



Mimetic biomembrane–AuNPs–graphene hybrid as matrix for enzyme immobilization and bioelectrocatalysis study

Tianshu Wang^{a,b}, Jiyang Liu^b, Jiangtao Ren^b, Jin Wang^{a,b,c,*}, Erkang Wang^{b,**}

^a College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130012, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, Jilin 130022, China

^c Department of Chemistry, Physics and Applied Mathematics, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3400, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 February 2015

Received in revised form

5 May 2015

Accepted 10 May 2015

Keywords:

Graphene nanocomposite

Hydrogen peroxide

Enzyme

Direct electrochemistry

Phospholipid

ABSTRACT

A hybrid composite constructed of phospholipids bilayer membrane, gold nanoparticles and graphene was prepared and used as matrices for microperoxidase-11 (MP11) immobilization. The direct electrochemistry and corresponding bioelectrocatalysis of the enzyme electrode was further investigated. Phospholipid bilayer membrane protected gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were assembled on polyelectrolyte functionalized graphene sheets through electrostatic attraction to form a hybrid bionanocomposite. Owing to the biocompatible microenvironment provided by the mimetic biomembrane, microperoxidase-11 entrapped in this matrix well retained its native structure and exhibited high bioactivity. Moreover, the AuNPs–graphene assemblies could efficiently promote the direct electron transfer between the immobilized MP11 and the substrate electrode. The as-prepared enzyme electrode presented good direct electrochemistry and electrocatalytic responses to the reduction of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). The resulting H_2O_2 biosensor showed a wide linear range (2.0×10^{-5} – 2.8×10^{-4} M), a low detection limit (2.6×10^{-6} M), good reproducibility and stability. Furthermore, this sensor was used for real-time detection of H_2O_2 dynamically released from the tumor cells MCF-7 in response to a pro-inflammatory stimulant.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The direct electrochemistry of enzyme has remained a focus of study in recent years [1–3]. It can not only be applied to investigate electron transfer mechanisms in biological systems [4,5], but also offers great potential in many fields such as the construction of ultrasensitive third-generation biosensors, enzyme-based biofuel cells and bioelectrocatalysis [6,7]. However, it is difficult to realize direct electron transfer between enzymes and substrate electrodes due to their easy denaturation and deeply embedded redox active centers [8]. Therefore, one of the main challenges in this area is to explore appropriate host matrices that can both provide a biocompatible microenvironment for enzymes and enhance direct electron transfer between the enzymes and

substrate electrodes [9,10]. Among various materials, biomaterials (e.g., phospholipids [11] and chitosan [12]) and two-dimensional layered inorganic nanomaterial (graphene [13], layered titanate [14], and layered hydroxide nanosheets [2]) are very attractive as support matrices for enzymes immobilization due to their intrinsic properties and unique structure.

Recently, graphene-based bionanocomposites have attracted great attention because of their unique and novel properties as structural or functional biomaterials [15,16]. With appropriate designs, graphene-based bionanocomposites can be furnished with tailor-made biological properties and improved biocompatibility derived from the modified biomaterials, effectively extending the application of graphene in biological science [17]. Artificial phospholipid membrane has been used as a mimetic biomembrane model in studying some membrane properties and biological functions [18–20]. It has been reported that lipid films can bind enzymes deeply, maintain their bioactivity, and offer a perfect biological microenvironment for electrochemical biosensors [11].

In this work, a biocompatible and conductive graphene-based bionanocomposite was prepared through facile electrostatic assembly of positively charged polyelectrolyte modified graphene

* Corresponding author at: State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, Jilin 130022, China. Tel.: +86 431 85262003; fax: +86 431 85689711.

** Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 431 85262003; fax: +86 431 85689711.

E-mail addresses: jin.wang.1@stonybrook.edu (J. Wang), ekwang@ciac.jl.cn (E. Wang).

and negatively charged phospholipid bilayer membrane protected AuNPs. The as-synthesized bionanocomposite was further used as a host matrix for microperoxidase-11 (MP11) immobilization. An enzyme electrode was fabricated by casting a suspension of MP11 mixed with this bionanocomposite onto a glassy carbon electrode (GCE). The resulting enzyme electrode presented a good direct electron transfer reaction and electrocatalytic response to the reduction of H_2O_2 . The as-prepared biosensor was successfully employed for H_2O_2 detection and exhibited good performance. Furthermore, a rapid and real-time measurement was developed to detect the H_2O_2 released from living cells in response to a pro-inflammatory stimulant.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Graphite was obtained from Alfa Aesar. Poly (diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDDA, MW < 10,000, 35 wt%) was purchased from Aldrich. Microperoxidase-11 (MP11), from horse heart cytochrome c, was obtained from Sigma (USA). 1,2-Dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidyl glycerol (DMPG) was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. (Alabaster, AL). $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was obtained from Shanghai Chemical Factory (Shanghai, China). Unless otherwise stated, all other chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification. The ultrapure water (18.25 M Ω cm) used to prepare all aqueous solutions was purified with a Milli-Q Plus system (Millipore).

2.2. Fabrication of the sensing interface

The PDDA-modified-graphene nanosheets (PDDA-G) and the DMPG bilayer-membrane-protected AuNPs (DMPG-AuNPs) were synthesized respectively according to the previous work [21,22]. A 0.2 mL of PDDA-G (0.1 mg/mL) solution and 1 mL of DMPG-Au solution were mixed together overnight and centrifuged at 3000 rpm/min for 5 min, then the sediment (DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G) was obtained. The sediment (DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G) was resuspended with water at the final concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. The process of constructing DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G was shown in Scheme 1. Then, a glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3 mm in diameter) was polished successively with 1.0 μm , 0.3 μm , and 0.05 μm alumina slurry, sonicated in ultrapure water for 1 min, and dried with high purified nitrogen steam. Aqueous solutions of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G (0.2 mg/mL, 0.1 mL) and MP11 (0.2 mg/mL, 0.1 mL) were mixed together, and 5 μL of the mixture was dropped onto the freshly polished GCE surface and dried at 4 $^\circ\text{C}$ in order to obtain the MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE. As a control experiment, MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/GCE, MP11/PDDA-G/GCE, DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE and hemin/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE were prepared according to the same procedures.

2.3. Electrochemical measurements


Electrochemical experiments were carried out with a CHI620a electrochemical analyzer (Shanghai Chenhua Equipment, China). A conventional three-electrode cell was employed in the PBS solution (0.1 M, pH 7.0) including a modified glassy carbon electrode as the working electrode, an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode, and a platinum wire as the counter electrode.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the as-prepared biosensor

As shown in Fig. 1, the TEM image of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G demonstrates that DMPG-AuNPs are uniformly assembled on the PDDA-G surface. Because DMPG-AuNPs could be absorbed on both sides of PDDA-G, it is beneficial for maintaining a high surface area and providing a well biological microenvironment for enzymes immobilization. As MP11 is a heme-containing enzyme [23,24], UV-vis spectrometry was used to examine its secondary structure of MP11 because the information of heme enzymes conformational changes and denaturation can be obtained from the position of Soret absorption band of heme [25]. For the case of MP11 immobilized in the matrix of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G, a nearly identical position of Soret absorption band is observed at the same wavelength with the dry MP11 film in Fig. 2, demonstrating that the MP11 retains a similar secondary structure and the matrix of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G might provide a favorite biological micro-environment for the immobilization of MP11.

Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of various modified GCE were obtained in N2-saturated phosphate-buffered saline (PBS: 0.1 M, pH 7.0), as shown in Fig. 3A. Compared with MP11/GCE, the current of MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE increased obviously. Moreover, MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE gives a pair of well-defined redox peaks; the anodic and cathodic peaks are located at -0.27 V and -0.35 V respectively, indicating that the composite played the role of “electrical wire” in the biosensor. However, no obvious electrochemical response is observed for either MP11/PDDA-G/GCE or DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE shown in Fig. 3. For the MP11 immobilized either in the DMPG-AuNPs or the PDDA-G matrix, a pair of peaks with low magnitudes were observed. And for the case of hemin immobilized in the matrix of DMPG-Au/PDDA-G, no obvious redox peak was observed. When MP11 was immobilized in the matrix of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G hybrid, the peak current response became significantly enhanced. The peak-to-peak potential separation is about 80 mV, lower than that of MP11/chitosan/graphene [26], indicating an efficient direct electron transfer process between the active center of MP11 and the substrate electrode. This mainly attributes to a combination of the individual benefits of DMPG-AuNPs and PDDA-G, and a high electrochemical active area of the DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G nanocomposite material. The current response of MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G as a function of scan rate is shown in Fig. 4. Both the anodic and cathodic peaks were found to be increased linearly with the scan rate increasing from 50 mV/s to 500 mV/s. The linear dependence of peak current on scan rate is



Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of the self-assembly process of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G.




Fig. 1. TEM image of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G.




Fig. 2. UV spectra of dry MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G (a) and MP11 and (b) films on quartz slides.




Fig. 3. A: The CVs of MP11/GCE (a), PDDA-G/GCE (b), DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE (c) and MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE (d) in N_2 -saturated PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0) at the scan rate of 100 mV/s. B: The CVs of MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/GCE (a), MP11/PDDA-G/GCE (b), hemin/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE (c) and MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE (d) in N_2 -saturated PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0); scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹.




Fig. 4. The CV of MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE at scan rates of 50, (a) 100, (b) 200, (c) 300, (d) 400, (e) 500 mV/s, and (f) in N_2 -saturated PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0). Inset: the linear dependence of peak currents on scan rate.




Fig. 5. The electrocatalytic responses of the biosensor toward H_2O_2 in N_2 -saturated PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0) at the scan rate of 100 mV/s and the concentrations of H_2O_2 were 0, 40, 80, 120, 160, 200, 240, and 280 μ M. Inset: the linear relationship between the cathodic peak current and the concentration of H_2O_2 .

shown in the inset of Fig. 4, revealing that the electron transfer between the underlying electrode and heme active site is a surface controlled electrochemical process.

3.2. Electrocatalytic reduction of H_2O_2

Fig. 5 shows the electrocatalytic response of MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G towards H_2O_2 in N_2 -saturated PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0). The cyclic voltammograms were measured at different concentrations of H_2O_2 in the potential range from 0.2 V to -0.8 V. In the absence of H_2O_2 , a pair of well-defined redox peaks are observed, which is ascribed to the direct electrochemistry of the surface-confined MP11. When H_2O_2 was consecutively added into the electrolyte solution, the cathodic peak current gradually increased, this increase contributed to the electrocatalytic reduction process of H_2O_2 . Along with the reduction of H_2O_2 , the immobilized MP11 was correspondingly oxidized. Thus, the anodic peak current originated from the oxidation of MP11 gradually decreased and finally disappeared on increasing the concentration of H_2O_2 . The inset in Fig. 5 shows a linear relationship between the cathodic peak current and H_2O_2 concentration from 2.0×10^{-5} M to 2.8×10^{-4} M, exhibiting a correlation coefficient of 0.998. The detection limit of the biosensor was estimated to be 2.6×10^{-6} M based on a signal/noise ratio of 3. Using the Lineweaver–Burk equation for analyzing electrocatalytic reduction of H_2O_2 , the apparent Michaelis–Menten constant (K_m) and the maximum electrode sensitivity (i_m/K_m) were calculated to be 0.043 mM and 243.7 μ A/mM, respectively [27]. The low value of K_m demonstrates that the as-prepared enzyme electrode possesses high catalytic




Fig. 6. The responses of the biosensor in N_2 -saturated PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0) with the addition of fMLP at 50 s, with MCF-7 cells (a) and without MCF-7 cells (b).

efficiency for H_2O_2 reduction, attributed to the unique properties of the nanocomposite which can provide a favorable micro-environment for MP11 and improve efficient electron transfer between MP11 and substrate electrode.

