



Modification of glucose oxidase for the development of biocatalytic solvent inks



Joey N. Talbert, Fei He, Kayla Seto, Sam R. Nugen, Julie M. Goddard*

Department of Food Science, University of Massachusetts, 102 Holdsworth Way, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 September 2013

Received in revised form 4 November 2013

Accepted 7 November 2013

Keywords:

Ion pairing
Glucose oxidase
Inkjet printing
Immobilization
Deposition
Nanocomposites

ABSTRACT

Inkjet printing of enzymes onto hydrophobic polymeric material offers the potential for economical rapid deposition and patterning of biocatalysts for biosensor, microarray, and intelligent packaging applications. Non-polar solvent based inks provide simple vehicles for direct printing on these materials; however, enzymes are not readily soluble in such inks. Glucose oxidase (*Aspergillus niger*) was made soluble in toluene by hydrophobic ion pairing with didodecyltrimethylammonium bromide. Following modification, single enzyme composites with a mean diameter of 12.5 nm were formed. The enzymes showed no significant change in K_m and a 46% decrease in k_{cat} compared to the native enzyme. Modification allowed for direct printing and patterning on PET using piezoelectric inkjet printing. Specific activity of the modified enzyme was reduced from $889 \times 10^3 \mu\text{mol/min/g}$ to $2 \times 10^3 \mu\text{mol/min/g}$ after printing. These results suggest that direct inkjet printing of enzymes onto hydrophobic polymers may be accomplished using enzyme modification as a means to induce solubility in solvent inks.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Inkjet printing of enzymes onto material substrates has been proposed as a means to deposit enzymes onto a surface in a rapid and controlled manner. Printing allows for precise placement of small volumes of bioactive molecules onto materials such as paper in a simple and low-cost approach [1,2]. Deposition of enzymes by printing offers the potential to be used in a number of nanobiomanufacturing applications including diagnostics, active and intelligent packaging, and microarrays [2–4]. A number of enzymes in aqueous systems have been printed using an inkjet system including glucose oxidase, acetylcholinesterase, laccase, alkaline phosphatase, and horseradish peroxidase [1,3–7]. Both piezoelectric and thermal inkjet printing methods have been employed for depositing liquid enzyme solutions [4,5,8,9]. Of these, piezoelectric is more suitable for protein printing due to the reduced exposure to heat which may denature the enzyme; however, piezoelectric printing requires higher ‘ink’ viscosities compared to thermal printing [9,10].

Printing of enzymes is accomplished by delivering a solution of enzyme through a print nozzle onto a desired material [10]. The ink used for delivery must meet the viscosity and surface tension necessary for deposition, as well as allow for adhesion of the solution

to the material substrate. For a solution to adhere to a material substrate, the solution needs to be able to wet the material. To enable optimal wetting, the solution should have a surface tension lower than the surface energy of the material [11,12]. For enzyme applications aqueous-based inks would, ideally, be employed to allow for appropriate enzyme solubility and activity retention of the enzyme in solution. However, many polymeric materials such as polyethylene terephthalate and polyethylene, which are desirable for use in microfluidic diagnostic platforms and intelligent packaging applications, have surface energy values that are lower than the surface tension of water and do not allow for direct printing [11]. Therefore, alternative strategies to direct printing of simple aqueous solutions onto these polymeric materials must be developed. These strategies should act alone or in combination to enable deposition of the ink from the nozzle, wetting of the solution on the material substrate, enzyme solubility, activity retention of the enzyme before and after printing, and, when desirable, reusability of the enzyme.

Strategies to enhance ink compatibility with material substrate include the utilization of surfactants, modification of material surface chemistry, and the use of non-polar solvents [5,9,11,12]. Surfactants can be used to reduce the surface tension of aqueous-based solutions, but these additives, as well as the solution pH, may interfere with enzyme activity. Foaming can also occur when using surfactants in solution. Surface modification of material surfaces through oxidation or coating with hydrophilic materials can increase the surface energy of the material. However, these modifications require additional processing steps to create a compatible

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 413 545 2275; fax: +1 413 545 1262.

