


Author's Accepted Manuscript

Exploring the redox balance inside Gram-negative bacteria with redox-sensitive GFP

Joris van der Heijden, Stefanie L. Vogt, Lisa A. Reynolds, Jorge Peña-Díaz, Audrey Tupin, Laurent Aussel, B. Brett Finlay



PII: S0891-5849(15)01136-3
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.11.029>
Reference: FRB12674

To appear in: *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*

Received date: 23 June 2015
Revised date: 24 November 2015
Accepted date: 24 November 2015

Cite this article as: Joris van der Heijden, Stefanie L. Vogt, Lisa A. Reynolds, Jorge Peña-Díaz, Audrey Tupin, Laurent Aussel and B. Brett Finlay, Exploring the redox balance inside Gram-negative bacteria with redox-sensitive GFP, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.11.029>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Title page:

Title: Exploring the redox balance inside Gram-negative bacteria with redox-sensitive GFP.

Author affiliation:

Joris van der Heijden^{a,b}, Stefanie L. Vogt^a, Lisa A. Reynolds^a, Jorge Peña-Díaz^{a,b}, Audrey Tupin^a, Laurent Aussel^d, B. Brett Finlay^{a,b,c}

^aMichael Smith Laboratories, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1Z4, Canada,

^bDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia,

Canada, ^cDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British

Columbia, Canada, ^dLaboratoire de Chimie Bactérienne, CNRS, Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée,

,Marseille, France

Corresponding author:

B. Brett Finlay

University of British Columbia Peter Wall Distinguished Professor. Michael Smith Laboratories, #301-2185 East

Mall, University of British Columbia, V6T 1Z4, Vancouver, B.C., Canada

Tel.: 604-822-2210; Fax: 604-822-9830; E-mail: bfinlay@interchange.ubc.ca.

Abstract

Aerobic bacteria are continuously fighting potential oxidative stress due to endogenous and exogenous reactive oxygen species (ROS). To achieve this goal, bacteria possess a wide array of defenses and stress responses including detoxifying enzymes like catalases and peroxidases; however until now, the

dynamics of the intra-bacterial redox balance remained poorly understood. Herein, we used redox-sensitive GFP (roGFP2) inside a variety of Gram-negative bacteria to study real-time redox dynamics immediately after a challenge with hydrogen peroxide. Using this biosensor, we determined the individual contributions of catalases and peroxidases and found that each enzyme contributes more to rapid detoxification or to prolonged catalytic activity. We also found that the total catalytic power is affected by environmental conditions. Additionally, using a *Salmonella* strain that is devoid of detoxifying enzymes, we examined endogenous ROS production. By measuring endogenous ROS production, we assessed the role of oxidative stress in toxicity of heavy metals and antibiotics. We found that exposure to nickel induced significant oxidative stress whereas cobalt (which was previously implicated to induce oxidative stress) did not induce ROS formation. Since a turbulent debate evolves around oxidative stress as a general killing mechanism by antibiotics (aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones and β -lactams), we measured oxidative stress in bacteria that were challenged with these antibiotics. Our results revealed that antibiotics do not induce ROS formation in bacteria thereby disputing a role for oxidative stress as a general killing mechanism. Together, our results expose how the intra-bacterial redox balance in individual microorganisms is affected by environmental conditions and encounters with stress-inducing compounds. These findings demonstrate the significant potential of roGFP2 as a redox biosensor in Gram-negative bacteria to investigate redox dynamics under a variety of circumstances.

Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) plague all microorganisms that live in oxygenated environments. Either through exposure to exogenous ROS that are present in the environment or endogenous ROS, bacteria experience various degrees of oxidative stress. The term “reactive oxygen species” includes a wide variety of different oxygen radicals that all have specific reactivity to certain biomolecules and therefore can have profoundly different effects on bacteria. Parameters that are important for understanding interactions between specific ROS and bacteria, include the spatial localization of ROS and their respective concentration. For example, hydrogen peroxide is known to exhibit bacteriostatic actions at

lower concentrations, whereas at higher concentrations, hydrogen peroxide directly interacts with DNA and proteins eventually leading to bacterial cell death (1, 2).

Bacteria have evolved numerous defense mechanisms against oxidative stress. An important first line of defense is the production of detoxifying enzymes including catalases, peroxidases and superoxide dismutases. Together, these enzymes convert superoxide and hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen. After damage to intra-bacterial components, specific enzymes are produced to repair biomolecules and restore a healthy environment. Another defense strategy is to use glutathione as a buffering molecule to maintain a stable intra-bacterial redox balance. Many Gram-negatives bacteria use glutathione as their buffering low-molecular weight thiol for maintaining a stable redox environment (3, 4).

Bacterial defenses against oxidative stress are regulated by specific transcriptional regulators e.g. OxyR or SoxR, that can “sense” oxidative stress (5). Oxidation of these transcription factors leads to a conformational change in the protein and a corresponding change in DNA-binding properties. After oxidative stress has occurred, specific genes are switched “on” while others are switched “off”. Bacterial catalases and peroxidases are examples of genes that are regulated by these transcription factors.

Despite many years of research, measuring oxidative stress inside bacteria remains challenging and a variety of experimental approaches have generated results that are often ambiguous or contradictory (6, 7). A clear example of this is illustrated by the recent debate over oxidative stress as a general antimicrobial mechanism caused by antibiotics. These discussions are fueled by conflicting data over the potential involvement of ROS in bacterial killing by antibiotics (8-13). New analytical tools for the measurement of oxidative stress in bacteria are desperately needed to resolve some of these uncertainties. Traditional methods for analyzing oxidative stress often rely on the conversion of a fluorescent dye after interaction with specific ROS. Although these measurements can generate useful results, dyes can sometimes be affected by unrelated chemical events and their output relies on the concentration of dye inside the cell which can vary for different experimental conditions (6). Moreover, since the conversion of fluorescent dyes is mostly irreversible, these methods provide no useful information about dynamics or

