

Review

Genetically Encodable Fluorescent and Bioluminescent Biosensors Light Up Signaling Networks

Xin Zhou,¹ Sohum Mehta,¹ and Jin Zhang^{1,*}

Cell signaling networks are intricately regulated in time and space to determine the responses and fates of cells to different cues. Genetically encodable fluorescent and bioluminescent biosensors enable the direct visualization of these spatiotemporal signaling dynamics within the native biological context, and have therefore become powerful molecular tools whose unique benefits are being used to address challenging biological questions. We first review the basis of biosensor design and remark on recent technologies that are accelerating biosensor development. We then discuss a few of the latest advances in the development and application of genetically encodable fluorescent and bioluminescent biosensors that have led to scientific or technological breakthroughs.

Biosensors Illuminate Signaling Dynamics

Cells respond to a variety of internal and external cues through several signaling pathways that engage in complex, coordinated, and often dynamic networks [1]. These signaling networks are fundamental to determining proper cellular responses and functions, as well as for processing signal inputs at the systems level to maintain the well-being of the organism. In recent years, growing evidence has suggested that a large number of cell signaling events, including the activation of kinases and phosphatases, the recruitment of second messengers, and modulation of protein–protein interactions, depend on discrete spatial distribution and precise temporal regulation to produce diverse physiological outcomes [2,3]. The spatiotemporal aspects of signaling, however, are challenging to track properly and visualize dynamically within their native cellular context.

To meet these demands, a wide array of genetically encodable fluorescent and bioluminescent biosensors have been developed and tailored to visualize specific signaling events in a rapid, sensitive, and non-invasive way [4]. The state-of-the-art biosensor toolbox has been used, in conjunction with advanced live-cell imaging techniques, to monitor and quantify signaling dynamics with spatiotemporal precision within the endogenous cellular environment (Table 1). Biosensors offer unique advantages as powerful molecular tools for elucidating the molecular and cellular basis of biological processes, including genetic targetability, high spatial and temporal resolution, and the ability to provide quantitative live-cell information.

Over the past 20 years, advances in biosensor development have constantly pushed the boundaries of spatiotemporal resolution for imaging biological processes, such as signaling pathways in live cells, tissues, and intact organisms. We review latest progress in the development and application of biosensors to address challenging biological questions, focusing on super-resolution imaging of kinase signaling, ultrafast neurotransmitter signaling dynamics, and *in vivo* bioluminescence imaging. We highlight a few examples and discuss how future efforts can fill technological gaps and lead to new discoveries.

Highlights

Genetically encodable fluorescent and bioluminescent biosensors enable direct visualization of the spatiotemporal regulation of signaling networks within the native biological environment.

The successful construction of a biosensor depends on the design of sensing and reporting units. Recent advances, such as new designs, reporting units, and screening approaches, have greatly accelerated the development of biosensors.

Super-resolution FLINC (fluorescence fluctuation increase by contact) biosensors break the diffraction limit and allow the construction of high-resolution kinase activity maps.

Newly developed biosensors help to capture the dynamics of neurotransmitter release.

The successful pairing of an engineered luciferase with a synthetic substrate has led to the development of the AkaBLI bioluminescence imaging system to enable highly sensitive cell tracking *in vivo*, as well as long-term bioluminescence imaging in the brains of freely moving animals.

¹Department of Pharmacology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

*Correspondence: jzhang32@health.ucsd.edu (J. Zhang).



Table 1. Recently Developed Fluorescent and Bioluminescent Biosensors: Technical Details, Applications, Advantages, and Limitations^a

Feature	Name of biosensor	Readout	Biosensor engineering	Imaging techniques	Applications	Advantages and limitations	Refs
Fluorescent biosensors							
Multiplexed imaging	ExRai-KARs (PKA, PKC, Akt)	Excitation ratiometric	cpGFP	Widefield, multiplexed	Simultaneous tracking of multiple signaling activities, including kinase activities and second messengers in live cells at distinct subcellular localizations	Ultrasensitive sensors Compatible with multiplexed imaging Good temporal resolution Diffraction-limited spatial resolution	[5]
	RAB-AKARev, RAB-EKARev, RAB-ICUE	Intensity	ddFP	Widefield, multiplexed			[5,106]
	BlueKARs, sapphireKARs (PKA,PKC)	Intensity	cpBFP, cpSapphire	Widefield, multiplexed			[5]
IFP-based biosensors	iCasper	Intensity	cpIFP	<i>In vivo</i>	Tracking caspase-3 activity, highlighting apoptotic cells during morphogenesis and tumor development in <i>Drosophila</i>	Suitable for deep tissue and <i>in vivo</i> imaging because of low autofluorescence, light scattering, and absorption Compatible with multiplexed imaging BphP-derived IFPs are generally not bright, compared with GFP-like FPs. Biliverdin is required for BphP derived IFP, limiting application in some organisms and cell types	[107]
	mKate2-DEVDD-iRFP	Ratiometric	iRFP	Multiplexed	Detecting caspase-2 activation with EGFP-Bax translocation during apoptosis		[108]
	miSplit reporters NIR IκB reporter NIR Fucci	Intensity/BiFC	miRFP	Widefield, SR-SIM, <i>in vivo</i>	Tracking protein–protein interactions, cell-cycle progression, and NF-κB activation in live cells or animals		[109]
	Far-red and NIR cell-cycle reporters	Intensity/BiFC	smURFP	Widefield, SR-SIM, <i>in vivo</i>	smURFP engineered to increase biliverdin incorporation, brightness, and photostability		[110]
	NIR Rac1 biosensor, NIR JNKAR, NIR AKAR	NIR-FRET	miRFP670 miRFP720	Multiplexed	Visualizing the antagonism between Rac1 and RhoA		[13]
Newly engineered or enhanced biosensors via systematic approaches	AMPKAR	FRET	ECFP/cpVenus Cerulean/cpVenus	Widefield	Tracking AMPK activity in response to different types of stimulation at distinct subcellular locations in live cells	Systematic approaches as platforms to identify kinase-specific substrates, and to enhance biosensor performance	[14,17,18]
	ABKAR, Bim-ABKAR	FRET	Cerulean3/ cpVenusCerulean/ YPet	Widefield			[19]
	AMPKAR-EV	FRET	SECFP/YPet	Two-photon, <i>in vivo</i>	Delineate the regulation of AMPK in live cells and animals		[89]
	Eevee-ROCK	FRET	ECFP/YPet	Widefield	ROCK activation in early G1 phase, in apoptosis, and in different stages of the cell cycle and apoptosis		[16]
	ASAP3	Intensity	cpGFP	Two-photon, ULoVE, <i>in vivo</i>	Voltage dynamics, live-animal imaging		[25]
Super-resolution	FLINC-AKAR1, FLINC-EKAR1	FLINC	Photoswitchable FP, Dronpa and TagRFP-T	Widefield, SOFI	Tracking activities of PKA and ERK at a structural resolution of ~100 nm	Use reversibly switchable FPs No need for specialized equipment Structural resolution is below the limit of diffraction	[39]
	Venus-173-N-Orai1, STIM1-Venus-173-C	BiFC	Photoswitchable FP, cpDMVF, split at a.a. 181	Widefield, refSOFI	Visualizing the interaction of receptor tyrosine kinases HER2 and 3, and the dynamics of STIM1 and ORAI1 in ER-plasma		[111]

Table 1. (continued)