E-mail addresses: goddard@foodsci.umass.edu,
joey.talbert@gmail.com (J.M. Goddard).

platform for the ink, which can add cost and alter fluidics [8]. Additionally, the surface modification may alter retained enzymatic activity following deposition [13].

Non-polar solvent-based inks allow for direct printing on uncoated polymeric materials, can be removed by rapid evaporation, can be fine-tuned to achieve printing properties and can solubilize hydrophobic polymeric binders to yield water resistant ink. However, enzyme incorporation into non-polar solvent inks is limited by enzyme solubility. While enzymes have been shown to retain activity in non-polar solvents such as toluene and hexane, they are not soluble [14]. To enhance solubility in non-aqueous conditions, enzyme has been entrapped within reverse micelles, altered by genetic approaches, as well as modified by chemical methods including immobilization, crosslinking, PEGylation, and hydrophobic ion pairing [15–17]. Of these, hydrophobic ion pairing is of particular interest due to the ability to modify the enzyme through simple and rapid modification with limited materials. Hydrophobic ion pairing relies on charged surfactants to ionically associate with charged amino acid groups or to the charged enzyme [18]. Sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) has been applied to modify chymotrypsin to induce solubility in isooctane [19,20]. Likewise, peroxidase, lipase, and glucose oxidase have been modified using the hydrophobic ion pairing technique [21–25]. These methods enable the production of single enzyme modifications, with no diffusion limitations that prevent substrate accessibility to the enzyme.

Glucose oxidase (EC 1.1.3.4) is an oxidoreductase enzyme that converts glucose, in the presence of oxygen, into gluconolactone with hydrogen peroxide being produced as a byproduct. The enzyme is used in applications including in-line glucose diagnostics, disposal biosensors (e.g. glucose test strips for diabetes monitoring), and oxygen scavenging packaging materials [26,27]. Glucose oxidase has been inkjet printed onto functionalized silicon oxide, indium-tin-oxide (ITO)-coated polyethylene terephthalate, ITO-coated glass, and PEDOT/PSS. To prevent loss of printed enzyme through dissolution, sensors containing the printed enzyme have been dip-coated in cellulose acetate to form a semipermeable membrane. These methods for printing of glucose oxidase do not allow for direct printing and immobilization of the enzyme—limiting the use of the enzyme for manufacturing of next-generation biocatalytic materials. Given the applicability of non-polar solvent inks for printing on polymeric materials, and the ability to induce solubility of enzymes through chemical modification, the objective of this work was to utilize hydrophobic ion pairing as a means to induce solubility of glucose oxidase in non-polar solvents to enable direct inkjet printing of biocatalytic solvent inks onto polymeric materials.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Glucose oxidase (*Aspergillus niger*; Type X-S), didodecyltrimethylammonium bromide, *o*-dianisidine dihydrochloride, Bradford reagent, and horseradish peroxidase (Type I) were obtained from Sigma. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET; 200 μ m thickness) was obtained from Macmasters (Denver, CO, USA). All other reagents were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA) and used as received.

2.2. Enzyme modification

Glucose oxidase (EC 1.1.3.4) modification was adapted from the method described by Jia [25]. Glucose oxidase (GOx) was diluted in 20 mM acetate buffer to a concentration of 650 μ g of protein/mL and brought to 25 °C. Buffer pH values of 3.5, 4.5, 5.5 and 6.5 were evaluated with pH 5.5 being used for routine analysis. Protein concentration was determined using the standard BCA assay at 37 °C as described elsewhere under circular rotation at 20 rpm [28]. Didodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DDAB) was prepared in toluene and brought to 25 °C. DDAB concentrations of 1 mM, 2 mM, 3 mM, and 4 mM in toluene were tested with a DDAB concentration of 2 mM being used for routine analysis. Equal parts of the enzyme solution and the DDAB solution were added together. For routine modification, 1 mL