detoxification of ROS inside bacteria. More recent approaches that rely on induction of oxidation-sensitive promoters that drive GFP or RFP expression have shown promise in the study of bacterial ROS encounters that happen over longer periods of time (14, 15). However, since ROS concentrations are known to fluctuate rapidly, there is an urgent and unmet need for the development of methods that can measure rapid redox fluctuations in the intra-bacterial redox environment in real time. Recently, we described the use of redox-sensitive GFP (roGFP2) inside *Salmonella enterica* Typhimurium to measure real-time changes to the intra-bacterial redox balance (16). The roGFP2-biosensor was engineered to contain specific cysteines that form a disulfide bond upon oxidation (17). Formation of the disulfide bond leads to a slight shift in protein conformation and the resulting oxidized and reduced isoforms of the protein can be distinguished by differential fluorescence after excitation at 405 nm and 480 nm, respectively. The ratio of fluorescent signal after excitation at 405 and 480 nm can be used to calculate the redox potential and is a continuous measure reporting the intra-bacterial redox balance (18). Because roGFP2 reports the redox balance by ratio-metric analysis, this system excludes variations due to differences in roGFP2 concentrations. Despite extensive use of roGFP in eukaryotic cells (3, 17, 19-23), this biosensor has only recently been used in bacterial systems (16, 24). Although other groups have created fusions between roGFP2 and catalyzing enzymes to speed up the response time and increase specificity (3, 25), we found that the response of unaltered roGFP2 in bacteria was immediate (16, 18). In order to ensure sensitivity to different sources of redox stress, we used unaltered roGFP2 for our analyses. First, we tested roGFP2 in a variety of different Gram-negative bacteria to explore differences in stress responses between bacterial species. By real-time monitoring of the intra-bacterial redox balance, we analyzed the length of time required to detoxify various amounts of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). We were able to use this information to calculate the catalytic activity of detoxifying enzymes in several bacterial species and measure the catalytic activity in different environmental conditions. We also studied the contributions of individual detoxifying enzymes in *S. Typhimurium*. Finally, we investigated the role of metal ions in bacterial detoxification and tested endogenous ROS generation after exposure to metal ions

and antibiotics. These results provide major insights into the complex nature of redox dynamics that occurs in Gram-negative bacteria.

In this manuscript, we mostly focus on disruption of the intra-bacterial redox balance resulting from exposure to exogenous hydrogen peroxide or from endogenous ROS produced within bacteria. If the exact oxygen radicals in an experimental set up were known to us, we refer to these radicals by their specific names. However, when the production of endogenous ROS was examined, the cocktail of radicals was more undefined and we chose to refer to these radicals with the more broad terminology of ROS.

Material and Methods

Cloning roGFP2

The roGFP2 gene used in this study, originated from the pRSETB vector (17). This gene was cloned into the high copy pfpv25 vector for constitutive expression of roGFP2 in Gram-negative bacteria. The pfpv25 vector carried the RpsM promoter from *S. Typhimurium* (26). The pfpv25-roGFP2 vector was transformed into target strains and maintained by addition of 100 µg/ml carbenicillin (16).

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Most gene deletions were made in the *Salmonella Typhimurium* (12023) background. Deletions and concomitant insertion of an antibiotic resistance cassette were done using λ -red-mediated recombination as was described previously (27). In short, mutations were moved by P22 transductions. In order to avoid outgrowth of suppressed strains, *katE*, *katG*, *katN*, *ahpCF* and *tsaA*, mutations were selected anaerobically on LB agar supplemented with bovine liver catalase (2,000 U/plate). In some cases, antibiotic resistance cassettes were removed by using

the temperature-sensitive plasmid pCP20 carrying the FLP recombinase. Bacteria were grown in LB-media unless otherwise stated.

Table 1. Bacterial strains used in this study

Strain	Relevant characteristics	Source or reference
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> Typhimurium (SL1344)		(28)
<i>oxyR</i>	<i>oxyR::kan</i>	(29)
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> Typhimurium (12023)		
<i>kat-</i>	$\Delta katE$, $\Delta katG$, <i>katN::kan</i>	(27)
<i>ahp-</i>	<i>ahpCF::kan tsaA::cat</i>	(27)
<i>hpxf</i>	$\Delta katE$, $\Delta katG$, $\Delta katN$, $\Delta ahpCF$, $\Delta tsaA$	(27)
<i>katE</i>	$\Delta katE$	This study
<i>katG</i>	$\Delta katG$	This study
<i>katN</i>	$\Delta katN$	This study
<i>ahpCF</i>	$\Delta ahpCF$	This study
<i>tsaA</i>	$\Delta tsaA$	This study
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (DH10B)		(30)
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (BL21)		(31)
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> Typhi (TY2)		(32)
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> Typhi (ISP1820)		(33)
Enteropathogenic <i>E. coli</i> (EPEC) O127:H6 (E2348/69)		(34)
Enterohaemorrhagic <i>E. coli</i> (EHEC) O157:H7 (86-24)		(35)
<i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> (DBS100)		(36)
<i>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</i> (YP111)		(37)

Protein purification

roGFP2 was expressed and purified from BL21(DE3) *E. coli* that carried vector pet28a-roGFP2 facilitating IPTG inducible expression of roGFP2. The protein was purified as previously reported (38).

Fluorescent protein assays

For complete reduction purified roGFP2 was pre-incubated with 10 mM DTT. Excess DTT was removed from the solution using Centri-Spin 20 columns (Princeton Separations Inc.). Reactions were carried out with 10 μ g of protein in 200 μ L of saline in a black, clear bottom 96 well plate (Corning). Excitation scans were carried out in a Tecan plate reader with excitation wavelengths covering 350 nm to 500 nm while emission was measured at 510 nm. Signals for fully oxidized and fully reduced roGFP2 were obtained by adding 100 mM H₂O₂ and 10 mM DTT 30 minutes prior to the experiment. Data were analyzed in Excel and all values were normalized to the values obtained for maximally oxidized and for fully reduced protein.

Fluorescence measurements

Prior to the experiments, bacteria were grown in LB-broth without addition of antibiotics unless otherwise stated. Bacteria were grown until late exponential phase ($OD_{600} = 1.0$) in 125 mL flasks with aeration. For analysis of stationary phase bacteria, an overnight culture was used. During growth of *hpxf* *S. Typhimurium* cultures, bovine liver catalase (1000U/ml) was added to the medium. Bacteria were spun down and washed in saline (0.9% w/v) before resuspension of bacterial cultures at density $OD_{600} = 2$ in saline solution. To check if bacterial numbers for each experiment were similar, these samples were additionally plated out for CFU counting. 100 μ L of culture was loaded into pre-warmed 96 well black, clear bottom plates (COSTAR). The redox balance inside bacteria was analyzed by measuring fluorescence after excitation at 405 nm and 480 nm while emission was measured at 510 nm (16). Before challenge with H₂O₂, the intra-bacterial redox balance was determined for 25 minutes to ensure equal start positions. Bacteria were challenged with 0 mM, 20 μ M, 40 μ M, 60 μ M, 80 μ M, 100 μ M, 150 μ M, 200 μ M, 400 μ M, 600 μ M, 800 μ M, 1 mM, 2 mM, 3 mM, 4 mM, 5 mM, 7.5 mM, 10 mM or 100 mM (oxidized control) of H₂O₂. As a reducing control 1 mM DTT was added. Background signals from non-fluorescent bacteria were obtained in the same experiment. The 405/480 ratio values were normalized to the 405/480 ratio values for maximum oxidized and reduced conditions (minimum value 0.1 and maximum value 1.0). For the experiment with chelators, 25 mM EGTA (ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid,

Sigma-Aldrich) or 25 mM EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, Sigma-Aldrich) was added 25 minutes prior to the challenge with H₂O₂.