Feature	Name of biosensor	Readout	Biosensor engineering	Imaging techniques	Applications	Advantages and limitations	Refs
					membrane. 100 nm structural resolution in microscopy	Temporal resolution is higher than localization-based super-resolution imaging, e.g., PALM and STORM, but lower than conventional imaging	
	Hyper2	Intensity	cpYFP	STED	Tracking intracellular H ₂ O ₂ production in 100–200 nm microdomains	Requires specialized equipment Requires highly photostable dyes or FPs	[34]
	V1-ER, V2-Mito	BiFC	Venus, split	STED	Visualizing ER-mitochondria association under stress	Balanced spatiotemporal resolution	[112]
	Bak-rsEGFP2-N, Bcl-xL-rsEGFP2-C	BiFC	Photoswitchable FP, rsEGFP2, split at a.a.155	RESOLFT	Visualizing heterodimerization interaction between Bcl-xL and Bak as well as the dynamics of the complex on the mitochondrial membrane in live cells		[113]
	RN-KRas G12D, CRaf-RBD-RC	BiFC	Photoactivatable FP, PA-mCherry1, split at a.a.159	PALM	Visualizing interactions of small Ras GTPase and its effector Raf	Requires highly photostable dyes or FPs	[36]
	mEosN-EF-Tu, MreB-mEosC	BiFC	Photoconvertible FP, mEos3.2, split at a.a.164	PALM	Visualizing localization-dependent heterogeneous dynamics of MerB-EF-Tu	High spatial resolution Limited temporal resolution BiFC in is not suited for dynamic protein-protein interactions	[37]
	EB1-PACF	BiFC	Photoactivatable FP, PA-GFP, split	PALM	Homodimerization of microtubule plus-end hub protein EB1		[114]
	mIN150-NFAT1, bJun-mIN(151–165), bFos-mIC166, p α_s -mIN150, p β_1 -mIN(151–165), p γ_2 -mIC166	TFFC	Photoactivatable FP, mIrisFP, split at a.a. 150, 166	PALM	Visualizing the association of NFAT1, bJun, and bFos in Vero cells, as well as the interaction of α_s , β_1 , and γ_2 subunits of Gs ternary complex		[115]
Neurotransmitter imaging	dLight 1.1–1.5	Intensity	cpEGFP and DA receptor	<i>In vivo</i> , two-photon	Fast and sensitive detection of DA dynamics; compatible with <i>in vivo</i> imaging in freely behaving animals	High temporal precision and single-cell resolution Compatible with <i>in vivo</i> imaging	[26]
	GRAB _{DA11m} , GRAB _{DA1h} ; GRAB _{DA2m} , GRAB _{DA2h}	Intensity					[27,48]
	iGluSnFR	Intensity	cpEGFP and GluBP	<i>In vivo</i> , two-photon	Imaging of synaptic glutamate release at up to 10 Hz	Suitable for multiplexing. Intensity-based biosensors offer large dynamic ranges and high SNR, but are less quantitative than ratiometric biosensors	[45]
	iGlu _r , iGlu _u	Intensity					[46]
	GACH1.0, GACH2.0	Intensity	cpEGFP and M ₃ R	Widefield, one- and two-photon, <i>in vivo</i>	Monitoring acetylcholine signals <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>		[49]

(continued on next page)

Table 1. (continued)

Feature	Name of biosensor	Readout	Biosensor engineering	Imaging techniques	Applications	Advantages and limitations	Refs
Bioluminescent probes							
	Nano-lantern (Ca ²⁺), Nano-lantern (cAMP), Nano-lantern (ATP1)	BRET	Split luciferase RLuc8	Widefield	Tumor imaging in mice; tracking the dynamics of calcium, cAMP, and ATP	No need for external illumination Eliminate photobleaching Signals are highly specific to the monitored events owing to low background Compatible with multiplexed imaging	[57]
	Mito-CNLCa ²⁺ , ONL (Ca ²⁺)-H2B, CNL, YNL, ONL	BRET	Split luciferase RLuc8	Widefield, multiplexed	Visualizing subcellular structures, gene expression, and Ca ²⁺ dynamics in the mitochondria and nucleus	Dependent on cell permeability and biotransformation of luciferase substrates Suitable for deep tissue and <i>in vivo</i> imaging in awake freely moving animals	[59]
	GeNL, CeNL, YeNL, ReNL, OeNL; GeNL (Ca ²⁺)	BRET	Luciferase NLuc or split NLuc	Widefield, multiplexed	Visualizing subcellular structures and Ca ²⁺ dynamics in cardiomyocytes	Less-invasive <i>in vivo</i> imaging than fluorescence imaging, but lower spatial resolution	[60]
	Antares	BRET	CyOFF1 and NanoLuc	One- and two-photon, light-sheet, <i>in vivo</i>	Deep-tissue bioluminescence imaging of Antares with a Ca ²⁺ indicator using both cell-based and live-animal models.		[62]
	Antares2	BRET	CyOFF1 and TeLuc	Deep tissue	Deep-tissue bioluminescence imaging of an optimized Antares		[65]
	Orange CaMBI	BRET	Ca ²⁺ -sensitive Antares	Widefield, <i>in vivo</i>	Orange CaMBI reports calcium dynamics in single cells and transgenic mice		[63]
	LOTUS-V	BRET	NanoLuc Venus	Widefield	Imaging membrane voltage with optogenetic actuators and enabling long-term imaging		[64]
	AkaBLI	Bioluminescence	Akaluc and AkaLumine	Bioluminescence lightsheet	Deep-tissue and <i>in vivo</i> bioluminescence imaging of tumor cells and neurons, compatible with long-term imaging		[66]
	AMBER	Bioluminescence	Split luxAB	Bioluminescence <i>in vivo</i>	Imaging membrane voltage in multiple worms moving in different directions	No need for exogenous substrate Lower temporal resolution than some of the other voltage sensors	[73]

^aAbbreviations: a.a., amino acids; BIFC, bimolecular fluorescence complementation; dFPP, dimerization-dependent fluorescent protein (FP); refSOFI: reconstituted fluorescence-based SOFI; RESOLFT, reversible saturable optical fluorescence transitions; smURFP, small ultrared FP; SOFI, stochastic optical fluctuation imaging; SR-SIM, super-resolution structured illumination microscopy; TFFC, three-fragment fluorescence complementation, an extension of BIFC technology.

Recent Advances in Biosensor Development

The design of the sensing and reporting units (Box 1) often holds the key to successfully developing biosensors with high sensitivity and fidelity. Implementation of new designs and new reporting units generated by protein engineering has recently helped to greatly enhance


biosensor performance, such as by increasing dynamic range and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), as well as through multiplexing and *in vivo* imaging. For example, Mehta and coworkers recently reported a generalizable backbone for a suite of single-fluorophore kinase activity reporters (KARs) in various colors for sensitive and multiplexed tracking of signaling activities [5]. In the case of the excitation ratiometric A kinase activity reporter, ExRai-AKAR (Figure 1A), phosphorylation by PKA induces a conformation change of the reporter, which modulates the fluorescence excitation spectrum of circularly permuted GFP (cpGFP) and leads to a specific shift in the excitation peak of cpGFP from ~400 nm to ~480 nm. ExRai-AKAR, which utilizes the ratio of the fluorescence intensities at these two excitation wavelengths as a readout for kinase activity, was found to exhibit threefold and twofold increases in dynamic range and SNR compared with the best-performing fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based PKA reporter, AKAR4. Using these probes with different colors and subcellular targeting, they were also able to perform six-fold multiplexed imaging of distinct signaling activities simultaneously, such as PKA/cAMP/ERK/Ca²⁺, at different subcellular localizations in single living cells [5].