of the enzyme solution was added dropwise to 1 mL of the DDAB solution under constant stirring. The solution was stirred at 300 rpm and 25 °C for 2 min. The emulsified solution was centrifuged at 12K $\times$ g for 3 min at room temperature (ca. 20 °C). The toluene layer containing the enzyme as well as the interfacial layer was removed, filtered through a 0.22 μ m PTFE syringe filter, and centrifuged for an additional 3 min at 12K $\times$ g. The organic layer was removed from the remaining aqueous layer and stored at 4 °C until further use. Protein concentration of the organic layer was measured using the BCA assay described previously. Following completion of the BCA reaction, samples were spun at 12K $\times$ g for 3 min to separate toluene and enable clarification of the reaction product.

2.3. Hydrodynamic particle size

The hydrodynamic diameter of native and modified enzyme was measured using dynamic light scattering (Zetasizer Nano ZS; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). Native and modified enzymes were diluted to a concentration of 1 mg of protein/mL and read in a quartz cuvette. Native enzyme was diluted in 20 mM acetate buffer (pH 5.5) and the modified enzyme was diluted in toluene. Refractive indexes of 1.496, 1.450 and 1.330 were used for toluene, protein, and water, respectively.

2.4. Enzyme specific activity and kinetics

The specific activity of native glucose oxidase (0.05 μ g of protein/mL) was measured at 35 °C and pH 5.1 (50 mM acetate buffer) using glucose as the substrate in the presence of 3 pyrogallol U/mL horseradish peroxidase, 0.15 mM *o*-dianisidine dihydrochloride, and 555 mM glucose [29]. For the modified enzyme, activity was determined using 0.1 μ g of protein/mL. For kinetics, glucose concentrations of 1–64 mM were evaluated. Color change was measured at 500 nm in 15 s intervals for 6 min under constant shaking. Substrate conversion was determined from the extinction coefficient of *o*-dianisidine (7.5 mM⁻¹) and the initial rate of substrate conversion. K_m and V_{max} were determined by applying Michaelis–Menten kinetics and extrapolated using Graphpad Prism software (v. 5.04, Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA). Turnover number (k'_{cat}) was calculated from a molecular weight of 160 kDa for glucose oxidase.

2.5. Piezoelectric inkjet printing

PET coupons were cleaned with isopropyl alcohol and deionized water sequentially in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min then dried with N₂ gas prior to use. A piezoelectric (drop-on-demand) inkjet printer (DMP-2831, Fuji Dimatix Corporation) with 10 pL cartridges was used for all inkjet printing processes. Biocatalytic ink was developed by diluting modified glucose oxidase in toluene to a final concentration of 100 μ g/mL, and printed to a targeted protein concentration of 20 μ g/cm² on PET. Ink droplets were produced using an ejection frequency of 23 kHz and a jetting voltage of 38 V at 28 °C. For enzyme activity measurements, drops were dispensed onto PET substrate held at 31 °C with a spacing of 5 μ m. For patterning experiments, drops were dispensed onto PET with a spacing of 15 μ m. A custom ejection waveform was generated for inkjet printing.

2.6. Printed enzyme activity

Strips of printed enzyme on PET (0.8 cm $\times$ 1.3 cm) were tested for protein concentration using the BCA assay at 37 °C. PET films containing printed enzyme were mounted in an Arrayit 96 well-glass bottom plate (Arrayit Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Activity was assessed using 0.15 mM *o*-dianisidine dihydrochloride, 555 mM glucose, and 3 U/mL horseradish peroxidase and measuring the initial rate of color formation across 6 min at 35 °C; pH 5.1 (50 mM acetate buffer) at 500 nm. Specific activity was compared to the native and modified enzyme at 0.05 μ g/mL as described previously. Enzyme reusability was determined by washing wells containing mounted PET films with printed enzyme 3 times with 200 μ L nanopure water and immediately measuring specific activity as described previously. To test for non-immobilized activity, enzyme was printed directly into a glass vial using the conditions described for printing onto PET. The enzyme was reconstituted to volume using toluene and the protein concentration verified using the BCA assay prior to measurement of enzyme activity.

