the *cin8-F467A* were similar, there was a significant decrease in the amount that co-purified with the *cin8-KAAGA* (Figure 4C). We next asked whether Cin8 contributes to the kinetochore localization of PP1 by isolating kinetochores via immunoprecipitation of Dsn1 from cells expressing wild-type Cin8, *cin8-KAAGA*, or *cin8-F467A*. Although PP1 levels on the kinetochore were similar to those in cells expressing Cin8 or *cin8-F467A*, they were almost abolished in *cin8-KAAGA* (Figure 4D). Taken together, these results suggest that Cin8 associates with Glc7/PP1 through its PP1 binding motif (RVKW) at the C terminus, and this helps to recruit PP1 to the kinetochore.

We next asked whether a decrease in PP1 at kinetochores is responsible for the severe mitotic delay observed in Cin8 deletion cells by characterizing the phenotypes of the PP1 binding mutant. Wild-type, *cin8Δ*, and *cin8-RAKA* strains were analyzed for the percentage of cells in prometaphase and metaphase by microscopy (Figure 4E). There was a significant increase in cells delayed in mitosis in both *cin8* mutant strains, consistent with a role for PP1 binding in mediating mitotic progression. To determine whether this delay is associated with a lack of tension at Ndc80, we examined whether the *cin8-RAKA* cells have lost tension at the microtubule binding domains of Ndc80 using the Ndc80 tension biosensor. The FRET emission ratio at metaphase was significantly higher (~3.3) in *cin8-RAKA* cells than in the control (~2.2), indicating that an almost complete loss of tension as occurs with *cin8Δ* cells and Ndc80 tailless mutants (Figures 3B and 4F) [4]. We note that *cin8-RAKA* cells did not alter the level of Cin8 at kinetochores (Figure S3C). These results show that recruitment of PP1 to kinetochores by Cin8 is critical to ensure proper tension at Ndc80 microtubule binding domains and to achieve normal rate of progression through mitosis (Figure 4G).

DISCUSSION

Cin8 was previously reported to associate with CEN DNA by a ChIP assay [33]. Here, we show that Cin8 purifies with kinetochores and localizes near the N terminus of Ndc80 in a cell-cycle-regulated manner. We also found that there is a direct interaction between Cin8 and the Dam1 complex. This interaction most likely facilitates the enrichment of Cin8 at kinetochores in addition to the interaction between Cin8 and kinetochore microtubules. Because Ndc80 recruits Dam1 to kinetochores, this also explains the lack of Cin8 localization to kinetochores

in the *ndc80* and *dam1* mutant cells. However, further studies are required to reveal the detailed interaction between the Cin8 and the Dam1 complex, as well as the contribution of motor activities in kinetochore localization.

A major finding of our study is that Cin8 controls both tension at Ndc80 microtubule binding domains and the rate of progression through mitosis by recruiting PP1 to the kinetochore (Figure 4G). We favor the possibility that PP1 bound to Cin8 contributes to the dephosphorylation of Ndc80, increasing microtubule binding affinity. This is because the phenotype of *cin8-RAKA* cells is similar to that of Ndc80 tailless, although both of these mutants still exhibit the same level of Cin8 at the kinetochore as controls. However, we were not able to generate an Ndc80 tension sensor strain that also contains mutations in the seven Aurora B phosphorylation sites to test whether it would restore tension in *cin8-RAKA* cells as predicted if Ndc80 were the key target. In addition, other kinetochore proteins such as Dam1 are regulated by PP1, so it will be important to precisely determine the direct targets of the Cin8-PP1 complex in the future.

Our results highlight Cin8 as a major recruiter of PP1 to kinetochores, but other proteins also contribute. The FRET emission ratio of the Ndc80 tension biosensor in *cin8Δ* cells or *cin8-RAKA* mutants was slightly lower than that of Ndc80 tailless. The Spc105/Knl1 protein is known to recruit PP1 to silence the spindle checkpoint [36, 47, 48], and it could also contribute to Ndc80 dephosphorylation. Given that there are multiple PP1 regulatory subunits at kinetochores, it was surprising that kinetochores purified from *cin8-KAAGA* mutant cells lacked detectable PP1 (Figure 4D). However, we note that these cells are delayed in mitosis by a lack of tension, so the Aurora B protein kinase will be active and phosphorylate Spc105 and possibly other regulatory subunits to inhibit PP1 association. Therefore, the lack of PP1 on kinetochores purified from *cin8-KAAGA* mutant cells may reflect a combination of multiple activities that contribute to PP1 localization. Nevertheless, Cin8 appears to be a significant PP1 recruiter based on the severity of loss in tension at the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains.