Parallel efforts in fluorescent protein (FP) engineering have improved brightness [6] and have even yielded entirely new reporting units such as IR fluorescent proteins (IFPs) [7–9]. Owing to their near-IR (NIR) excitation and emission wavelengths (~650–900 nm), IFPs open new avenues for deep-tissue and *in vivo* fluorescence imaging [8,10,11] by minimizing autofluorescence as well as the absorption and scattering of excitation and emission light by endogenous cellular components [12]. Extending the color palette of IFPs further enables the development of NIR-FRET biosensors based on the aforementioned modular design. In recent work by Shcherbakova and colleagues, the first fully NIR-FRET pair, miRFP670 and miRFP720, was utilized to generate NIR-FRET biosensors for Rac1 GTPase (Figure 1B), as well as for the kinases PKA and JNK, that are spectrally compatible with common CFP/YFP FRET biosensors [13]. This allowed the

Box 1. The Basics of Biosensor Design

All genetically encodable biosensors are designed to convert a specific intracellular or extracellular signal, such as signaling enzyme activity, protein–protein interactions, or changes in second messenger concentrations, into a measurable fluorescent or bioluminescent readout. Therefore, biosensors enable the direct visualization of signaling molecule activity within the native cellular context. Generally, biosensors consist of two essential components: a sensing unit and a reporting unit [85]. The sensing unit is responsible for detecting changes in the target biological events, whereas the reporting unit is responsible for converting these changes into measurable signals. In some cases, the functions of both the sensing unit and reporting unit can be fulfilled by a fluorescent protein (FP); for example, cellular analytes (such as chloride, iodide) [86] or intracellular pH changes can directly affect the chromophore of an FP and sensitize its fluorescence [87]. More commonly, the sensing unit is derived from endogenous proteins or peptide sequences that are sensitive to the target signaling event (e.g., phosphorylation or second messenger levels) [4], and the reporting unit consists of one or more FPs or luciferases configured to translate signaling-induced changes in the sensing unit into one of several types of quantifiable signals, including fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) [13,88–91], fluorescence intensity [23–25,28,92,93], excitation or emission ratio [5,94,95], and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) [64,96,97].

The modular construction of biosensors has proven to be highly generalizable because individual components can be optimized to enhance biosensor performance or can be specifically modified to monitor several major cellular signaling molecules, including protein kinases [91,98], GTP hydrolases (GTPases) [99], and second messengers [4]. This modularity is exemplified by the design of kinase activity reporters (KARs) in which a kinase substrate peptide paired with a phosphoamino acid-binding domain (PAABD) is sandwiched between two FPs [78,85]. Upon phosphorylation, binding of the substrate peptide and PAABD generates a conformation change, leading to a change in FRET. Engineering different substrate–PAABD pairs has thus facilitated the development of a diverse family of FRET-based sensors for various protein kinases, including for PKA [13,100–102], PKC [103], ERK [19,102,104], and CaMKII [105]. Many outstanding reviews [4,78] are available to illustrate the design details of biosensors, and a comprehensive database for fluorescent biosensors can be found at biosensorDB.ucsd.edu.



Trends in Biochemical Sciences

Figure 1. Advances in Biosensor Design and Engineering. (A) (Left) fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based kinase activity reporters (KARs) such as AKAR utilize an engineered molecular switch in which a phosphorylation (e.g., T→pT)-dependent interaction between a substrate for a kinase of interest (e.g., PKA) and a phosphoamino acid-binding domain (e.g., FHA1) modulates FRET between a pair of fluorescent proteins (FPs) such as Cerulean (e.g., CFP) and cpVenus (e.g., YFP). (Right) Mehta *et al.* transplanted this molecular switch onto circularly permuted GFP (cpGFP), yielding a new suite of excitation ratiometric KARs (ExRai-KARs) [5]. As shown for ExRai-AKAR, PKA-mediated phosphorylation (T→pT) of the sensor induces a conformational change that shifts the maximum excitation wavelength from 400 nm to 480 nm. (B) (Left) Several groups have utilized bacterial phytochromes (BphPs) to engineer IR FPs (IFPs) that are capable of undergoing excitation and emission in the near-IR (NIR) region of the electromagnetic spectrum. IFPs contain the PAS and GAF domains from BphP and utilize biliverdin, an endogenous heme catabolite, as their chromophore. (Right) By engineering IFPs with different excitation/emission wavelengths, Shcherbakova *et al.* generated an all-NIR FRET pair, miRFP670/miRFP720, allowing them to construct NIR FRET sensors for monitoring Rac1 activation (shown), as well as PKA and JNK kinase activity [13]. (C) High-throughput approaches are highly desirable for accelerating biosensor engineering and optimization. Villette *et al.* recently developed a novel screening system (Left) involving direct transfection of linear PCR products from a library of ASAP2f variants into mammalian cells, followed by high-throughput, automated, electroporation-based voltage screening. Multiple rounds of screening ultimately yielded the enhanced voltage indicator ASAP3 (Right) that contains six amino acid changes (highlighted in blue) with respect to ASAP2f

(Figure legend continued at the bottom of the next page.)

authors to perform multiplexed FRET imaging and directly visualize the antagonism between Rac1 and RhoA in the same cell during cell-edge movement [13].

On the other hand, the specificity and fidelity of biosensors largely rely on the sensing unit, typically a protein or peptide that undergoes an intrinsic or engineered conformation change in response to biological events. For example, to design a kinase activity reporter, it is crucial to identify a substrate peptide specific to a kinase of interest as part of the sensing unit. Recently, systematic approaches have been developed to identify suitable and kinase-specific substrates to improve biosensor specificity, as exemplified by the use of position-scanning peptide library screening for AMPK substrates [14] and the kinase-interacting substrate screening (KISS) approach [15] for Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) substrates [16]. Work performed using various AMPK activity biosensors has since revealed distinct subcellular patterns of AMPK activity [14,17,18], as well as the complex regulation of AMPK by PKA at the plasma membrane [19]. Although biosensor optimization involves rational protein design and empirical, trial-and-error testing, additional systematic approaches have also been successfully used to accelerate the creation of improved biosensors for pathways other than kinase signaling, including genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs) [20–22], genetically encoded voltage indicators (GEVIs) [23–25], and biosensors for neurotransmitters [26–28]. Most recently, for example, Villette *et al.* developed an efficient screening platform that combines an electroporation-based screening system with direct PCR transfection into mammalian cells [25]. Through multiple rounds of structure-guided combinatorial saturation mutagenesis, this work yielded the improved voltage sensor, ASAP3 (Figure 1C), with a higher response, favorable kinetics, and efficient membrane localization. In combination with ULoVE (ultrafast local volume excitation) two-photon microscopy, ASAP3 was able to report subthreshold membrane potentials with subcellular resolution in deep mouse brain regions during behavioral tasks.

Recent advances in the development and application of new and improved biosensors (Table 1) promise to not only illuminate signaling dynamics in time and space but also make a significant impact on the future of medicine, given the rapid growth of *in vivo* imaging using next-generation biosensors. We next highlight several exciting advances in which biosensors help to address challenging biological questions and catalyze important scientific breakthroughs.