2.7. Enzyme pattern development

Printed enzyme patterning on PET was realized by applying 0.5 mL of Bradford reagent dye directly onto the printed side of the material and developing the color for 15 min at room temperature (ca. 25 °C).

2.8. Surface wetting

Water and toluene contact angles on PET were measured on a Krüss DSA100 (Hamburg, Germany) goniometer equipped with a direct dosing system (DO3210, Krüss, Hamburg, Germany) at room temperature under atmospheric conditions. The tangent method 2 from the Drop Shape Analysis software version 1.91.0.2 was used for the measurement of advancing and receding contact angles using an automatic dispenser at a dispensing rate of 10 μ L/min.




Fig. 1. Hydrophobic ion pairing of glucose oxidase (GOx) with DDAB. (a) Modification reaction of glucose oxidase and (b) ionic interaction of glucose oxidase with DDAB.




Fig. 2. Solubility of glucose oxidase (GOx) before and after modification with DDAB. Yellow color of the enzyme is due to the presence of the FAD cofactor. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

2.9. Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done using Graphpad Prism software (v. 5.04, Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA) with Tukey's pairwise comparison for the identification of statistical differences between samples within a 95% confidence interval, $P < 0.05$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Enzyme modification

Glucose oxidase was modified by hydrophobic ion pairing (Fig. 1). This approach utilizes dilute concentrations of charged surfactant molecules that are soluble in non-polar solvents and bind to oppositely charged proteins. Unlike a reverse micelle, hydrophobic ion pairing does not result in an encapsulated protein [19]. Rather the surface of the protein is minimally modified to enable favorable associations with the non-polar solvent and exposure of the enzyme to the solvent surface [30]. Didodecyldimethylammonium bromide carries a positive charge due to its quaternary ammonium structure and is soluble in toluene. At pH values above the isoelectric point of glucose oxidase (pI 4.2), the enzyme contains a net negative charge. The net negative charge promotes binding to the oppositely charged surfactant molecules, which allows for enhanced solubility of the enzyme in the toluene phase (Fig. 2). Following conjugation with DDAB, up to 76.9% of the original glucose oxidase concentration was recovered in the toluene phase (Fig. 3). DDAB concentration affects solubility of the enzyme in toluene (Fig. 3). Optimal DDAB concentration was between 2 mM and 3 mM. At 1 mM and 4 mM high concentrations of interfacial

Table 1

Kinetic parameters of glucose conversion for native glucose oxidase (native GOx) and DDAB modified glucose oxidase (modified GOx) at 35 °C and pH 5.1 (50 mM acetate buffer). Values represent 95% confidence interval of $n = 3$.

	K'_m (mM)	k'_{cat} (s^{-1})	k'_{cat}/K'_m ($mM^{-1} s^{-1}$)
Native GOx	14–28	511–680	24–37
Modified GOx	8–34	240–402	12–29

enzyme between the toluene and aqueous layers were observed following mixing. Toluene enables not only solubilization of DDAB but also has a log P value (2.5) that suggests that enzyme activity may be retained [14]. Modification resulted in an increase in the mean hydrodynamic diameter of the enzyme from 7.73 ± 0.38 nm to 12.5 ± 4.87 nm. The results suggest that DDAB, when conjugated to the enzyme as described, enables significant solubilization of the enzyme in the non-polar solvent, and that glucose oxidase remain as single enzyme composites after DDAB attachment.

3.2. Enzyme kinetics

Kinetics of glucose conversion was assessed to determine the effect of modification on the catalytic activity of glucose oxidase. Modification showed no change in the mean K'_m (21 mM) while k'_{cat} was significantly reduced from $596 s^{-1}$ to $321 s^{-1}$ (Table 1). As k'_{cat} is determined from the maximum velocity of the system and the initial concentration of enzyme, the reduction in the rate parameter suggests that a portion of the added enzyme may no longer be in an active conformation to allow for substrate conversion. The decrease in k'_{cat} accounts for the 47% decrease in catalytic efficiency of the enzyme following DDAB modification. These values support previous reports in the reduction of specific activity of glucose oxidase after modification by hydrophobic ion pairing [25].