Calculation of velocity and determination of Michaelis Menten correlation

The total detoxification time was determined for each H₂O₂ concentration that was used for the challenge.

The detoxification time was used for calculation of the reaction velocity v (μM/sec) using equation 3.

$$v = \frac{[H_2O_2]_{challenge} * \frac{1}{2}}{t_{detox}} \quad \text{equation 1}$$

With this equation, the velocity was determined as a function of [H₂O₂]. The average [H₂O₂] throughout the experiment is assumed to be half of the concentration that is used to challenge the bacteria ([H₂O₂]_{challenge}). The detoxification time t_{detox} (s) is determined experimentally. The velocity was plotted against the [H₂O₂] and a Michaelis Menten correlation was fitted against the resulting data. The standard Michaelis Menten equation is shown below.

$$v = \frac{V_{max} * [H_2O_2]}{K_M + [H_2O_2]} \quad \text{equation}$$

Measurement of endogenous ROS production

For the measurement of endogenous ROS production, the *hpxf* S. Typhimurium strain was used which is devoid of detoxifying enzymes. This strain was grown to late exponential phase in LB-broth supplemented with bovine liver catalase (1000 U/ml). All measurements were done in saline over 100 minutes or 10 hours depending on the experiment. The 405/480 ratio values were normalized to the maximum reduced (1 mM DTT addition) and maximum oxidized (100 mM H₂O₂ addition) values as was done previously. The normalized ratio at the start of the experiment was set to 0. To determine oxidative stress caused by metal ions, 1 mM sodium chloride, 1 mM potassium chloride, 1 mM lithium chloride, 1 mM rubidium chloride, 1 mM magnesium chloride, 1 mM calcium chloride, 1 mM zinc chloride, 1 mM cobalt chloride or 1 mM nickel chloride was added to the saline solution. For determination of

endogenous ROS production after exposure to antibiotics, 12.5 µg/ml gentamicin, 25 µg/ml streptomycin, 25 µg/ml kanamycin, 1 µg/ml ciprofloxacin, 50 µg/ml cefotaxime or 25 µg/ml ampicillin was added to bacterial cultures.

Results and Discussion

Comparing redox dynamics in different bacterial species

In this study, we expressed roGFP2 in *Escherichia coli* (DH10B), *E. coli* (BL21), *Salmonella* Typhimurium (12023), enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) O127:H6 (E2348/69), enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) O157:H7 (E86-24), *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi (S. Typhi ISP1820), S. Typhi (TY2), *Citrobacter rodentium* (DBS100), *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* (YPIII) and *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium SL1344) and we individually challenged each bacterial species with varying amounts of H₂O₂ to analyze the catalytic power of their detoxifying enzymes (Fig. 1A). For this, bacterial cultures were grown to late exponential phase in M9 minimal media and the Michaelis Menten correlation was determined for overall detoxification of H₂O₂ (Fig. 1B-2K). The slope of the Michaelis Menten correlation generates information about how fast detoxification occurs whereas the V_{max} tells us what the maximum capacity is of detoxifying enzymes.

In each experiment we used $2.6 \cdot 10^8$ bacteria and this number remained constant throughout each experiment. These results show that roGFP2 can be used in a variety of different bacteria and that substantial differences in detoxifying power exist between closely related bacterial species and even between bacterial strains.

How do environmental conditions affect detoxification of hydrogen peroxide?

To examine how environmental conditions would impact the velocity (v) by which catalases and peroxidases detoxify H₂O₂, we examined detoxification after growth in different growth media, and at

different pH and temperatures. For these experiments, *S. Typhimurium* (12023) was used. Significant differences in detoxification kinetics were observed after growth in various growth media (Fig. 2A). In M9 minimal media (M9) and Heart and Brain media (H&B), initial detoxification was slower than for bacteria grown in LB but the maximal capacity of detoxifying enzymes was higher. In Super Optimized Broth (SOB), detoxification by *S. Typhimurium* was slower overall and a lower maximal capacity was found.

A similar approach was used to examine the effect of pH. After growth in LB, *S. Typhimurium* was resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at different pHs and the Michaelis Menten correlation for H_2O_2 detoxification was determined (Fig. 2B). Detoxification was fastest and most efficient in pH 7 while a shift away from pH 7 in either direction decreased the velocity of detoxification. These results indicate that *S. Typhimurium*'s detoxifying enzymes have evolved to be most efficient at pH 7.

To test the effect of temperature, we challenged *S. Typhimurium* with H_2O_2 at temperatures of 25 °C, 37 °C and 42 °C (Fig. 2C). The velocity of detoxification by *S. Typhimurium* at 37 °C was greater for smaller amounts of H_2O_2 whereas bacteria at 25 °C detoxified H_2O_2 slower but had greater maximal capacity for detoxification. The velocity of detoxification by *S. Typhimurium* at 42 °C was lower which is surprising since higher temperatures often speed up enzyme kinetics. The V_{max} at 42 °C was also reduced.

All living organisms need to rapidly adapt to their environment. To test if pre-exposure to H_2O_2 helped bacteria become more efficient at detoxification of H_2O_2 , we challenged *S. Typhimurium* with 500 μ M H_2O_2 prior to a challenge with varying concentrations of H_2O_2 (Fig. 2D). We found that pre-exposed ("primed") bacteria were significantly faster at detoxification than naïve bacteria. In addition, primed bacteria had a higher maximal capacity for detoxifying H_2O_2 showing that bacteria rapidly adapt to oxidizing environments.

The above experiments were all performed with bacteria grown to late exponential phase. It is well established that bacteria in stationary phase express different genes than organisms that are still in

exponential growth phase (39). To investigate if stationary phase bacteria were more or less efficient at detoxification, *S. Typhimurium* was grown overnight and the Michaelis Menten correlation was determined (Fig. 2E). We found that stationary phase bacteria were significantly better at detoxifying H_2O_2 than bacteria in exponential growth phase. This advantage was due to catalases and/or peroxidases since *hpxF* *S. Typhimurium* (which are devoid of catalases and peroxidases) was not more efficient at detoxification after growth to stationary phase (Fig. 2F). All Michaelis Menten constants from the experiments in Fig. 2 are shown in Table S1 in the Supplementary Material.