Refining Localized Biochemical Activities: Super-Resolution Imaging with Fluorescence Fluctuation Increase by Contact (FLINC)


Signaling molecules are spatially organized at multiple levels within cells, such as by anchoring to organelle membranes, partitioning into membrane microdomains, associating with scaffold proteins, and assembling into protein complexes. A signaling 'activity architecture' is thus built upon compartmentalization across length-scales ranging from a few nanometers at the single-molecule level to hundreds of nanometers for large complexes [3]. Genetically encodable biosensors enable the dynamics of localized biochemical activities to be visualized in live cells; however, the diffraction limit of visible light (200–250 nm) prevents the precise localization of biochemical activities at a comparable spatial scale via conventional light microscopy. On the other hand, super-resolution imaging methods based on patterned illumination or single-molecule localization have remarkably improved the spatial resolution beyond the diffraction limit. These methods, including stimulated emission depletion (STED), photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM), stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM), stochastic optical fluctuation

(highlighted in red) [25]. ASAP-family sensors utilize the voltage-induced conformation change in the voltage-sensing domain (VSD) of chicken (*Gallus gallus*) voltage-sensitive phosphatase (GgVSP) to modulate the fluorescence intensity of circularly permuted superfolder GFP (cp-sfGFP), which is inserted between the third and fourth helical segments of the VSD. Membrane depolarization results in a conformation change that decreases cp-sfGFP fluorescence intensity.

imaging (SOFI), and many others, have provided valuable biological insights into biomolecule localization with nanometer accuracy [29–33]; however, only a few examples address the challenges of visualizing biochemical activities in super-resolution, especially in the live-cell context. For example, live-cell imaging using STED microscopy requires particularly photostable fluorophores because of the high-energy illumination required for emission stimulation and depletion in STED mode. The intensity-based H_2O_2 biosensor, Hyper2, contains a highly photostable cpYFP that retains its sensitivity to H_2O_2 . Using the brightness of Hyper2 as a readout for cellular H_2O_2 levels in STED imaging, Mishina *et al.* tracked H_2O_2 production in live cells and measured H_2O_2 microdomains as small as 100–200 nm [34,35]. In addition, in a few cases of live-cell applications, photoactivatable [36], photoconvertible [37], and photoswitchable FPs [38] have been engineered to enable nano-scale imaging of protein–protein interactions based on bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC). However, BiFC is inherently irreversible and is limited to tracing *in situ* protein–protein interactions owing to the relatively slow kinetics of FP reconstitution and chromophore maturation.

A general method to visualize dynamic biochemical activities, such as kinase activities, in super-resolution in living cells is highly desirable. To address this gap, Mo and coworkers introduced a new class of genetically encodable biosensors that enable visualization of activity dynamics and protein–protein interactions at a resolution of ~100 nm, up to threefold better than the resolution limit of conventional microscopy (200–250 nm) [39,40]. These biosensors are based on the green FP Dronpa, a photoswitchable FP whose fluorescence can be reversibly switched on and off with 405 nm and 488 nm irradiation, respectively [41], and TagRFP-T, a non-switchable red FP [42] that exhibits weak, intrinsic fluorescence fluctuation ('blinking') behavior, like many basic FPs [43]. Close proximity to Dronpa specifically enhances the rate and probability of TagRFP-T blinking, a phenomenon the authors termed FLINC [39]. Dynamic changes in TagRFP-T blinking can be quantified via photochromic stochastic optical fluctuation imaging (pcSOFI), which utilizes statistical analyses of the fluorescence fluctuations in each pixel over time to generate super-resolution maps [44]. Although it achieves a spatial resolution below the diffraction limit, this imaging method is user-friendly because data acquisition can be accomplished using any off-the-shelf microscope capable of capturing fluctuations. Because FLINC shows similar sensitivity to FP distance and orientation as FRET, the team was able to build a new series of FLINC-based biosensors for kinase activities, as well as for protein–protein interactions, using FRET-based biosensors as a template. When combined with pcSOFI, these sensors allow changes in biochemical activities, such as PKA and ERK activities, to be converted to 'SOFI values', which reflect the 'intensity' of TagRFP-T blinking, and are used to generate super-resolution activity maps.

Using the novel super-resolution PKA activity biosensor FLINC-AKAR (Figure 2A), Mo *et al.* were able to observe highly active puncta at the plasma membrane of living cells in response to cAMP production [39], suggesting that PKA activity is strictly confined to discrete microdomains (mean diameter of 350 nm) rather than being uniformly distributed across the plasma membrane (Figure 2B). These highly active PKA microdomains were found to colocalize with clusters of plasma membrane AKAP79/AKAP5, a predominantly membrane-localized scaffold that binds to RII PKA holoenzymes and other regulators of PKA signaling [39]. The authors further propose a working model where AKAP clustering selectively increases the local concentration of PKA regulatory subunits, leading to enhanced recapture of diffusive catalytic subunits and PKA activity compartmentalization. This refined model of compartmentalized PKA signaling suggests that the precise spatial regulation of other biochemical activities can also be dissected with this new class of FLINC biosensors.



Trends in Biochemical Sciences

Figure 2. Super-Resolution Mapping of Biochemical Activities Using FLINC. (A) Mo *et al.* discovered a novel phenomenon, which they called fluorescence fluctuation induced by contact (FLINC), wherein the red fluorescent protein (FP) TagRFP-T exhibits a dramatic increase in spontaneous intensity fluctuations when in very close proximity to the green FP Dronpa [39]. Similarly to fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), FLINC can be utilized as a readout for genetically encoded biosensors through coupling to a conformation switch, thereby enabling super-resolution imaging of enzyme activities. For example, FLINC-based AKAR contains a PKA substrate fused to FHA1, which are sandwiched between Dronpa and TagRFP-T. PKA-dependent phosphorylation (T→pT) of the substrate induces binding by the FHA1 domain, leading to a conformation change and an increase in TagRFP-T intensity fluctuations. (B) FLINC-AKAR imaging in living cells using the super-resolution imaging technique pcSOFI (photochromic stochastic optical fluctuation imaging) yields a live-cell super-resolution map of PKA activity dynamics. In this example, HeLa cells expressing FLINC-AKAR localized to the plasma membrane were visualized before and after treatment with forskolin (Fsk) and 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) to activate PKA. Images generated through pcSOFI analysis reveal nanoscale domains of PKA activity (magnified in inset images) that are distributed non-uniformly across the cell surface. Although PKA activity clearly increases after stimulation (as indicated by the pseudocoloring), the signal remains confined within these nanodomains, suggesting tight compartmentalization of PKA activity. Scale bars, 10 μ m (full image) and 3 μ m (inset)

FLINC biosensors are the first series of biosensors that report signaling activities with a resolution under the diffraction limit. The ability to precisely localize biochemical activities, combined with more refined structural information from localization-based super-resolution microscopy and


multiplexed imaging at distinct subcellular localizations, provide the basis for unraveling the biochemical activity architecture of the cell. Although they are highly promising tools for elucidating signaling activities at the molecular scale, FLINC biosensors offer somewhat limited temporal resolution compared with conventional activity imaging. Specifically, each super-resolution activity map in a FLINC imaging time-course is generated from a series of fluctuation images acquired under high-intensity illumination, which causes photobleaching. Periods of darkness, typically on the order of a few minutes, must therefore be included between each acquisition sequence in a time-course to minimize this effect, which reduces the temporal resolution. However, it is hoped that engineering photostable FPs, improved imaging techniques, or new biosensor designs will help to enhance the temporal resolution of super-resolution activity imaging.

It's All About Timing: New Biosensors Capture Neurotransmitter Release

A fundamental goal in neuroscience is to uncover the relationship between the neuronal signaling pathways that underlie brain function and behavioral outputs such as movement and learning. The billions of neurons in the brain communicate through trillions of synapses, where chemical signals called neurotransmitters are released to excite or inhibit specific target neurons, whereas various neuromodulators are secreted throughout the brain to modify neuronal activity. Understanding how neuronal firing and neurotransmitter release are coordinated in space and time to influence brain pathways and govern complex behavior remains a major challenge. A key step in addressing this challenge is to capture the *in vivo* dynamics of neurotransmitters with high spatiotemporal resolution, sensitivity, and selectivity. Although widely used analytical chemistry techniques such as microdialysis and voltammetry permit the *in vivo* detection of neurotransmitters, they are limited by low temporal resolution on the order of minutes and low selectivity between neurotransmitters with nearly identical redox potential, respectively, and both lack the ability to precisely target cells of interest [26,27].