3.3. Printing of biocatalytic ink

For optimal wetting during printing, the surface energy of the material to be printed must be greater than the surface tension of the ink solution [11,12]. While the surface energy of the material and surface tension of the solvent can be manipulated by surface modification and surfactants, respectively, non-polar solvents do not require such formulation additives or additional steps to modify the material and are therefore advantageous in terms of industrial nanobiomanufacturing. Moreover, non-polar solvents enable the incorporation of hydrophobic polymers that can be used as water-resistant binders that permit enzyme reusability. The




Fig. 3. Recovery of glucose oxidase in the (a) aqueous layer and (b) toluene layer as a function of pH. Recovery of glucose oxidase in the (a) aqueous layer and (b) toluene layer as a function of DDAB concentration. Values represent mean $\pm$ standard deviation of $n=3$.

surface energy of PET (42.1 mN/m) is greater than the surface tension of toluene (ca. 27 mN/m), suggesting that the solvent is suitable for use in printing applications [31,32]. When in contact with PET, the advancing contact angle (θ_a) of water was determined to be $75.6 \pm 1.1^\circ$ while toluene had an θ_a of $7.2 \pm 2.6^\circ$. Modification of glucose oxidase with DDAB allows for solubilization of glucose oxidase in toluene and the subsequent printing of the biocatalytic ink directly onto PET and LDPE substrates without the need for surface modification of the material or the addition of surfactants.

A primary advantage of inkjet printing compared to alternative deposition methods is the ability to pattern biologics on surfaces in a controlled fashion [1]. Modified glucose oxidase in toluene could be patterned directly onto PET without the need for surface modification of the material or the introduction of additives to the ink to alter the surface tension of the solution. As seen in Fig. 4, a simple printed pattern of "UMASS" could be visualized in the presence of a liquid protein-binding dye—with color being formed where the protein was printed. Patterning of the enzyme on materials using this approach may allow for multiple enzyme cascade reactions or active and intelligent packaging applications.

3.4. Effect of printing on the activity of modified glucose oxidase

Enzyme activity was retained following printing onto PET. Specific activity was reduced from $889 \times 10^3 \mu\text{mol/min/g}$ of enzyme to $2 \times 10^3 \mu\text{mol/min/g}$ of enzyme after printing; however, color change was visibly observed within 2 min indicating sufficient activity retention to allow for visual interpretation. Repeated evaluation of the glucose oxidase activity was carried out to assess the reusability of the immobilized enzyme (Fig. 5). Following 5 cycles, a decrease in activity was observed from $1.1 \times 10^2 \mu\text{mol/min/cm}^2$ to $0.3 \times 10^2 \mu\text{mol/min/cm}^2$. This decrease is expected due to washing off of enzyme from the material surface, and was verified by the observance of protein loss. However, films retained activity after an initial decrease—suggesting that some portion of the printed enzyme adheres to the surface and is not readily removed after the first initial washing. Initial loss of activity can be attributed to shearing of the enzyme during printing, orientation, unfolding of the enzyme on the surface of the material, reduced substrate interaction with enzyme due to Nernst-layer diffusion restrictions or enzyme layering during the printing process, or a combination of all the above [4,8,13,33,34]. To evaluate the stability of glucose




Fig. 4. Time lapse image of patterned modified glucose oxidase printed onto PET in the presence of Bradford reagent protein-binding dye at (a) initiation, (b) 5 min, (c) 10 min and (d) 15 min.




Fig. 5. Reuse of modified glucose oxidase printed onto PET in the presence of glucose, *o*-dianisidine dihydrochloride, and horseradish peroxidase (35 °C; pH 5.1). Values represent mean $\pm$ standard deviation of $n=3$.

oxidase through the printing nozzle, the enzyme was printed directly into a glass vial. Following deposition, the retained enzyme activity was determined to be $2 \times 10^3 \mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{g}$ —indicating that activity loss was due to movement of the enzyme through the print nozzle rather than diffusion or orientations restrictions imposed by immobilization of the enzyme on the PET film. Loss of enzyme activity during printing has been previously observed and has been associated with the printing voltage, pulse length, and solution compression [33–35].