3.3. Stability and reproducibility

The reproducibility of the biosensor was obtained by detecting a given concentration (120 μM) of H_2O_2 through cyclic voltammetry measurement. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the biosensor was 2.19% for seven successive CV measurements using the same electrode. Five electrodes were used to evaluate the electrode-to-electrode reproducibility under the same condition, and an RSD of 5.92% was obtained. The long term stability was checked with two electrodes, the electrodes remained 99.7% of the activity for 24 h and 96.3% for 40 days. From all the results, the as-prepared biosensor exhibited a good reproducibility and stability for H_2O_2 detection, which might be ascribed to the excellent biocompatibility of the matrix of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G.

3.4. Detection of H_2O_2 released from MCF-7 cells

H_2O_2 can serve as an important signaling molecule in modulating various biological processes including immune cell activation, apoptosis, vascular remodeling, and stomatal closure [28]. H_2O_2 is also a by-product generated from cellular signaling, biochemical and physiological processes [29]. Cells can acutely release H_2O_2 in response to pro-inflammatory stimulation [30]. Therefore, real-time detection of H_2O_2 dynamic release process from living cells is of great importance in understanding its roles in cellular physiology. Herein, N-Formylmethionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP) was used as a pro-inflammatory stimulant to induce H_2O_2 release from tumor cells MCF-7. Due to its good sensitivity and stability, the constructed biosensor was applied for real-time detection of H_2O_2 released from cancer cells MCF-7. Around 1.0×10^6 cells were suspended in 10 ml of PBS buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.0) for electrochemical measurement. As shown in Fig. 6, after treated with 300 μM fMLP, a significant current increase of 0.0367 μA is observed and the response reaches a plateau after 150 s when diffusion achieves equilibrium. As a control experiment, the sensor is not responsive to fMLP in the absence of MCF-7 cells. From the result, the biosensor of MP11/DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G/GCE might have the potential in studying dynamic detection of the biological process involving H_2O_2 .

4. Conclusion

In this study, we demonstrate the use of DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G hybrids as an efficient matrix for MP11 immobilization and

corresponding direct electrochemical study. Owing to the well biocompatibility of the DMPG bilayer and the excellent electrical conductivity of graphene and AuNPs, the DMPG-AuNPs/PDDA-G can provide a favorable biological microenvironment for maintaining MP11 activity and promote the direct electron transfer between enzymes and the underlying electrode. The as-prepared enzyme biosensor presented high electrocatalysis activity, as well as good stability and reproducibility, for H_2O_2 detection. A wide linear range from $2.0 \times 10^{-5} M$ to $2.8 \times 10^{-4} M$ and a detection limit of $2.6 \times 10^{-6} M$ have been obtained. Furthermore, the biosensor was successfully used for real-time detection of the trace amount of H_2O_2 released by the cancer cells MCF-7 in response to a pro-inflammatory stimulant. The biosensor shows a great potential for construction of the third-generation sensor and is expected to be applied in the pathological and physiological studies.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant nos. 11174105, 91227114 and 21305127.