Great strides have been made in the recent development of genetically encodable FP-based biosensors for neurotransmitters. In contrast to the aforementioned analytical chemistry techniques, these biosensors offer high temporal precision and single-cell resolution. Many of these biosensors have been developed using proteins [45–47] or receptors [26,27,48,49] that are sensitive to neurotransmitter binding. For instance, Marvin *et al.* developed iGluSnFR, a single-FP sensor for glutamate (Glu), by inserting cpEGFP into the bacterial periplasmic glutamate/aspartate binding protein (GluBP). Upon Glu binding, GluBP is reconstituted, resulting in a ~fivefold fluorescence intensity increase [45]. iGluSnFR exhibits a high affinity and dynamic range for Glu binding, enabling visualization of Glu release at frequencies up to ~10 Hz (10 times per second) [45]. To resolve Glu dynamics at a higher frequency, Helassa *et al.* generated variants of iGluSnFR that have reduced Glu affinity by mutating residues in the GluBP binding pocket [46]. The resulting fast (iGlu_f) and ultrafast (iGlu_u) variants have comparable brightness to iGluSnFR, and their decreased Glu affinity enables more rapid glutamate dissociation from iGlu_f and iGlu_u, allowing fast and sensitive imaging of synaptic Glu release events at 100 Hz [46].

Another strategy to engineer neurotransmitter biosensors is to use a GPCR (G protein-coupled receptor) as the sensing unit, wherein the binding of neurotransmitters such as dopamine (DA) [26,27,48] or acetylcholine (ACh) [49] induces a conformation change in the GPCR. DA in particular plays an important role in motivation, movement, cognition, and reward-driven learning, and two series of DA biosensors have been developed to date: dLight [26] and GPCR activation-based DA (GRAB_{DA}) sensors [27]. By inserting cpEGFP into the third intracellular loop (IL3) of the human DA receptors D₁, D₂, and D₄, Patriarchi *et al.* generated and optimized variants of the dLight probe family (dLight1.1–1.5) which exhibit low-nanomolar to micromolar affinity for DA [26] (Figure 3A). Using a similar strategy, Sun *et al.* introduced cpEGFP into IL3 of the human D₂ DA receptor and



Trends in Biochemical Sciences

Figure 3. Novel Sensors Illuminate the Dynamics of Neurotransmitter Signaling. (A,B) Parallel work by Patriarchi *et al.* and Sun *et al.* has recently given rise to the dLight and GPCR activation-based dopamine (DA) GRAB_{DA} families of biosensors for monitoring DA signaling. These sensors feature (A) insertion of cpEGFP within the third intracellular loop of the D1-, D2-, or D4-type

(Figure legend continued at the bottom of the next page.)

systematically optimized the insertion site and linker sequence, while also tuning the affinity via mutation, yielding GRAB_{DA1m} and GRAB_{DA1h} that have moderate (~130 nM) and high (~10 nM) binding affinity, respectively [27]. DA binding causes a highly specific and rapid increase in the fluorescence intensity in both sensors, enabling spatiotemporally precise measurements of DA dynamics in live animals, and both sensors are also compatible with available optogenetic tools (Figure 3B).

The advent of these new DA biosensors has enabled detailed examination of how DA supports brain function. In a recent study, Mohebi *et al.* shed light on how DA release from dopaminergic neurons (i.e., neurons that release DA) that project from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) to the nucleus accumbens (NAc), one of the four major dopaminergic pathways (e.g., the mesolimbic pathway, sometimes called the 'reward' pathway), is regulated in the brains of rats performing a decision-making task [50]. In this pathway, the long axons of the VTA dopaminergic neurons extend to other parts of the brain, including the NAc, the dorsal striatum, and the prefrontal cortex, where the activation of these VTA neurons generates electrical signals (neuronal firing) that cause local DA release at these axon terminals. Although DA neuron firing can encode reward prediction errors (RPEs) as a learning signal, DA release can also reflect reward expectation as a motivation signal. To dissect how DA release is regulated to achieve these two distinct functions (i.e., learning and motivation), the authors measured DA cell firing in the VTA using single-cell optrode recording together with measurements of DA release in the NAc core using multiple approaches, including dLight, during the same task in freely moving rats. In this behavioral test the authors observed elevated DA release only in the NAc and regions of the prefrontal cortex, and not in the dorsal striatum. Furthermore, DA release ramps up when the rate of reward increases, which motivates rats to participate in the task; however, neuronal activity in the VTA of rats was not affected, suggesting that motivation-coding DA release is independent of the firing activity of VTA DA neurons. By contrast, both VTA DA neuron spiking activity and DA release were linked to reward availability and the reward itself, suggesting that DA release associated with DA neuron firing encodes RPEs to promote learning. Because the dLight probe enables the detection of highly dynamic fluctuations in DA release on subsecond timescales, which correlates with both state values and RPEs, it suggests that DA release coupled with corresponding changes in the firing rates of DA neurons serves as a global 'broadcast' to facilitate learning, whereas local DA release without DA neuron firing drives motivation (Figure 3C). Most recently, dLight has also been used to reveal reduced DA release in NF1 (neurofibromatosis type 1) mice, a model for an inherited neurological disorder [51], and to track fluctuations in DA release in the brains of mice across their sleep–wake cycle [52].

Given their high dynamic ranges and optimized kinetics, the newly developed single FP-based biosensors for DA [26,27], Glu [45,46], ACh [49], and GABA [47] have enabled the quantification of neurotransmitter release dynamics in the brains of freely behaving animals, one of the most crucial steps towards understanding the relationship between neuronal signaling pathways and brain functions. Improvements in biosensor performance (e.g., sensitivity and specificity), expanding the biosensor toolkit to simultaneously detect multiple signaling activities, and advanced microscopy techniques for *in vivo* functional brain imaging will further enable us to delineate how neuronal signaling patterns correlate with complex behavioral outputs.


human DA receptors such that (B) binding of DA to the receptor induces a conformation change that increases the fluorescence intensity of cpEGFP. (C) The advent of genetically encoded DA sensors is already helping to expand our understanding of DA neurotransmission in the brain. For example, Mohebi *et al.* recently used dLight as part of their efforts to unravel the contributions of dopaminergic neuronal activity (e.g., spiking) and DA release in reward-driven learning and reward-seeking behavior (e.g., motivation) in rats [50]. Their work revealed that both DA neuron firing (e.g., spiking, blue) and DA release (green) are associated with learning behavior, whereas spiking-independent DA release was associated with motivation.