4. Conclusions

Glucose oxidase can be modified by DDAB to yield single enzyme composites that are soluble in toluene. Modification of glucose results in no change in K_m and a 46% decrease in k'_{cat} . Piezoelectric inkjet printing can be used to deposit biocatalytic solvent ink directly onto PET without the need for additives or surface modification. Printed enzyme can be patterned on PET with enzymatic activity of $1.1 \times 10^2 \mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{cm}^2$ and retains measurable activity after 5 uses. The utilization of single enzyme modifications for solvent-based inkjet printing provides a means for direct deposition of glucose oxidase onto hydrophobic plastic materials, enabling an optimized approach to nanomanufacturing of biocatalytic materials.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the USDA National Institutes of Food and Agriculture, and the UMass Amherst Center for Hierarchical Manufacturing, a nanoscience shared facility funded by the National Science Foundation under NSF Grant #CMMI-1025020. The authors gratefully Dr. Julian McClements for use of the Zetasizer Nano ZS and Krüss DSA100 goniometer.

References

- [1] Hossain SMZ, Luckham RE, Smith AM, Lebert JM, Davies LM, Pelton RH, et al. Development of a bioactive paper sensor for detection of neurotoxins using piezoelectric inkjet printing of sol–gel-derived bioinks. *Anal Chem* 2009;13:5474–83.
- [2] Di Risio S, Yan N. Bioactive paper through inkjet printing. *J Adhes Sci Technol* 2010;3:661–84.
- [3] Turcu F, Hartwich G, Schafer D, Schuhmann W. Ink-jet microdispensing for the formation of gradients of immobilised enzyme activity. *Macromol Rapid Commun* 2005;4:325–30.
- [4] Arrabito G, Musumeci C, Aiello V, Libertino S, Compagnini G, Pignataro B. On the relationship between jetted inks and printed biopatterns: molecular-thin functional microarrays of glucose oxidase. *Langmuir* 2009;11:6312–8.
- [5] Swartz JD, Deravi LF, Wright DW. Bottom-up synthesis of biologically active multilayer films using inkjet-printed templates. *Adv Funct Mater* 2010;9:1488–92.
- [6] Khan MS, Li X, Shen W, Garnier G. Thermal stability of bioactive enzymatic papers. *Colloid Surf B Biointerfaces* 2010;1:239–46.
- [7] Yun YH, Lee BK, Choi JS, Kim S, Yoo B, Kim YS, et al. A glucose sensor fabricated by piezoelectric inkjet printing of conducting polymers and bienzymes. *Anal Sci* 2011;4:375–9.
- [8] Di Risio S, Yan N. Piezoelectric ink-jet printing of horseradish peroxidase: effect of ink viscosity modifiers on activity. *Macromol Rapid Commun* 2007;1(8–19):1934–40.
- [9] Calvert P. Inkjet printing for materials and devices. *Chem Mater* 2001;10:3299–305.
- [10] Allain L, Stratis-Cullum D, Vo-Dinh T. Investigation of microfabrication of biological sample arrays using piezoelectric and bubble-jet printing technologies. *Anal Chim Acta* 2004;1–2:77–85.
- [11] Kamysny A, Magdassi S. Inkjet ink formulations. In: Anonymous inkjet-based micromanufacturing. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA; 2012. p. 173–89.
- [12] Podhajny RM. Surface tension effects on the adhesion and drying of water-based inks and coatings. In: Sharma MK, Micale FJ, editors. Surface phenomena and fine particles in water-based coatings and printing technology. New York: Springer US; 1991. p. 41–58.
- [13] Talbert JN, Goddard JM. Enzymes on material surfaces. *Colloid Surf B Biointerfaces* 2012;8–19.