Kinesin-5 is highly conserved from yeast to humans, but human Kinesin-5, Eg5, does not localize to kinetochores, in contrast to budding yeast Cin8. However, a recent study reports that the human kinesin-like protein Kif18a recruits PP1 to kinetochores [49]. Additional studies show that PP1 recruited by Kif18a is critical for dephosphorylation of the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains to stabilize microtubule attachment in HeLa cells [50]. This indicates that human Kif18a acts like Cin8 in budding yeast. Our study has discovered a critical positive-feedback regulatory pathway that promotes tension at the Ndc80 microtubule binding domains and normal mitotic progression, and this control mechanism might also be highly conserved process for accurate chromosome segregation in eukaryotic cells.

STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Yeast strains and cell preparation

METHOD DETAILS

- Auxin Inducible Degradation
- Protein purification
- Imaging (FRET)
- Imaging (other)
- Fluorescence intensity measurement
- FRET emission ratio (FRET emission/mECFP emission)
- Kinetochore purifications

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- Statistical Analysis

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes three figures and can be found with this article online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.038>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Sara Francis (UNC, US), Katelynn Granger (UNC, US), and Dr. Elaine Yeh (UNC, US) for technical assistance and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH grants R01GM024364 (E.D.S.), R37GM032238 (K.B.), and R01GM064386 (S.B.). A.G. was supported by an ACS postdoctoral fellowship (PF-14-152-02-CCG) and an interdisciplinary training grant (NIH T32CA080416). This work was also supported by the genomics Shared Resource of the Fred Hutchinson/University of Washington Cancer Consortium (P30CA015704). S.B. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.S., A.G., S.K.L., R.E., and B.L.B. performed experiments and analyzed the data. A.S., A.G., E.D.S., S.B., and K.B. designed all experiments and wrote the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: April 24, 2018