Bioluminescence Goes *In Vivo*

Unlike fluorescence, bioluminescence involves light produced from a luciferase-catalyzed chemical reaction without the need for an external excitation source, thereby eliminating photobleaching, background interference, and autofluorescence. However, *in vivo* bioluminescence imaging has been hampered by low photon emission (i.e., quantum yield) [53], high tissue absorption and scattering in the visible spectrum [54], and low tissue permeability of luciferase substrates [55,56]. Considerable efforts have therefore been devoted to improving bioluminescence imaging systems. For example, whereas firefly luciferase (FLuc) and *Renilla* luciferase (RLuc) were engineered for live-cell imaging, their signals are dim compared with fluorescence. Nano-lantern (Yellow NL) is a chimeric protein consisting of an enhanced RLuc with a bright FP, Venus [57]. Nano-lantern offers roughly tenfold higher brightness than RLuc alone through bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) [58], where the excited-state energy produced during the RLuc-catalyzed oxidation of coelenterazine is nonradiatively transferred to the acceptor Venus, which emits photons with high efficiency, thus enhancing brightness [57]. By exploring different BRET acceptors, Takai *et al.* further developed cyan and orange Nano-lantern variants (CNL and ONL) [59]. However, the RLuc substrate coelenterazine is less stable than the natural substrate, luciferin, and is rapidly consumed in cell culture media. Suzuki *et al.* developed five color variants of a brighter, enhanced Nano-lantern (eNL) [60] that incorporates the highly optimized NanoLuc variant of deep-sea shrimp *Oplophorus gracilirostris* luciferase with its optimal substrate, furimazine [61], which is more stable and produces lower autoluminescence than coelenterazine. Chu *et al.* also developed a cyan-excitable orange-red FP, CyOFP1, to act as a BRET acceptor for NanoLuc, and the optimized fusion of CyOFP1 and NanoLuc, called Antares, improves BRET by approximately threefold and uses a lower concentration of substrate compared with FLuc [62]. Such improved sensitivity and expanded color variants allow multiplexed bioluminescence imaging [59,60,62] and tracking of the dynamics of subcellular structures [59,60,62], gene expression [59], and signaling events such as intracellular calcium [59,60,63] and voltage change [64], and even extend the application to deep-tissue imaging in living mice [62,65].

Perhaps the most striking example of efforts to extend the reach of bioluminescence is the recent development of the AkaBLI *in vivo* bioluminescence imaging (BLI) system based on AkaLuc for imaging in freely moving animals. Previously, Iwano and colleagues identified a synthetic analog of D-luciferin, AkaLumine [54] (Figure 4A), that emits NIR light when catalyzed by FLuc and has a favorable biodistribution in deep organs and can cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB). To develop a luciferase that favorably pairs with AkaLumine, the authors then performed directed evolution on the FLuc gene in the presence of AkaLumine to obtain AkaLuc, an improved luciferase with enhanced catalytic efficiency, bright NIR emission (~52-fold stronger than that from AkaLumine/FLuc), and high thermostability [66] (Figure 4B). The successful combination of AkaLuc and AkaLumine enables the detection of bioluminescence signals in deep tissues (Figure 4C), for example, in lung tumor cells, as well as the tracking of a few hippocampal neurons in response to exposure to new environments. More impressively, the authors were able to monitor bioluminescence signals for more than 1 year without signs of toxicity in marmosets injected with an AkaLuc-expressing AAV (adeno-associated virus) vector in the striatum [66]. The success of the AkaBLI system will certainly spark efforts to develop AkaLuc-based biosensors to visualize signaling dynamics in unrestrained live animals.

A major bottleneck in the development of most bioluminescence imaging systems, however, is the requirement for exogenous luciferin, whose luciferase-catalyzed oxidation triggers light emission. Engineering a bioluminescent system that produces both luciferase and luciferin could potentially solve this bottleneck. Two such bioluminescence systems have been identified to date: a bacterial bioluminescence system which utilizes the abundant cellular supply of reduced flavin mononucleotide



Trends in Biochemical Sciences

Figure 4. AkaBLI System for *In Vivo* Bioluminescence Imaging. (A) Chemical structures of D-luciferin and the red-shifted luciferase substrate AkaLumine. (B) The AkaBLI system consists of AkaLumine in conjunction with the novel luciferase AkaLuc, which was engineered through directed evolution of FLuc to more efficiently catalyze the ATP-dependent oxidation of AkaLumine and increase light output. The illustration is modeled on the structure of FLuc (PDB 2D1R). AkaLuc contains 28 amino acid substitutions with respect to FLuc. (C) Cell tracking in whole animals using AkaBLI. Abbreviations: AkaLuc, engineered FLuc; BLI, bioluminescence imaging; FLuc, firefly luciferase; PP_i, inorganic pyrophosphate.

as the luciferin source [67,68], and a fungal bioluminescence system that involves seven key genes for the biosynthesis of luciferase and luciferin [69,70]. More recently, two groups transplanted the fungal bioluminescence pathway to achieve autoluminescence in plants and utilized this system to study gene expression *in planta* [71,72]. Srinivasan *et al.* took advantage of the bacterial bioluminescence system and developed AMBER (autonomous molecular bioluminescent reporter), an indicator of membrane potential, for observing neural activity in freely moving animals (*Caenorhabditis elegans*) with a temporal resolution of seconds [73]. AMBER utilizes the membrane-bound *Ciona intestinalis* voltage-sensing domain (Ci-VSD) fused to an N-terminal flavin reductase phosphate (FRP) and a C-terminal BRET pair composed of a synthetic enhanced bacterial luciferase, eluxAB, and a bright yellow FP (YPet). As such, AMBER encodes genes to produce both luciferase and luciferin *in situ* and modulates luciferase activity as a function of membrane potential. An expanding toolbox of

Outstanding Questions

How can we use computational algorithms, such as deep learning methods, to facilitate biosensor design and optimization?

How can biosensor imaging approaches be integrated with powerful single-cell sequencing technology to provide a higher-resolution view of cellular activity differences among individual cells within distinct microenvironments?

bioluminescence-based biosensors targeting signaling pathways continues to emerge [59,60,63,64,73]. Given the increased brightness of bioluminescence systems and the lack of a requirement for external illumination, bioluminescence imaging will allow a range of *in vivo* applications to track signaling events in thick tissues and live animals, such as calcium and voltage dynamics, neurotransmitter release, and protein kinase activities.

Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives

As Sydney Brenner said, 'Progress in science depends on new techniques, new discoveries and new ideas, probably in that order' [74]. Genetically encodable biosensors have become indispensable molecular tools that provide numerous insights into the dynamic regulation and function of signaling pathways that are entangled within complex signaling networks. We have discussed recent advances in biosensor development, focusing on three recent examples of the development and application of fluorescent or bioluminescent biosensors. In these three examples, new biosensors or bioimaging systems were created to reveal crucial details of signaling dynamics and to address challenging biological questions or to achieve technological breakthroughs. These advances, combined with other exciting advances discussed elsewhere [75–78], will not only deepen our understanding of how signaling networks are coordinated to regulate the functions of living cells and organisms, as part of the ongoing quest for scientific knowledge, but will also open up avenues for exploring novel diagnostic, intervention, and treatment strategies for a myriad of diseases in the future. The field of genetically encodable biosensors is now rapidly expanding, but is far from saturated with respect to target diversity, sensitivity and selectivity, spatiotemporal resolution, FP and bioluminescent protein performance, imaging and processing techniques, and so on. For example, directed evolution has been widely used to increase biosensor dynamic range, multiphoton imaging technology has been developed and applied for tissue imaging [20,25,79,80], and a proof-of-concept protease biosensor has also been recently developed for use with photoacoustic imaging to enable even deeper tissue penetration [81,82]. Moving forward, future development of new biosensors may benefit from advances in computer-aided protein engineering [83,84] to generate stable and bright FPs, tune binding affinities for analytes, and engineer larger dynamic changes. Future endeavors, including combining biosensor imaging with single cell-based sequencing, will contribute to further illuminate the intricate biochemical activity architecture with high spatiotemporal resolution both in cells and *in vivo*, and to unravel the complex etiology of human diseases (see [Outstanding Questions](#)).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 MH111516, R35 CA197622, R01 DK073368, and R01 GM111665 to J.Z.) and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (FA9550-18-1-0051 to J.Z.). We thank Wei Lin for contributing the images in [Figure 2](#).