In order to investigate if the differences in catalytic activity that we observed for growth in different media, pH conditions, temperatures and different growth phases were a direct result of bacterial killing, we determined bacterial survival after exposure to 4 mM or 8 mM H_2O_2 (Fig. 1 in Ref. (40)). In these experiments we did not find that bacterial killing was the reason for the observed differences in Michaelis Menten kinetics. However, we found that bacteria grown in M9 minimal medium and SOB medium showed decreased survival after exposure to H_2O_2 . Interestingly, the bacteria grown in M9 medium showed the highest V_{max} value in our roGFP2 experiments. We speculate that the higher V_{max} could result from an increased H_2O_2 influx over perforated membranes of dead bacteria compared to lower H_2O_2 influx over intact membranes of living bacteria. Another consideration is that the survival of bacteria after H_2O_2 exposure depends greatly on their fitness. Although detoxifying enzymes do contribute to bacterial fitness, many other parameters play a role in this and currently, bacterial fitness is difficult to quantify. Dramatic differences in catalytic activity do translate to bacterial fitness as is shown by the results in Fig. 1D in Ref. (40). In these results, the dramatic difference in catalytic activity between stationary phase bacteria and bacteria that were grown to exponential phase correlates with bacteria survival after exposure to H_2O_2 .

Pre-exposure to H_2O_2 primes bacteria for more efficient detoxification.

In Fig. 2D we show that bacteria rapidly become more efficient at detoxifying H_2O_2 after pre-exposure to 500 μ M of H_2O_2 compared to naïve bacteria. Fig. 4A shows a visualization of the real-time analysis of the

intra-bacterial redox balance in *S. Typhimurium* for primed (red) and naïve (blue) bacteria after a challenge with 1 mM H₂O₂ (Fig. 3A). Primed *S. Typhimurium* bacteria were consistently faster than naïve bacteria at detoxifying H₂O₂. To investigate if this adaptation was due to increased production of detoxifying enzymes (induced by the first exposure to H₂O₂), we compared detoxification in primed and naïve bacteria while inhibiting protein synthesis (Fig. 3B). For this, 150 µg/ml chloramphenicol was added prior to pre-exposure to H₂O₂. We found that addition of chloramphenicol blocked the ability to prime bacteria, indicating that bacterial adaptation requires protein synthesis.

OxyR is a major transcriptional regulator that can “sense” oxidative stress through formation of an oxidation-sensitive disulfide bond (5). To test if OxyR was driving transcription and subsequent protein synthesis allowing adaptation of *S. Typhimurium* to H₂O₂, we compared detoxification in WT *S. Typhimurium* and *oxyR* *S. Typhimurium* after pre-exposure to 500 µM H₂O₂ (Fig. 3C). The rapid adaptation that was previously observed in primed bacteria was absent in the primed *oxyR* mutant indicating that OxyR is driving rapid protein synthesis to prime bacteria for subsequent H₂O₂ exposure. These results highlight that adaptability of bacteria to H₂O₂ is driven by rapid protein synthesis that is regulated by OxyR.

Determining the contribution of individual catalases and peroxidases

To gain more insight into the contribution of each detoxifying enzyme individually, we tested the detoxifying activity in a variety of *S. Typhimurium* deletion mutants. First, we determined the Michaelis-Menten correlations for WT, *kat-* (no catalases), *ahp-* (no peroxidases) and for *hpxf* (no catalases or peroxidases) mutants (Fig. 4A–4D). We observed attenuated detoxification after deletion of all catalases (*kat-*) or after deletion of catalases and peroxidases (*hpxf*). In contrast, deletion of peroxidases (*ahp-*) led to increased detoxifying power (Fig. 4C). The dramatically increased detoxifying power in the *ahp-* mutant suggests a scenario in which *S. Typhimurium* over-compensates for the loss of peroxidases. The corresponding V_{max} of all mutants were also compared (Fig. 4E).

To further assess the contribution of individual enzymes, we measured the detoxifying power of single deletion mutants in *katE*, *katG*, *katN*, *ahpCF* and *tsaA* (Fig. 4F-4J). Deletion of individual catalases affected efficiency of H_2O_2 detoxification more than deletion of individual peroxidases. This is in agreement with previous findings that show that catalases are the main scavengers of higher doses of H_2O_2 , despite favorable kinetics of peroxidase detoxification (41). This can be explained by the fact that peroxidases use NADH for detoxification of H_2O_2 . Previously, it was shown that the cell cannot provide enough NADH for peroxidases to rapidly degrade large amounts of H_2O_2 (41). Thus, peroxidases are more efficient scavengers of H_2O_2 at lower doses whereas catalases play a bigger role in detoxification of higher doses of H_2O_2 . Since we used higher doses of H_2O_2 in this study, we found that catalases were more important than peroxidases for detoxification. Although catalases are thought to have redundant functions, these measurements also showed unique properties for each catalase. These findings showed that KatE and KatN were more important for increasing the V_{max} whereas KatG played a bigger role in rapid detoxification of H_2O_2 .

Measuring endogenous ROS production.

Since the roGFP2 redox sensor reports oxidative stress irrespective of the source, we extended our analysis to measuring endogenous ROS production. In particular, if the *hpxf* *S. Typhimurium* mutant is used which lacks catalases and peroxidases, endogenous ROS production can be examined without interference from rapid detoxification. Endogenous ROS production often results from bacterial respiration but there may be other sources of endogenous ROS production which could play a role in bacterial toxicity (42, 43). First, we tested endogenous ROS production after growth in different growth media (Fig. 5A). The change in the normalized 405/480 ratio over the course of the experiment was used to determine the endogenous ROS production. We found that the rate of ROS production was similar for bacteria grown in rich (LB or SOB) medium whereas almost no ROS were produced in bacteria grown in minimal (M9) medium. *S. Typhimurium* grown in Heart Brain Infusion (HBI) medium (fairly rich medium) produced high levels of ROS over the course of the experiment. A similar approach was used to

compare stationary phase *S. Typhimurium* with bacteria grown to exponential growth phase. Stationary phase bacteria were found to have lower endogenous ROS production than exponential phase bacteria (Fig. 5B). To confirm that the endogenous ROS production we measured using roGFP2 was in accordance with results obtained using more established methods, we measured the output of H_2O_2 by stationary phase bacteria and by bacteria that were grown to exponential growth phase with the Amplex Red assay (Fig 2. in Ref. (40)). The output of H_2O_2 correlates with the amount of endogenous ROS that is produced and with the Amplex Red assay, we confirmed our data obtained using the roGFP2 biosensor.

When comparing the effect of temperature on the rate of respiration we found that bacteria kept at 37 °C or 42 °C showed a similar accumulation of ROS whereas lowering the temperature to 25 °C slowed ROS production in *S. Typhimurium* (Fig. 5C). These results show that roGFP2 inside bacteria can be used for comparing endogenous ROS formation and that environmental conditions significantly impact the rate of ROS production.