Revised: August 2, 2018

Accepted: August 16, 2018

Published: August 30, 2018

REFERENCES

1. Musacchio, A., and Desai, A. (2017). A molecular view of kinetochore assembly and function. *Biology (Basel)* 6, E5.
2. Biggins, S. (2013). The composition, functions, and regulation of the budding yeast kinetochore. *Genetics* 194, 817–846.
3. Salmon, E.D., and Bloom, K. (2017). Tension sensors reveal how the kinetochore shares its load. *BioEssays* 39. Published online June 7, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201600216>.
4. Suzuki, A., Badger, B.L., Haase, J., Ohashi, T., Erickson, H.P., Salmon, E.D., and Bloom, K. (2016). How the kinetochore couples microtubule force and centromere stretch to move chromosomes. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 18, 382–392.
5. Akiyoshi, B., Sarangapani, K.K., Powers, A.F., Nelson, C.R., Reichow, S.L., Arellano-Santoyo, H., Gonen, T., Ranish, J.A., Asbury, C.L., and Biggins, S. (2010). Tension directly stabilizes reconstituted kinetochore-microtubule attachments. *Nature* 468, 576–579.
6. Powers, A.F., Franck, A.D., Gestaut, D.R., Cooper, J., Graczyk, B., Wei, R.R., Wordeman, L., Davis, T.N., and Asbury, C.L. (2009). The Ndc80 kinetochore complex forms load-bearing attachments to dynamic microtubule tips via biased diffusion. *Cell* 136, 865–875.
7. Cheeseman, I.M., Chappie, J.S., Wilson-Kubalek, E.M., and Desai, A. (2006). The conserved KMN network constitutes the core microtubule-binding site of the kinetochore. *Cell* 127, 983–997.
8. DeLuca, J.G., Gall, W.E., Ciferri, C., Cimini, D., Musacchio, A., and Salmon, E.D. (2006). Kinetochore microtubule dynamics and attachment stability are regulated by Hec1. *Cell* 127, 969–982.
9. Ciferri, C., Pasqualato, S., Screpanti, E., Varet, G., Santaguida, S., Dos Reis, G., Maiolica, A., Polka, J., De Luca, J.G., De Wulf, P., et al. (2008). Implications for kinetochore-microtubule attachment from the structure of an engineered Ndc80 complex. *Cell* 133, 427–439.
10. DeLuca, K.F., Lens, S.M., and DeLuca, J.G. (2011). Temporal changes in Hec1 phosphorylation control kinetochore-microtubule attachment stability during mitosis. *J. Cell Sci.* 124, 622–634.
11. Akiyoshi, B., Nelson, C.R., Ranish, J.A., and Biggins, S. (2009). Analysis of Ipl1-mediated phosphorylation of the Ndc80 kinetochore protein in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 183, 1591–1595.
12. Nogales, E., and Ramey, V.H. (2009). Structure-function insights into the yeast Dam1 kinetochore complex. *J. Cell Sci.* 122, 3831–3836.
13. Hofmann, C., Cheeseman, I.M., Goode, B.L., McDonald, K.L., Barnes, G., and Drubin, D.G. (1998). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Duo1p and Dam1p, novel proteins involved in mitotic spindle function. *J. Cell Biol.* 143, 1029–1040.
14. Li, Y., Bachant, J., Alcasabas, A.A., Wang, Y., Qin, J., and Elledge, S.J. (2002). The mitotic spindle is required for loading of the DASH complex onto the kinetochore. *Genes Dev.* 16, 183–197.
15. Janke, C., Ortiz, J., Tanaka, T.U., Lechner, J., and Schiebel, E. (2002). Four new subunits of the Dam1-Duo1 complex reveal novel functions in sister kinetochore biorientation. *EMBO J.* 21, 181–193.
16. Enquist-Newman, M., Cheeseman, I.M., Van Goor, D., Drubin, D.G., Meluh, P.B., and Barnes, G. (2001). Dad1p, third component of the Duo1p/Dam1p complex involved in kinetochore function and mitotic spindle integrity. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 12, 2601–2613.
17. Tanaka, T.U., and Desai, A. (2008). Kinetochore-microtubule interactions: the means to the end. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 20, 53–63.
18. Miranda, J.J., De Wulf, P., Sorger, P.K., and Harrison, S.C. (2005). The yeast DASH complex forms closed rings on microtubules. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12, 138–143.
19. Kim, J.O., Zelter, A., Umbreit, N.T., Bollozos, A., Riffle, M., Johnson, R., MacCoss, M.J., Asbury, C.L., and Davis, T.N. (2017). The Ndc80 complex bridges two Dam1 complex rings. *eLife* 6, e21069.
20. Slep, K.C., and Vale, R.D. (2007). Structural basis of microtubule plus end tracking by XMAP215, CLIP-170, and EB1. *Mol. Cell* 27, 976–991.
21. Miller, M.P., Asbury, C.L., and Biggins, S. (2016). A TOG protein confers tension sensitivity to kinetochore-microtubule attachments. *Cell* 165, 1428–1439.
22. Tang, N.H., Takada, H., Hsu, K.S., and Toda, T. (2013). The internal loop of fission yeast Ndc80 binds Alp7/TACC-Alp14/TOG and ensures proper chromosome attachment. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 24, 1122–1133.
23. Sarangapani, K.K., Akiyoshi, B., Duggan, N.M., Biggins, S., and Asbury, C.L. (2013). Phosphoregulation promotes release of kinetochores from dynamic microtubules via multiple mechanisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 7282–7287.
24. Tien, J.F., Umbreit, N.T., Gestaut, D.R., Franck, A.D., Cooper, J., Wordeman, L., Gonen, T., Asbury, C.L., and Davis, T.N. (2010). Cooperation of the Dam1 and Ndc80 kinetochore complexes enhances microtubule coupling and is regulated by aurora B. *J. Cell Biol.* 189, 713–723.
25. Kashina, A.S., Baskin, R.J., Cole, D.G., Wedaman, K.P., Saxton, W.M., and Scholey, J.M. (1996). A bipolar kinesin. *Nature* 379, 270–272.
26. Hildebrandt, E.R., and Hoyt, M.A. (2000). Mitotic motors in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1496, 99–116.
27. Hoyt, M.A., He, L., Loo, K.K., and Saunders, W.S. (1992). Two *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* kinesin-related gene products required for mitotic spindle assembly. *J. Cell Biol.* 118, 109–120.