References

- Jordan, J.D. *et al.* (2000) Signaling networks: the origins of cellular multitasking. *Cell* 103, 193–200
- Kholodenko, B.N. *et al.* (2010) Signalling ballet in space and time. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11, 414–426
- Mehta, S. and Zhang, J. (2017) Illuminating the cell's biochemical activity architecture. *Biochemistry* 56, 5210–5213
- Greenwald, E.C. *et al.* (2018) Genetically encoded fluorescent biosensors illuminate the spatiotemporal regulation of signaling networks. *Chem. Rev.* 118, 11707–11794
- Mehta, S. *et al.* (2018) Single-fluorophore biosensors for sensitive and multiplexed detection of signalling activities. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 20, 1215–1225
- Lam, A.J. *et al.* (2012) Improving FRET dynamic range with bright green and red fluorescent proteins. *Nat. Methods* 9, 1005–1012
- Shu, X. *et al.* (2009) Mammalian expression of infrared fluorescent proteins engineered from a bacterial phytochrome. *Science* 324, 804–807
- Yu, D. *et al.* (2014) An improved monomeric infrared fluorescent protein for neuronal and tumour brain imaging. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3626
- Olinyk, O.S. *et al.* (2019) Smallest near-infrared fluorescent protein evolved from cyanobacteriochrome as versatile tag for spectral multiplexing. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 279
- Yu, D. *et al.* (2015) A naturally monomeric infrared fluorescent protein for protein labeling *in vivo*. *Nat. Methods* 12, 763–765
- Zhang, W.H. *et al.* (2018) Monitoring hippocampal glycine with the computationally designed optical sensor GlyFS. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14, 861–869
- Chernov, K.G. *et al.* (2017) Near-infrared fluorescent proteins, biosensors, and optogenetic tools engineered from phytochromes. *Chem. Rev.* 117, 6423–6446
- Shcherbakova, D.M. *et al.* (2018) Direct multiplex imaging and optogenetics of Rho GTPases enabled by near-infrared FRET. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14, 591–600

In vivo imaging using biosensors represents one of the most exciting strategies for studying human diseases. How do we achieve precise quantification of biochemical signals in 4D from *in vivo* imaging?

Multiplexed imaging promises the ability to simultaneously monitor the coordinated behaviors of multiple signaling molecules/pathways in time and space in a quantitative way. Is there a limit on the number of pathways that can be visualized with high sensitivity and specificity? How can we achieve a better understanding of signaling networks through multiplexed imaging and computational modeling?

Would signaling profiles obtained through imaging allow us to identify biomarkers for diagnosis and to track disease progression?

14. Tsou, P. *et al.* (2011) A fluorescent reporter of AMPK activity and cellular energy stress. *Cell Metab.* 13, 476–486
15. Amano, M. *et al.* (2015) Kinase-interacting substrate screening is a novel method to identify kinase substrates. *J. Cell Biol.* 209, 895–912
16. Li, C. *et al.* (2017) A FRET biosensor for ROCK based on a consensus substrate sequence identified by KISS Technology. *Cell Struct. Funct.* 42, 1–13
17. Miyamoto, T. *et al.* (2015) Compartmentalized AMPK signaling illuminated by genetically encoded molecular sensors and actuators. *Cell Rep.* 11, 657–670
18. Sample, V. *et al.* (2015) Polarized activities of AMPK and BRSK in primary hippocampal neurons. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 26, 1935–1946
19. Depry, C. *et al.* (2015) Visualization of compartmentalized kinase activity dynamics using adaptable BimKARs. *Chem. Biol.* 22, 1470–1479
20. Chen, T.W. *et al.* (2013) Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature* 499, 295–300
21. Dana, H. *et al.* (2016) Sensitive red protein calcium indicators for imaging neural activity. *eLife* 5, e12727
22. Akerboom, J. *et al.* (2013) Genetically encoded calcium indicators for multi-color neural activity imaging and combination with optogenetics. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 6, 2
23. Piatkevich, K.D. *et al.* (2018) A robotic multidimensional directed evolution approach applied to fluorescent voltage reporters. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14, 352–360
24. Kannan, M. *et al.* (2018) Fast, in vivo voltage imaging using a red fluorescent indicator. *Nat. Methods* 15, 1108–1116
25. Villette, V. *et al.* (2019) Ultrafast two-photon imaging of a high-gain voltage indicator in awake behaving mice. *Cell* 179, 1590–1608
26. Patriarchi, T. *et al.* (2018) Ultrafast neuronal imaging of dopamine dynamics with designed genetically encoded sensors. *Science* 360, eaat4422
27. Sun, F. *et al.* (2018) A genetically encoded fluorescent sensor enables rapid and specific detection of dopamine in flies, fish, and mice. *Cell* 174, 481–496
28. Platasa, J. *et al.* (2017) Directed evolution of key residues in fluorescent protein inverses the polarity of voltage sensitivity in the genetically encoded indicator ArcLight. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 8, 513–523
29. Hell, S.W. (2007) Far-field optical nanoscopy. *Science* 316, 1153–1158
30. Betzig, E. *et al.* (2006) Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. *Science* 313, 1642–1645
31. Rust, M.J. *et al.* (2006) Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM). *Nat. Methods* 3, 793–795
32. Moeyert, B. *et al.* (2014) PcSOFI as a smart label-based superresolution microscopy technique. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1148, 261–276
33. Dertinger, T. *et al.* (2009) Fast, background-free, 3D super-resolution optical fluctuation imaging (SOFI). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 22287–22292
34. Mishina, N.M. *et al.* (2015) Live-cell STED microscopy with genetically encoded biosensor. *Nano Lett.* 15, 2928–2932
35. Mishina, N.M. and Belousov, V.V. (2017) Live-cell STED Imaging with the HyPer2 Biosensor. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1663, 21–28
36. Nickerson, A. *et al.* (2014) Photoactivated localization microscopy with bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BIFC-PALM) for nanoscale imaging of protein–protein interactions in cells. *PLoS One* 9, e100589
37. Liu, Z. *et al.* (2014) Super-resolution imaging and tracking of protein–protein interactions in sub-diffraction cellular space. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4443
38. Hertel, F. *et al.* (2015) RefSOFI for mapping nanoscale organization of protein–protein interactions in living cells. *Cell Rep.* 14, 390–400
39. Mo, G.C. *et al.* (2017) Genetically encoded biosensors for visualizing live-cell biochemical activity at super-resolution. *Nat. Methods* 14, 427–434
40. Lu, K. *et al.* (2019) Fluorescent protein-based indicators for functional super-resolution imaging of biomolecular activities in living cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5784
41. Ando, R. *et al.* (2004) Regulated fast nucleocytoplasmic shuttling observed by reversible protein highlighting. *Science* 306, 1370–1373
42. Shaner, N.C. *et al.* (2008) Improving the photostability of bright monomeric orange and red fluorescent proteins. *Nat. Methods* 5, 545–551
43. Dickson, R.M. *et al.* (1997) On/off blinking and switching behaviour of single molecules of green fluorescent protein. *Nature* 388, 355–358
44. Dedecker, P. *et al.* (2012) Widely accessible method for superresolution fluorescence imaging of living systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 10909–10914
45. Marvin, J.S. *et al.* (2013) An optimized fluorescent probe for visualizing glutamate neurotransmission. *Nat. Methods* 10, 162–170
46. Helassa, N. *et al.* (2018) Ultrafast glutamate sensors resolve high-frequency release at Schaffer collateral synapses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 5594–5599
47. Marvin, J.S. *et al.* (2019) A genetically encoded fluorescent sensor for in vivo imaging of GABA. *Nat. Methods* 16, 763
48. Sun, F. *et al.* (2020) New and improved GRAB fluorescent sensors for monitoring dopaminergic activity in vivo. *BioRxiv*. Published online March 28, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.28.013722>
49. Jing, M. *et al.* (2018) A genetically encoded fluorescent acetylcholine indicator for in vitro and in vivo studies. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36, 726–737
50. Mohebi, A. *et al.* (2019) Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and motivation. *Nature* 570, 65–70
51. Robinson, E. *et al.* (2019) Optical dopamine monitoring with dLight1 reveals mesolimbic phenotypes in a mouse model of neurofibromatosis type 1. *eLife* 8, e48983
52. Dong, H. *et al.* (2019) Dorsal striatum dopamine levels fluctuate across the sleep–wake cycle and respond to salient stimuli in mice. *Front. Neurosci.* 13, 242
53. Loening, A.M. *et al.* (2006) Consensus guided mutagenesis of *Renilla* luciferase yields enhanced stability and light output. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* 19, 391–400
54. Kuchimaru, T. *et al.* (2016) A luciferin analogue generating near-infrared bioluminescence achieves highly sensitive deep-tissue imaging. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11856
55. Lee, K.H. *et al.* (2003) Cell uptake and tissue distribution of radioiodine labelled D-luciferin: implications for luciferase based gene imaging. *Nucl. Med. Commun.* 24, 1003–1009
56. Badr, C.E. (2013) Bioluminescence imaging: basics and practical limitations. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1098, 1–18
57. Saito, K. *et al.* (2012) Luminescent proteins for high-speed single-cell and whole-body imaging. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 1262
58. Xu, Y. *et al.* (1999) A bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) system: application to interacting circadian clock proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96, 151–156
59. Takai, A. *et al.* (2015) Expanded palette of mono-lanterns for real-time multicolor luminescence imaging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 4352–4356
60. Suzuki, K. *et al.* (2016) Five colour variants of bright luminescent protein for real-time multicolour bioimaging. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13718
61. Hall, M.P. *et al.* (2012) Engineered luciferase reporter from a deep sea shrimp utilizing a novel imidazopyrazinone substrate. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 7, 1848–1857
62. Chu, J. *et al.* (2016) A bright cyan-excitable orange fluorescent protein facilitates dual-emission microscopy and enhances bioluminescence imaging in vivo. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34, 760–767
63. Oh, Y. *et al.* (2019) An orange calcium-modulated bioluminescent indicator for non-invasive activity imaging. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 15, 433–436
64. Inagaki, S. *et al.* (2017) Genetically encoded bioluminescent voltage indicator for multi-purpose use in wide range of bioimaging. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42398
65. Yeh, H.W. *et al.* (2017) Red-shifted luciferase–luciferin pairs for enhanced bioluminescence imaging. *Nat. Methods* 14, 971–974