References

- [1] C. Leger, P. Bertrand, *Chem. Rev.* 108 (2008) 2379–2438.
- [2] X. Chen, C. Fu, Y. Wang, W. Yang, D. Evans, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 24 (2008) 356–361.
- [3] C. Shan, H. Yang, J. Song, D. Han, A. Ivaska, L. Niu, *Anal. Chem.* 81 (2009) 2378–2382.
- [4] S. Nakamura, T. Mashino, M. Hirobe, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 33 (1992) 5409.
- [5] M. Khosraneh, A. Mahmoudi, H. Rahimi, K. Nazari, A.A. Moosavi-Movahedi, *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 22 (2007) 677–684.
- [6] S. Tian, Q. Zhou, Z. Gu, X. Gu, L. Zhao, Y. Li, J. Zheng, *Talanta* 107 (2013) 324–331.
- [7] K.J. Reszka, Y. O'Malley, M.L. McCormick, G.M. Denning, B.E. Britigan, *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 36 (2004) 1448–1459.
- [8] C. Gutiérrez-Sánchez, D. Olea, M. Marques, V. Fernández, I. Pereira, M. Vélez, A. De Lacey, *Langmuir* 27 (2011) 6449–6457.
- [9] V. Razumas, J. Kazlauskaitė, R. Vidziunaite, *Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg.* 39 (1996) 139–143.
- [10] H. Yang, Z.H. Huang, H.J. Jiang, J.H. Zhou, T.H. Lu, F.B. Wang, W. Xing, *Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin.* 16 (2000) 527–532.
- [11] G. Li, X. Liu, L. Du, E. Wang, *Electroanalysis* 20 (2008) 1421–1426.
- [12] K. Zhou, Y. Zhu, X. Yang, J. Luo, C. Li, S. Luan, *Electrochim. Acta* 55 (2010) 3055–3060.
- [13] H. Wu, J. Wang, X. Kang, C. Wang, D. Wang, J. Liu, I. Aksay, Y. Lin, *Talanta* 80 (2009) 403–406.
- [14] Y. Zhang, P. He, N. Hu, *Electrochim. Acta* 49 (2004) 1981–1988.
- [15] Y. Fang, S. Guo, C. Zhu, Y. Zhai, E. Wang, *Langmuir* 26 (2010) 11277–11282.
- [16] J. Liu, X. Wang, T. Wang, D. Li, F. Xi, J. Wang, E. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 6 (2014) 19997–20002.
- [17] J. Liu, J. Wang, T. Wang, D. Li, F. Xi, J. Wang, E. Wang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 65 (2015) 281–286.
- [18] G. Khelashvili, D. Harries, *Chem. Phys. Lipids* 169 (2013) 113–123.
- [19] M. Fritzen-Garcia, V. Zoldan, I. Oliveira, V. Soldi, A. Pasa, T. Creczynski-Pasa, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 110 (2013) 374–382.
- [20] L. Zhang, X.P. Sun, Y.H. Song, X. Jiang, S.J. Dong, E.K. Wang, *Langmuir* 22 (2006) 2838–2843.
- [21] K. Liu, J. Zhang, G. Yang, C. Wang, J. Zhu, *Electrochem. Commun.* 12 (2010) 402–405.
- [22] L. Zhang, J. Liu, E. Wang, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 373 (2008) 202–205.
- [23] V. Razumas, T. Arnebrant, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 427 (1997) 1–5.
- [24] A. Yarman, T. Nagel, N. Gajovic-Eichelmann, A. Fischer, U. Wollenberger, F.W. Scheller, *Electroanalysis* 23 (2011) 611–618.
- [25] J. Liu, L. Han, T. Wang, W. Hong, Y. Liu, E. Wang, *Chem. Asian J.* 7 (2012) 2824–2829.
- [26] Y. Zhou, S. Liu, H. Jiang, H. Yang, H. Chen, *Electroanalysis* 22 (2010) 1323–1328.
- [27] Y. Liu, M. Wang, F. Zhao, Z. Guo, H. Chen, S. Dong, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 581 (2005) 1–10.
- [28] W. Chen, S. Cai, Q.-Q. Ren, W. Wen, Y.-D. Zhao, *Analyst* 137 (2012) 49–58.
- [29] I. Bejarano, M. Terron, S. Paredes, C. Barriga, A. Rodriguez, J. Pariente, *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 296 (2007) 77–84.
- [30] C. Jones, T. Li, E. Cowley, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 34 (2012) 552–563.