28. Roostalu, J., Hentrich, C., Bieling, P., Telley, I.A., Schiebel, E., and Surrey, T. (2011). Directional switching of the kinesin Cin8 through motor coupling. *Science* 332, 94–99.
29. Shapira, O., and Gheber, L. (2016). Motile properties of the bi-directional kinesin-5 Cin8 are affected by phosphorylation in its motor domain. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 25597.
30. Straight, A.F., Sedat, J.W., and Murray, A.W. (1998). Time-lapse microscopy reveals unique roles for kinesins during anaphase in budding yeast. *J. Cell Biol.* 143, 687–694.
31. Tytell, J.D., and Sorger, P.K. (2006). Analysis of kinesin motor function at budding yeast kinetochores. *J. Cell Biol.* 172, 861–874.
32. Geiser, J.R., Schott, E.J., Kingsbury, T.J., Cole, N.B., Totis, L.J., Bhattacharyya, G., He, L., and Hoyt, M.A. (1997). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genes required in the absence of the CIN8-encoded spindle motor act in functionally diverse mitotic pathways. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 8, 1035–1050.
33. He, X., Rines, D.R., Espelin, C.W., and Sorger, P.K. (2001). Molecular analysis of kinetochore-microtubule attachment in budding yeast. *Cell* 106, 195–206.
34. Demirel, P.B., Keyes, B.E., Chatterjee, M., Remington, C.E., and Burke, D.J. (2012). A redundant function for the N-terminal tail of Ndc80 in kinetochore-microtubule interaction in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 192, 753–756.
35. Petrovic, A., Pasqualato, S., Dube, P., Krenn, V., Santaguida, S., Cittaro, D., Monzani, S., Massimiliano, L., Keller, J., Tarricone, A., et al. (2010). The MIS12 complex is a protein interaction hub for outer kinetochore assembly. *J. Cell Biol.* 190, 835–852.
36. Akiyoshi, B., Nelson, C.R., Ranish, J.A., and Biggins, S. (2009). Quantitative proteomic analysis of purified yeast kinetochores identifies a PP1 regulatory subunit. *Genes Dev.* 23, 2887–2899.
37. Gonen, S., Akiyoshi, B., Iadanza, M.G., Shi, D., Duggan, N., Biggins, S., and Gonen, T. (2012). The structure of purified kinetochores reveals multiple microtubule-attachment sites. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 19, 925–929.
38. Pearson, C.G., Maddox, P.S., Zarzar, T.R., Salmon, E.D., and Bloom, K. (2003). Yeast kinetochores do not stabilize Stu2p-dependent spindle microtubule dynamics. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 14, 4181–4195.
39. Joglekar, A.P., Bouck, D.C., Molk, J.N., Bloom, K.S., and Salmon, E.D. (2006). Molecular architecture of a kinetochore-microtubule attachment site. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 581–585.
40. Aravamudan, P., Felzer-Kim, I., Gurunathan, K., and Joglekar, A.P. (2014). Assembling the protein architecture of the budding yeast kinetochore-microtubule attachment using FRET. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 1437–1446.
41. Haase, J., Mishra, P.K., Stephens, A., Haggerty, R., Quammen, C., Taylor, R.M., 2nd, Yeh, E., Basrai, M.A., and Bloom, K. (2013). A 3D map of the yeast kinetochore reveals the presence of core and accessory centromere-specific histone. *Curr. Biol.* 23, 1939–1944.
42. Umbreit, N.T., Miller, M.P., Tien, J.F., Ortola, J.C., Gui, L., Lee, K.K., Biggins, S., Asbury, C.L., and Davis, T.N. (2014). Kinetochores require oligomerization of Dam1 complex to maintain microtubule attachments against tension and promote biorientation. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4951.
43. Lampert, F., Mieck, C., Alushin, G.M., Nogales, E., and Westermann, S. (2013). Molecular requirements for the formation of a kinetochore-microtubule interface by Dam1 and Ndc80 complexes. *J. Cell Biol.* 200, 21–30.
44. Maure, J.F., Komoto, S., Oku, Y., Mino, A., Pasqualato, S., Natsume, K., Clayton, L., Musacchio, A., and Tanaka, T.U. (2011). The Ndc80 loop region facilitates formation of kinetochore attachment to the dynamic microtubule plus end. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 207–213.
45. Asbury, C.L. (2017). Anaphase A: disassembling microtubules move chromosomes toward spindle poles. *Biology (Basel)* 6, E15.
46. Gheber, L., Kuo, S.C., and Hoyt, M.A. (1999). Motile properties of the kinesin-related Cin8p spindle motor extracted from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 9564–9572.
47. Rosenberg, J.S., Cross, F.R., and Funabiki, H. (2011). KNL1/Spc105 recruits PP1 to silence the spindle assembly checkpoint. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 942–947.
48. Liu, D., Vleugel, M., Backer, C.B., Hori, T., Fukagawa, T., Cheeseman, I.M., and Lampson, M.A. (2010). Regulated targeting of protein phosphatase 1 to the outer kinetochore by KNL1 opposes Aurora B kinase. *J. Cell Biol.* 188, 809–820.
49. Häfner, J., Mayr, M.I., Möckel, M.M., and Mayer, T.U. (2014). Pre-anaphase chromosome oscillations are regulated by the antagonistic activities of Cdk1 and PP1 on Kif18A. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4397.
50. Kim, H., and Stumpff, J. (2018). Kif18A promotes Hec1 dephosphorylation to coordinate chromosome alignment with kinetochore microtubule attachment. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/304147>.
51. Nishimura, K., Fukagawa, T., Takisawa, H., Kakimoto, T., and Kanemaki, M. (2009). An auxin-based degron system for the rapid depletion of proteins in nonplant cells. *Nat. Methods* 6, 917–922.
52. Suzuki, A., Badger, B.L., and Salmon, E.D. (2015). A quantitative description of Ndc80 complex linkage to human kinetochores. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8161.
53. Gupta, A., Evans, R.K., Koch, L.B., Littleton, A.J., and Biggins, S. (2018). Purification of kinetochores from the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Methods Cell Biol.* 144, 349–370.