Metals and oxidative stress.

Many enzymes, including catalases in *S. Typhimurium* require heme or manganese ions for their activity (44). Without metal ions, these enzymes cannot function properly. In contrast, heavy metals have toxic effects on algae, moulds, spores, fungi, prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms in a process that is referred to as the oligodynamic effect (45). Although mechanisms that lead to metal-toxicity are not always clear, oxidative stress is often implicated as a major contributor of toxicity in bacteria (6).

To gain a better understanding of the role of metal ions in detoxification and as inducers of oxidative stress, we again used roGFP2 in *S. Typhimurium*. First, we investigated the involvement of metal ions in detoxifying enzymes by testing H_2O_2 detoxification in the presence of 25 mM of metal chelators EGTA or EDTA (Fig. 6A). No inhibition was observed after addition of the specific calcium chelator EGTA whereas addition of EDTA (which is a non-specific divalent cation metal chelator) dramatically reduced the activity of detoxifying enzymes. We then examined detoxification after addition of several alkaline

earth metals or zinc (1 mM MgCl_2 , 1 mM CaCl_2 or 1 mM ZnCl_2) and compared detoxification to a 1 mM NaCl control (Fig. 6B). Only addition of zinc ions inhibited activity of detoxifying enzymes significantly. In order to examine if zinc would directly affect the roGFP2 biosensor sensitivity, we tested the roGFP2 response of purified protein after addition of 10 mM H_2O_2 and addition of zinc and compared these results to a saline control (Fig. S1A, Supplementary Material). No direct effect of zinc ions on roGFP2 sensitivity was observed. The effect of zinc on detoxification can be explained by the finding that zinc can replace iron in heme-binding catalases rendering the enzymes useless (46).

To directly examine oxidative stress resulting from the presence of alkali metals, we challenged *hpxf* *S. Typhimurium* with 1 mM KCl, 1 mM LiCl or 1 mM RuCl, and compared the normalized 405/480 ratio to a 1 mM NaCl control (Fig. 6C). None of the alkali metals caused significant oxidative stress inside bacteria.

Secondly, we added alkaline earth metals (1 mM MgCl_2 or 1 mM CaCl_2) to this strain and compared the $\Delta 405/480$ ratio to a 1 mM NaCl control (Fig. 6D). Again, no significant increased oxidative stress was observed. Lastly, addition of several transition metals (1 mM ZnCl_2 , 1 mM NiCl_2 or 1 mM CoCl_2) showed that nickel (Fig. 6E) caused oxidative stress. In addition, we found that nickel ions did directly oxidize the roGFP2 biosensor (Fig. S1B, Supplementary Material) indicating that nickel contributes to formation of the disulfide bond in roGFP2. These results are in agreement with previous findings showing that nickel can cause spontaneous disulfide bond formation (47). Based on these results we speculate that nickel contributes to oxidative stress through disulfide bond formation.

Together these results show that certain metal ions are required for H_2O_2 detoxification, whereas other metal ions contribute to oxidative stress by inhibition of detoxification (zinc) or by catalyzing formation of disulfide bonds (nickel).

Antibiotics and endogenous ROS production.

Several studies have generated evidence to support the concept of oxidative stress as a general mechanism by which aminoglycosides, quinolones and β -lactam antibiotics kill bacteria (8-10). An equal number of studies showed compelling data arguing there is no involvement of oxidative stress in bacterial killing by antibiotics (11-13). To investigate if antibiotics induce endogenous ROS formation, we used roGFP2 in *hpxf* *S. Typhimurium* and monitored the intra-bacterial redox balance for 10 hours following exposure to antibiotics. First, we added the aminoglycosides gentamicin, kanamycin and streptomycin and monitored the intra-bacterial redox balance (Fig. 7A). Interestingly, decreased endogenous ROS formation was observed compared to a control experiment in which no antibiotics were added. Additionally, exposure to ciprofloxacin (quinolone) and cefotaxime (cephalosporin) did result in decreased ROS production (Fig. 7B). Lower levels of endogenous ROS formation indicated that the rate of respiration was decreased by exposure to antibiotics. Lastly, we monitored the intra-bacterial redox balance after exposure to ampicillin (β -lactam) and found strong fluctuations in the intra-bacterial redox balance starting 400 minutes after exposure (Fig. 7C). These fluctuations can be explained by the membrane disruption of β -lactam antibiotics despite the fact that the plasmid containing roGFP2 also carries ampicillin resistance. Since the experiment happened in saline without additional nutrients, no ampicillin resistance could be generated and under these conditions membrane disruption by ampicillin still occurred. In order to examine whether decreased production of endogenous ROS is not due to bacterial killing, we measured bacterial survival after exposure to the antibiotics used in this study (Fig. 3 in Ref. (40)). The antibiotics kanamycin, cefotaxime and ampicillin induced no significant killing under the conditions that were used in this experiment. The antibiotics gentamicin, streptomycin and ciprofloxacin induced only minor amounts of killing indicating that bacterial killing is not the reason behind reduced endogenous ROS production. Taken together, these results showed no induction of oxidative stress by any of the antibiotics tested in these experiments.

Conclusions

Encounters with oxidative stress-inducing conditions are commonplace for bacteria living with oxygen, and can affect respiration, bacterial replication, cell death etc. Since many critical cellular processes are directly affected by oxidative stress, it is unsettling that we still understand so little about redox dynamics inside living bacteria. We have now successfully used roGFP2 as a redox biosensor for the study of redox dynamics inside Gram-negative bacteria in real-time. Using this analytical tool, we were able to compare the detoxifying power of several strains and found substantial differences among closely related strains or species. This was most apparent for two *S. Typhi* isolates (TY2 and ISP1820) for which the difference can be explained by the loss of *rpoS* in the TY2 background (48). For many other strains tested in this study, differences were unexpected and our results help describe redox characteristics of these strains for the first time. We predict that roGFP2 can be used to better define redox characteristics in many other laboratory strains and clinical isolates, as well as environmental microbes.

Using roGFP2, we also measured how the intra-bacterial redox balance was affected by several environmental conditions. In the lab, this could be used to better characterize experimental conditions; a need illustrated by a recent study showing major differences in the oxidative levels of commercially available LB media (49). Outside the laboratory, roGFP2 reporter strains can be used to assess the effects of toxic contamination in the environment or during water treatment. Our results show that the presence of nickel and copper can induce endogenous ROS formation. Previously, cobalt was also found to induce ROS formation (50) however, our studies did not reveal a role for oxidative stress in cobalt-toxicity. The rapid experimental determination of intra-bacterial oxidative stress could help reveal the basis of toxicity for many compounds. In practise this may be of particular use in preparing the correct bacteria for bioremediation of contaminated soil or water.