- [14] Laane C, Boeren S, Vos K, Veeger C. Rules for optimization of biocatalysis in organic-solvents. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1987;1:81–7.
- [15] Castro G, Knubovets T. Homogeneous biocatalysis in organic solvents and water–organic mixtures. *Crit Rev Biotechnol* 2003;3:195–231.
- [16] Iyer PV, Ananthanarayan L. Enzyme stability and stabilization – aqueous and non-aqueous environment. *Process Biochem* 2008;10:1019–32.
- [17] Torres S, Castro G. Non-aqueous biocatalysis in homogeneous solvent systems. *Food Technol Biotechnol* 2004;4:271–7.
- [18] Meyer J, Manning M. Hydrophobic ion pairing: altering the solubility properties of biomolecules. *Pharm Res* 1998;2:188–93.
- [19] Paradkar VM, Dordick JS. Mechanism of extraction of chymotrypsin into isooctane at very-low concentrations of aerosol OT in the absence of reversed micelles. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1994;6:529–40.
- [20] Paradkar VM, Dordick JS. Aqueous-like activity of alpha-chymotrypsin dissolved in nearly anhydrous organic-solvents. *J Am Chem Soc* 1994;11:5009–10.
- [21] Altmeyer D, Dordick J, Clark D. Optimization of ion-paired lipase for non-aqueous media: acylation of doxorubicin based on surface models of fatty acid esterification. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2002;1–2:10–9.
- [22] Angerer P, Studer A, Witholt B, Li Z. Oxidative polymerization of a substituted phenol with ion-paired horseradish peroxidase in an organic solvent. *Macromolecules* 2005;15:6248–50.
- [23] Bindhu L, Abraham T. Preparation and kinetic studies of surfactant–horseradish peroxidase ion paired complex in organic media. *Biochem Eng J* 2003;1:47–57.
- [24] Wu J, Chua P, Chow Y, Li R, Carpenter K, Yu H. Extraction of *Candida rugosa* lipase from aqueous solutions into organic solvents by forming an ion-paired complex with AOT. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 2006;6:1003–8.
- [25] Jia H, Narayanan R, Reneker DH, Wang P, Wu S. Nanofibers with high enzyme loading for highly sensitive biosensors. *WO2009029180 A1*; 2009.
- [26] Bankar SB, Bule MV, Singhal RS, Ananthanarayan L. Glucose oxidase – an overview. *Biotechnol Adv* 2009;4:489–501.
- [27] Kothapalli A, Hayes K, Sadler G, Morgan M. Comparison of kinetic profile of free and immobilized glucose oxidase, immobilized on low-density polyethylene using UV polymerization. *J Food Sci* 2007;9:C478–82.
- [28] Smith P, Krohn R, Hermanson G, Mallia A, Gartner F, Provenzano M, et al. Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. *Anal Biochem* 1985;1:76–85.
- [29] Bergmeyer HU, Gawehn K, Grassl M. Enzymes as biochemical reagents. Glucose oxidase. In: Bergmeyer HU, editor. Methods of enzymatic analysis. New York: Academic Press; 1974. p. 457–8.
- [30] Kim J, Grate J, Wang P. Nanostructures for enzyme stabilization. *Chem Eng Sci* 2006;3:1017–26.
- [31] Froba A, Leipertz A. Viscosity and surface tension of saturated toluene from surface light scattering (SLS). *Int J Thermophys* 2001;1:41–59.
- [32] Wu S. Polymer interface adhesion. New York: CRC Press LLC; 1982.
- [33] Setti L, Faleoni-Morgera A, Ballarin B, Filippini A, Frascaro D, Piana C. An amperometric glucose biosensor prototype fabricated by thermal inkjet printing. *Biosens Bioelectron* 2005;10:2019–26.
- [34] Cook CC, Wang T, Derby B. Inkjet delivery of glucose oxidase. *Chem Commun* 2010;30:5452–4.
- [35] Nishioka G, Markey A, Holloway C. Protein damage in drop-on-demand printers. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;50:16320–1.