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Flag M2	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# F1804; RRID: AB_262044
V5	Invitrogen	Cat# 2F11F7; RRID: AB_2533339
GFP (JL-8)	Living Colors	Cat# 632380; RRID: AB_10013427
Biological Samples		
AUS004; 473A (Mata <i>trp</i> Δ63 <i>leu2</i> Δ63 <i>ura3</i> -52 <i>his3</i> Δ200 <i>lys2</i> -8 Δ1 <i>SPC29-RFP: Hb</i> NDC80-FRET 12aa (410 aa): <i>URA3</i>	[4]	N/A
AUS032; AUS004, <i>cin8</i> Δ: <i>KanMX</i>	This study	N/A
AS1DCB410.1; 473A <i>CIN8</i> -x3GFP: <i>HIS3</i> <i>SPC29-RFP:Hb</i>	This study	N/A
KBY8023; YELO61C, <i>CIN8</i> -GFP: <i>HisMX6</i> NDC80- <i>mCherry:Kan</i>	This study	N/A
MAY8526; 473a, <i>NUF2GFP:HIS</i> , <i>SPC29-RFP:Hb</i>	[41]	N/A
AUS061; MAY8526, <i>cin8</i> Δ: <i>Kan</i>	This study	N/A
TUB1-GFP; 473A, <i>TUB1-GFP</i>	[38]	N/A
AUS063; TUB1-GFP, <i>cin8</i> Δ: <i>His</i>	This study	N/A
KBY7999; 473A, <i>SPC29-RFP: Hb</i>	[38]	N/A
AUS099; KBY7999. <i>CIN8</i> -GFP: <i>HIS</i>	This study	N/A
KBY7367; 473A, NDC80-GFP: <i>TRP1</i>	[41]	N/A
AUS106; KBY7367, <i>cin8</i> Δ: <i>HIS3</i>	This study	N/A
KBY7316; 473A, <i>ASK1-GFP:HIS3</i>	[41]	N/A
AUS108; KBY7316, <i>cin8</i> Δ: <i>kan</i>	This study	N/A
AUS149; AUS004, <i>cin8</i> - <i>RAKA:HIS3</i>	This study	N/A
SBY8253; SBY3 (Mat <i>a ade2</i> -1 <i>can1</i> -100 <i>bar1</i> -1 <i>trp1</i> -1 <i>his3</i> -11 <i>leu2</i> -3, 112 <i>ura3</i> -1), <i>DSN1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i>	This study	N/A
SBY12078; SBY3, <i>DSN1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>his3</i> -11:: <i>ASK1-myc:HIS3</i>	This study	N/A
SBY12464; SBY3, <i>DAD1</i> -3FLAG: <i>TRP1</i>	This study	N/A
SBY13733; SBY3, <i>SPC24</i> -3FLAG: <i>TRP1</i>	This study	N/A
SBY14244; SBY3, <i>GLC7</i> -3GFP: <i>HIS3</i>	This study	N/A
SBY15231; SBY3, <i>CIN8</i> -SNAP-3V5: <i>KANMX6</i>	This study	N/A
SBY15249; SBY3, <i>CIN8</i> -3V5: <i>KanMX6</i>	This study	N/A
SBY15770; SBY3, <i>CIN8</i> -3V5: <i>HygMX6</i>	This study	N/A
SBY15778; SBY3, <i>DSN1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>CIN8</i> -SNAP-3V5: <i>KANMX6</i> <i>MTW1</i> -CLIP: <i>KANMX6</i>	This study	N/A
SBY16507; SBY3, <i>DSN1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>CIN8</i> -3V5: <i>HygMX6</i>	This study	N/A
SBY16513; SBY3, <i>DSN1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>CIN8</i> -3V5: <i>HygMX6</i> <i>CDC20</i> -AID: <i>KanMX6</i> , <i>leu2</i> -3, 112::pGPD1-OsTIR1: <i>LEU2</i>	This study	N/A
SBY16515; SBY3, <i>DSN1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>CIN8</i> -3V5: <i>HygMX6</i> <i>CDC20</i> -AID: <i>KanMX6</i> , <i>leu2</i> -3, 112::pGPD1-OsTIR1: <i>LEU2</i> <i>ndc80</i> -1	This study	N/A
SBY16519; SBY3, <i>Dsn1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>CIN8</i> -3V5: <i>HygMX6</i> <i>CDC20</i> -AID: <i>KanMX6</i> , <i>leu2</i> -3, 112::pGPD1-OsTIR1: <i>LEU2</i> <i>dad1</i> -1: <i>KANMX6</i>	This study	N/A
SBY17127; SBY3, <i>Dsn1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>his3</i> -11:: <i>ASK1-myc:HIS3</i> <i>cin8</i> Δ::KANMX6	This study	N/A
SBY17463; SBY3, <i>CIN8</i> -3V5: <i>KanMX6</i> <i>GLC7</i> -3GFP: <i>HIS3</i>	This study	N/A
SBY17756; SBY3, <i>DSN1</i> -6His-3Flag: <i>URA3</i> <i>GLX7</i> -3GFP: <i>HIS3</i> <i>cin8</i> Δ::KANMX6, <i>ura3</i> -1:pCIN8-CIN8-KAAGA-3V5: <i>URA3</i>	This study	N/A