In the clinic, oxidative stress plays an important role during infection by bacterial pathogens. Interestingly, our results show that H₂O₂ detoxifying enzymes in the pathogen *S. Typhimurium* perform fastest at 37 °C which is the temperature in our body. We speculate that these enzymes specifically evolved their maximum velocity at 37 °C to be optimized for colonizing the human host. A bacterial

encounter with the host-generated oxidative burst was considered to have mostly antimicrobial effects. However, more recently it was reported that ROS can also benefit pathogens by regulating virulence or facilitating a growth advantage. Powerful examples include the finding that *S. Typhimurium* utilizes the host's oxidative burst to outcompete commensal microbiota in the gut (51). This growth advantage is caused by active induction of ROS formation by *S. Typhimurium* that subsequently react with thiosulfate to form tetrathionate. A specific gene cluster in the *Salmonella* genome enables *S. Typhimurium* to use tetrathionate as a respiratory electron acceptor giving it an edge over commensal microbiota. Recently, using roGFP2, we also showed that *S. Typhimurium* uses specific virulence factors to evade oxidative stress during infection by preventing proper co-localization of ROS with the *Salmonella* containing vacuole inside macrophages (16). Identifying and examining these intricate relationships requires reliable redox biosensors and we propose that the use of roGFP2 in bacteria will greatly aid our understanding of host-pathogen relationships.

A major ongoing debate that currently occupies many microbiologists is the potential involvement of oxidative stress as a general killing mechanism for certain antibiotics (8-13). Using roGFP2, we were able to measure oxidative stress inside bacteria during exposure to aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones and β -lactams; all of which have been called oxidative stress-inducing antibiotics. In our experiments, we did not detect oxidative stress after exposure to any of these antibiotics. In contrast, we found that most antibiotics actually diminished endogenous ROS formation indicating a decreased rate of respiration. These results are in agreement with a recent study showing that inhibition of the electron transport chain can induce tolerance to β -lactam antibiotics (52). Only after exposure to ampicillin we detected fluctuations in the intra-bacterial redox balance. However, these fluctuations are most likely resulting from disruption of the bacterial cell wall after longer exposure, which is the main mode-of-action of β -lactams. Since the cell wall is at the heart of all respiratory processes in bacteria, it is not surprising that disruption of the cell wall results in redox fluctuations. Thus overall our results do not indicate any induction of ROS formation by these antibiotics.

For the first time, we used roGFP2 in a variety of different Gram-negative bacteria to assess and compare intra-bacterial redox dynamics in real-time. Our findings that no oxidative stress was induced by exposure to antibiotics, help solve a controversy that captivated many microbiologists for the past couple of years. Other uncertainties involving numerous heavy metals that were implicated in causing bacterial oxidative stress, were answered by confirming involvement of nickel and copper while countering the role of oxidative stress in cobalt-toxicity. Considerably dissimilar redox characteristics of closely related strains were previously unknown and our results contribute greatly to a better understanding of bacterial defenses and stress responses. The use of roGFP2 to reveal characteristics of H₂O₂ detoxification by bacterial pathogens will assist in characterization of host-pathogen relationships and have broad utility as a tool to study redox related virulence mechanisms. Taken together these results show that the roGFP2 biosensor can be used inside bacteria and can play a critical role in research that will eventually elucidate intricate relationships between oxidative stress and bacteria.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by operating grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR-27R18706). B.B.F. is the University of British Columbia Peter Wall Distinguished Professor. No competing interests exist for this work. We sincerely thank Dr. James Remington from the University of Oregon for providing the original pRSETB roGFP2 construct.




Figure 1. Comparing the catalytic power of detoxifying enzymes in different bacteria. (A) Example of real-time analysis of intra-bacterial redox potential during a challenge with varying concentration of H_2O_2 . The time required for detoxification of H_2O_2 was determined for each challenge. (B-K) The Michaelis Menten equation was fitted using Graphpad Prism 6 showing detoxifying power of *E. coli* (DH10B), *E. coli* (BL21), *S. Typhimurium* (12023), EPEC O127:H6 (E2348/69), EHEC O157:H7 (E86-24), *S. Typhi* (ISP1820), *S. Typhi* (TY2), *C. rodentium* (DBS100), *Y. pseudotuberculosis* (YPIII) and *S. Typhimurium* (SL1344) after growth in M9 minimal medium for 5 hours. Bacteria were challenged with varying amounts of H_2O_2 up to a total concentration of 0 mM, 200 μ M, 400 μ M, 600 μ M, 800 μ M, 1 mM, 2 mM, 3 mM, 4 mM, 5 mM, 7.5 mM, 10 mM or 100 mM of H_2O_2 . Each value represents the average of four technical replicates. Each assay was performed in at least two separate experiments.




Figure 2. Michaelis Menten correlation of detoxifying power in *S. Typhimurium* (12023) for different growth conditions. (A) The Michaelis Menten correlation showing the detoxifying power of *S. Typhimurium* after growth in M9 minimal medium, Luria Broth medium, Super Optimal Broth medium and Heart and Brain medium. (B) Detoxifying power of *S. Typhimurium* at different pH after growth in LB medium. (C) Detoxifying power of *S. Typhimurium* in different temperatures. (D) Detoxifying power of *S. Typhimurium* for naïve bacteria and for bacteria that were pre-exposed to one challenge of 500 μM H_2O_2 15 minutes prior to the experiment. (E) Detoxifying power of *S. Typhimurium* for stationary phase bacteria and bacteria in late exponential phase. (F) Detoxifying power of stationary and exponential phase *hpxf* *S. Typhimurium*. Each value represents the average of four technical replicates. Each assay was performed in at least two separate experiments.




Figure 3. Determining the role of protein synthesis and transcription factor OxyR in regulation of detoxifying enzymes. (A) Real-time analysis of normalized 405/480 ratio in *S. Typhimurium* (SL1344) during a challenge with H₂O₂. The red upward pointing arrow indicates pre-exposure to 500 μM H₂O₂ only for “primed” bacteria. The black upward pointing arrow indicates a challenge with varying amounts of H₂O₂ that is similar for primed and naïve bacteria. In this graph the results from a challenge with 1 mM H₂O₂ is shown. (B) Detoxifying power of *S. Typhimurium* (SL1344) for naïve bacteria and for bacteria that were pre-exposed to one challenge of 500 μM H₂O₂ 15 minutes prior to the experiment measured in saline containing 150 μg/ml chloramphenicol. (C) Detoxifying power of *oxyR* *S. Typhimurium* for naïve bacteria and for bacteria that were pre-exposed to one challenge of 500 μM H₂O₂ 15 minutes prior to a challenge with varying concentrations of H₂O₂. Each value represents the average of four technical replicates. Each assay was performed in at least two separate experiments.