(Continued on next page)

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
SBY17805; SBY3, <i>DSN1-6His-3Flag:URA3 GLC7-3GFP:HIS3 cin8Δ::KANMx6 ura3-1:pCIN8-CIN8-3V5:URA3</i>	This study	N/A
SBY17806; <i>Dsn1-6His-3Flag:URA3 GLC7-3GFP:HIS3 cin8Δ::KANMx6, ura3-1:pCIN8-cin8-F467A-3V5:URA3</i>	This study	N/A
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
nocodazole	Sigma	M1404
benomyl	Sigma	381586
IAA	Sigma	I3750
Software and Algorithms		
MetaMorph	Molecular Devices	https://www.moleculardevices.com/products/cellular-imaging-systems/acquisition-and-analysis-software/metamorph-microscopy
MATLAB	MathWorks	https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Aussie Suzuki (aussie.suzuki@wisc.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Yeast strains and cell preparation

All yeast strains are described in the [Key Resources Table](#) and were generated by standard techniques. All yeast cells used for imaging were grown to logarithmic phase at 24°C in rich YPD media. For benomyl or nocodazole treatment prior to imaging, we added 55 μM of benomyl for low concentration and 10 μM of nocodazole to logarithmic phase cells and incubated for 1 hr at 24°C. For biochemistry experiments, 10 μg/mL of nocodazole was added for 15 min or 1 hr prior to harvesting. For cell synchronization, alpha factor was added to 1 μg/mL of culture for 3 hr. At >90% arrest, an aliquot of cells was harvested and the remaining cells were washed 5x in equal volumes of YEP before resuspending in YEP. Cells were monitored for budding as an indication of the start of S-phase (~40 min). Equivalent volumes were harvested every 30 min and fixed or processed for protein purification.

METHOD DETAILS

Auxin Inducible Degradation

The auxin inducible degron (AID) system was used essentially as described in [51]. Briefly, cells expressed C-terminal fusions of the protein of interest to an auxin responsive protein (IAA7) at the endogenous locus. Cells also expressed Tir1, which is required for auxin-induced degradation. 500 μM IAA (indole-3-acetic acid dissolved in DMSO; Sigma) was added to media for 2 hr to induce degradation of the AID-tagged protein. In strains with *cdc20-AID*, strains were then shifted to 37°C for 2 hr to inactivate the indicated temperature sensitive protein and an additional 500 μM IAA was added prior to harvesting.

Protein purification

Ndc80 was purified as described in [21]. Dam1 complex was purified similarly with the following modifications. Dad1 was C-terminally tagged at the endogenous locus with 3-Flag and the first wash was performed with BH/0.4M KCl. Buffer H (BH) contains 25 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.1% NP-40, 15% glycerol with KCl at the indicated concentration. Cin8 was purified similarly with the following changes: Cin8 was either -3V5 tagged or -SNAP-3V5 tagged at the C terminus. Cells were harvested and washed in BH/0.15 supplemented with 500 μM Mg-ATP. Following the IP, Cin8 was washed in either BH/0.15 for coIP or BH/0.5 for pure protein supplemented with 100 μM Mg-ATP. The final wash and storage buffer for Cin8 was BH/0.15 supplemented with 50 μM Mg-ATP. For binding experiments, Ndc80c or Dam1c were purified and immobilized on Flag beads. Freshly purified Cin8 was added in 1/3 serial dilutions to immobilized protein. Following 3 washes in BH/0.15 supplemented with 50 μM Mg-ATP, proteins were eluted with Flag peptide and run on a 4%–12% gradient gel. Quantification of bound and unbound Cin8 was performed using a Typhoon and quantification of Ndc80 and Dam1c was estimated by analysis of silver stained PAGE gels with BSA protein standards.

Imaging (FRET)

Imaging was performed on a Nikon TE2000-E microscope equipped with a 100x/1.4NA (Planapo) DIC oil immersion objective. Images were recorded with MetaMorph 7.1 software (Molecular Devices) on an ANDOR iXON (DV897) EMCCD camera with a total magnification giving a pixel size of 106.7 nm with no electron multiplication. FRET and mECFP fluorescence images were obtained from a Dual-View 2 imaging device (Photometrics) with an 89002 ET-ECFP/EYFP filter (Chroma Technology). This allowed simultaneous imaging of both the FRET channel (CFP excitation, YFP emission) and the mECFP channel (CFP excitation, YFP emission). See details in [4].

Imaging (other)

Images were obtained sequentially at 200 nm steps along the z axis using Nikon Element software (Nikon), a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope with image magnification yielding a 64 nm pixel size from a 100x/1.49NA (Apo TIRF) DIC oil immersion objective (Nikon) and an ANDOR Clara CCD camera (ANDOR). See details in [4].

Fluorescence intensity measurement

Fluorescent intensity measurement method is essentially as described in [4, 52]. The z-axis position of best focus (maximum integrated intensity) was used for the analysis of sister kinetochore clusters. A 7×7 pixel region (for FRET images and a 11×11 for other images) was centered on a cluster of sister kinetochores to obtain integrated fluorescence, whereas a 9×9 pixel (for FRET images and a 13×13 for other images) region centered on the 7×7 pixel region was used to obtain surrounding background intensity. F_i = integrated intensity for 7×7 region (integrated counts for the 9×9 region integrated counts for 7×7 region) $\times$ pixel area of the 7×7 region/(pixel area of the 9×9 region pixel area of a 7×7 region). Measurements were made with MetaMorph 7.1 analysis software (Molecular Devices) using the region measurements tool.

FRET emission ratio (FRET emission/mECFP emission)

Changes in tension at the Ndc80 FRET biosensor were monitored in live cells by measurement of the emission ratio (defined as: FRET channel emission (CFP excitation, YFP emission)/mECFP channel emission (CFP excitation, CFP emission)). The FRET emission and CFP emission were measured by the method described above. This is a standard method when the biosensor contains one donor and one acceptor fluorescent protein. Detailed explanations are in [4].

Kinetochore purifications

Kinetochores were purified using bead beating lysis as specified in [53]. Immunoblotting was performed with Commercial antibodies as follows: α -Flag, M2 (Sigma-Aldrich) 1:3,000; α -V5 (Invitrogen) 1:5,000 or α -GFP, JL-8 (Living Colors) 1:5,000.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical Analysis

All data were expressed, as means $\pm$ SD. Statistical significance was determined using Student's t test for comparison between two independent groups. * $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.