Figure 4. Characterization of contribution of catalases and peroxidases in *S. Typhimurium*. (A-D) Michaelis Menten equations for WT, *kat* (no catalases, *katE*, *katG*, *katN*), *ahp* (no peroxidases, *ahpCF*, *TsaA*) and *hpxF* (no catalases and no peroxidases, *katE*, *katG*, *katN*, *ahpCF*, *tsaA*) bacteria. (E) The V_{max} for each mutant was determined. (F-J) Michaelis Menten equations for individual deletion mutants. Each value represents the average of four technical replicates. Each assay was performed in at least two separate experiments.




Figure 5. Endogenous ROS generation as a measure for the rate of respiration. Increase in normalized 405/480 ratio indicating endogenous ROS production in *hpxf S. Typhimurium*. The increase in the 405/480 ratio can be used to determine the rate of respiration (A) Endogenous ROS production in *hpxf S. Typhimurium* after growth in M9 minimal medium, Luria Broth medium, Super Optimal Broth medium and Heath and Brain Using Graphpad Prism 6, a linear correlation was fitted through the resulting data. (B) Endogenous ROS production in *hpxf S. Typhimurium* for stationary phase and exponential phase bacteria after growth in LB-broth. (C) Endogenous ROS production in *hpxf S. Typhimurium* at different temperatures. Each value represents the average of four technical replicates. Each assay was performed in at least two separate experiments.




Figure 6. Role of metals in ROS detoxification and endogenous ROS production. (A) Real-time analysis of normalized 405/480 ratio in WT *S. Typhimurium* (12023). The upward pointing arrow indicates a challenge with 2.5 mM H₂O₂. Measurements are done in saline with or without addition of chelating agents (25 mM EGTA or 25 mM EDTA). Only addition of EDTA substantially inhibits detoxifying enzymes. (B) Real-time analysis of normalized 405/480 ratio in WT *S. Typhimurium* (12023) after addition of 1 mM NaCl, MgCl₂, ZnCl₂ or CaCl₂ prior to a challenge with 2.5 mM H₂O₂. Only addition of zinc ions significantly inhibits detoxifying enzymes. (C-E) Endogenous ROS production in *hpxf* *S. Typhimurium* after addition of NaCl, KCl, LiCl, RuCl, CaCl₂, MgCl₂, CoCl₂, ZnCl₂ or NiCl₂ (1 mM each). In each experiment results were compared to a NaCl control (black line). Of the metal ions tested, only nickel ions (panel E) induced significant ROS production. Each value represents the average of four technical replicates. Each assay was performed in at least two separate experiments.




Figure 7. Antibiotics do not induce endogenous ROS production. (A) Endogenous ROS production in *hpxf S.* Typhimurium after addition of aminoglycosides gentamicin, streptomycin or kanamycin. (B) Endogenous ROS production after addition of ciprofloxacin (fluoroquinolone) or cefotaxime (third generation cephalosporin). (C) Normalized 405/480 ratio after addition of ampicillin (β -lactam). None of the antibiotics were found to induce increased ROS production. In all graphs the black line represents endogenous ROS production without the addition of antibiotics. All experiments were done in saline. Each value represents the average of three technical replicates. Each assay was performed in at least two separate experiments.

References

1. Fang FC (2011) Antimicrobial actions of reactive oxygen species. *mBio* 2(5).
2. Hyslop PA, *et al.* (1995) Hydrogen peroxide as a potent bacteriostatic antibiotic: implications for host defense. *Free radical biology & medicine* 19(1):31-37.
3. Gutscher M, *et al.* (2008) Real-time imaging of the intracellular glutathione redox potential. *Nature methods* 5(6):553-559.
4. Fahey RC (2013) Glutathione analogs in prokaryotes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1830(5):3182-3198.
5. Vazquez-Torres A (2012) Redox active thiol sensors of oxidative and nitrosative stress. *Antioxidants & redox signaling* 17(9):1201-1214.
6. Imlay JA (2015) Diagnosing oxidative stress in bacteria: not as easy as you might think. *Current opinion in microbiology* 24:124-131.
7. Slauch JM (2011) How does the oxidative burst of macrophages kill bacteria? Still an open question. *Molecular microbiology* 80(3):580-583.
8. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, & Collins JJ (2010) How antibiotics kill bacteria: from targets to networks. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8(6):423-435.
9. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, & Collins JJ (2007) A common mechanism of cellular death induced by bactericidal antibiotics. *Cell* 130(5):797-810.
10. Dwyer DJ, *et al.* (2014) Antibiotics induce redox-related physiological alterations as part of their lethality. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111(20):E2100-2109.
11. Liu Y & Imlay JA (2013) Cell death from antibiotics without the involvement of reactive oxygen species. *Science* 339(6124):1210-1213.
12. Keren I, Wu Y, Inocencio J, Mulcahy LR, & Lewis K (2013) Killing by bactericidal antibiotics does not depend on reactive oxygen species. *Science* 339(6124):1213-1216.

13. Ezraty B, *et al.* (2013) Fe-S cluster biosynthesis controls uptake of aminoglycosides in a ROS-less death pathway. *Science* 340(6140):1583-1587.
14. Aussel L, *et al.* (2011) Salmonella detoxifying enzymes are sufficient to cope with the host oxidative burst. *Molecular microbiology* 80(3):628-640.
15. Burton NA, *et al.* (2014) Disparate impact of oxidative host defenses determines the fate of Salmonella during systemic infection in mice. *Cell host & microbe* 15(1):72-83.
16. van der Heijden J, Bosman ES, Reynolds LA, & Finlay BB (2015) Direct measurement of oxidative and nitrosative stress dynamics in Salmonella inside macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(2):560-565.
17. Hanson GT, *et al.* (2004) Investigating mitochondrial redox potential with redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein indicators. *J Biol Chem* 279(13):13044-13053.
18. van der Heijden J & Finlay BB (2015) In vitro Real-time Measurement of the Intra-bacterial Redox Potential. *Bio-protocol* 5(17):e1579.
19. Meyer AJ & Dick TP (2010) Fluorescent protein-based redox probes. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 13(5):621-650.
20. Jubany-Mari T, Alegre-Batlle L, Jiang K, & Feldman LJ (2010) Use of a redox-sensing GFP (c-roGFP1) for real-time monitoring of cytosol redox status in *Arabidopsis thaliana* water-stressed plants. *FEBS letters* 584(5):889-897.
21. Morgan B, Sobotta MC, & Dick TP (2011) Measuring E(GSH) and H₂O₂ with roGFP2-based redox probes. *Free Radic Biol Med* 51(11):1943-1951.
22. Back P, *et al.* (2012) Exploring real-time in vivo redox biology of developing and aging *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Free radical biology & medicine* 52(5):850-859.
23. Breckwoldt MO, *et al.* (2014) Multiparametric optical analysis of mitochondrial redox signals during neuronal physiology and pathology in vivo. *Nature medicine* 20(5):555-560.
24. Bhaskar A, *et al.* (2014) Reengineering redox sensitive GFP to measure mycothiol redox potential of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* during infection. *PLoS pathogens* 10(1):e1003902.
25. Gutscher M, *et al.* (2009) Proximity-based protein thiol oxidation by H₂O₂-scavenging peroxidases. *J Biol Chem* 284(46):31532-31540.
26. Valdivia RH & Falkow S (1996) Bacterial genetics by flow cytometry: rapid isolation of *Salmonella* Typhimurium acid-inducible promoters by differential fluorescence induction. *Molecular microbiology* 22(2):367-378.
27. Hebrard M, Viala JP, Meresse S, Barras F, & Aussel L (2009) Redundant hydrogen peroxide scavengers contribute to Salmonella virulence and oxidative stress resistance. *J Bacteriol* 191(14):4605-4614.
28. Hoiseth SK & Stocker BA (1981) Aromatic-dependent *Salmonella typhimurium* are non-virulent and effective as live vaccines. *Nature* 291(5812):238-239.
29. Gal-Mor O, Gibson DL, Baluta D, Vallance BA, & Finlay BB (2008) A novel secretion pathway of *Salmonella enterica* acts as an antivirulence modulator during salmonellosis. *PLoS pathogens* 4(4):e1000036.
30. Durfee T, *et al.* (2008) The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* DH10B: insights into the biology of a laboratory workhorse. *J Bacteriol* 190(7):2597-2606.
31. Studier FW & Moffatt BA (1986) Use of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase to direct selective high-level expression of cloned genes. *J Mol Biol* 189(1):113-130.
32. Deng W, *et al.* (2003) Comparative genomics of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi strains Ty2 and CT18. *J Bacteriol* 185(7):2330-2337.
33. Forest CG, Ferraro E, Sabbagh SC, & Daigle F (2010) Intracellular survival of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi in human macrophages is independent of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island (SPI)-2. *Microbiology* 156(Pt 12):3689-3698.

34. Iguchi A, *et al.* (2009) Complete genome sequence and comparative genome analysis of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* O127:H6 strain E2348/69. *J Bacteriol* 191(1):347-354.
35. Tarr PI, *et al.* (2000) Iha: a novel *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 adherence-conferring molecule encoded on a recently acquired chromosomal island of conserved structure. *Infection and immunity* 68(3):1400-1407.
36. Deng W, Li Y, Vallance BA, & Finlay BB (2001) Locus of enterocyte effacement from *Citrobacter rodentium*: sequence analysis and evidence for horizontal transfer among attaching and effacing pathogens. *Infection and immunity* 69(10):6323-6335.
37. McDonough KA & Hare JM (1997) Homology with a repeated *Yersinia pestis* DNA sequence IS100 correlates with pesticin sensitivity in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. *J Bacteriol* 179(6):2081-2085.
38. Auweter SD, *et al.* (2011) Quantitative mass spectrometry catalogues *Salmonella* pathogenicity island-2 effectors and identifies their cognate host binding partners. *J Biol Chem* 286(27):24023-24035.
39. Kroger C, *et al.* (2013) An infection-relevant transcriptomic compendium for *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium. *Cell host & microbe* 14(6):683-695.
40. van der Heijden J, *et al.* (2015) Investigating the relationship between oxidative stress, endogenous reactive oxygen species and bacterial survival. *Data in Brief* submitted.
41. Seaver LC & Imlay JA (2001) Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase is the primary scavenger of endogenous hydrogen peroxide in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 183(24):7173-7181.
42. Kussmaul L & Hirst J (2006) The mechanism of superoxide production by NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I) from bovine heart mitochondria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103(20):7607-7612.
43. Frick K, Schulte M, & Friedrich T (2015) Reactive Oxygen Species Production by *Escherichia coli* Respiratory Complex I. *Biochemistry* 54(18):2799-2801.
44. Robbe-Saule V, Coynault C, Ibanez-Ruiz M, Hermant D, & Norel F (2001) Identification of a non-haem catalase in *Salmonella* and its regulation by RpoS (sigmaS). *Molecular microbiology* 39(6):1533-1545.
45. v. Nägeli KW (1893) Über oligodynamische Erscheinungen in lebenden Zellen. (Gesellsch. Ges. Naturwiss, Bd XXXIII Abt 1., Schweiz).
46. Imlay JA (2014) The mismetallation of enzymes during oxidative stress. *J Biol Chem* 289(41):28121-28128.
47. Ross SA & Burrows CJ (1998) Nickel Complexes of Cysteine- and Cystine-Containing Peptides: Spontaneous Formation of Disulfide-Bridged Dimers at Neutral pH. *Inorg. Chem.* 37(20):5358-5363.
48. Robbe-Saule V & Norel F (1999) The rpoS mutant allele of *Salmonella typhi* Ty2 is identical to that of the live typhoid vaccine Ty21a. *FEMS microbiology letters* 170(1):141-143.
49. Ezraty B, Henry C, Herisse M, Denamur E, & Barras F (2014) Commercial Lysogeny Broth culture media and oxidative stress: a cautious tale. *Free radical biology & medicine* 74:245-251.
50. Barras F & Fontecave M (2011) Cobalt stress in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*: molecular bases for toxicity and resistance. *Metallomics : integrated biometal science* 3(11):1130-1134.
51. Winter SE, *et al.* (2010) Gut inflammation provides a respiratory electron acceptor for *Salmonella*. *Nature* 467(7314):426-429.
52. Jones-Carson J, *et al.* (2014) Nitric oxide from IFN γ -primed macrophages modulates the antimicrobial activity of beta-lactams against the intracellular pathogens *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and Nontyphoidal *Salmonella*. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 8(8):e3079.

Highlights

- Redox-sensitive GFP can be used in numerous bacteria to determine redox dynamics.
- Bacteria possess substantially different abilities to detoxify hydrogen peroxide.
- The Michaelis Menten kinetics of detoxifying enzymes can be determined using roGFP2.
- Nickel and zinc ions each contribute to oxidative stress in a different manner.
- Antibiotics do not induce oxidative stress inside *Salmonella*.