66. Iwano, S. *et al.* (2018) Single-cell bioluminescence imaging of deep tissue in freely moving animals. *Science* 359, 935–939
67. Brodi, E. *et al.* (2018) Molecular mechanisms of bacterial bioluminescence. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 16, 551–564
68. Gregor, C. *et al.* (2019) Autonomous bioluminescence imaging of single mammalian cells with the bacterial bioluminescence system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 26491–26496
69. Kaskova, Z.M. *et al.* (2017) Mechanism and color modulation of fungal bioluminescence. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1602847
70. Kotlobay, A.A. *et al.* (2018) Genetically encodable bioluminescent system from fungi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 12728–12732
71. Khakhar, A. *et al.* (2020) Building customizable auto-luminescent luciferase-based reporters in plants. *eLife* 9, e52786
72. Mitouchkina, T. *et al.* (2019) Plants with self-sustained luminescence. *BioRxiv*. Published online October 18, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.10.18.809376>
73. Srinivasan, P. *et al.* (2019) Anaerobic molecular bioluminescent reporter (AMBER) for voltage imaging in freely moving animals. *BioRxiv*. Published online November 16, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.11.16.845198>
74. Robertson, M. (1980) Biology in the 1980s, plus or minus a decade. *Nature* 285, 358–359
75. Zhang, Q. *et al.* (2018) Visualizing dynamics of cell signaling in vivo with a phase separation-based kinase reporter. *Mol. Cell* 69, 334–346
76. Tebo, A.G. and Gautier, A. (2019) A split fluorescent reporter with rapid and reversible complementation. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 2822
77. Yu, Q.Y. *et al.* (2018) Semisynthetic sensor proteins enable metabolic assays at the point of care. *Science* 361, 1122–1125
78. Lin, W. *et al.* (2019) Genetically encoded fluorescent biosensors illuminate kinase signaling in cancer. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 14814–14822
79. Yang, H.H. *et al.* (2016) Subcellular imaging of voltage and calcium signals reveals neural processing in vivo. *Cell* 166, 245–257
80. Hefendehl, J.K. *et al.* (2016) Mapping synaptic glutamate transporter dysfunction in vivo to regions surrounding Abeta plaques by iGluSnFR two-photon imaging. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13441
81. Wang, L.V. and Hu, S. (2012) Photoacoustic tomography: in vivo imaging from organelles to organs. *Science* 335, 1458–1462
82. Li, Y. *et al.* (2016) Engineering dark chromoprotein reporters for photoacoustic microscopy and FRET imaging. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 22129
83. Alley, E.C. *et al.* (2019) Unified rational protein engineering with sequence-based deep representation learning. *Nat. Methods* 16, 1315
84. Saito, Y. *et al.* (2018) Machine-learning-guided mutagenesis for directed evolution of fluorescent proteins. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7, 2014–2022
85. Ni, Q. *et al.* (2018) Live-cell imaging of cell signaling using genetically encoded fluorescent reporters. *FEBS J.* 285, 203–219
86. Zhong, S. *et al.* (2014) A genetically-encoded YFP sensor with enhanced chloride sensitivity, photostability and reduced pH interference demonstrates augmented transmembrane chloride movement by gerbil prestin (SLC26a5). *PLoS One* 9, e99095
87. Shen, Y. *et al.* (2014) pHuji, a pH-sensitive red fluorescent protein for imaging of exo- and endocytosis. *J. Cell Biol.* 207, 419–432
88. Terai, K. *et al.* (2019) Two decades of genetically encoded biosensors based on forster resonance energy transfer. *Cell Struct. Funct.* 44, 153–169
89. Konagaya, Y. *et al.* (2017) A highly sensitive FRET biosensor for AMPK exhibits heterogeneous AMPK responses among cells and organs. *Cell Rep.* 21, 2628–2638
90. Peng, Q. *et al.* (2018) Coordinated histone modifications and chromatin reorganization in a single cell revealed by FRET biosensors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E11681–E11690
91. Zhou, X. *et al.* (2015) Dynamic visualization of mTORC1 activity in living cells. *Cell Rep.* 10, 1767–1777
92. Sakaue-Sawano, A. *et al.* (2017) Genetically encoded tools for optical dissection of the mammalian cell cycle. *Mol. Cell* 68, 626–640
93. Kost, L.A. *et al.* (2017) Insertion of the voltage-sensitive domain into circularly permuted red fluorescent protein as a design for genetically encoded voltage sensor. *PLoS One* 12, e0184225
94. Ermakova, Y.G. *et al.* (2018) SypHer3s: a genetically encoded fluorescent ratiometric probe with enhanced brightness and an improved dynamic range. *Chem. Commun. (Camb.)* 54, 2898–2901
95. Suzuki, J. *et al.* (2014) Imaging intracellular Ca^{2+} at subcellular resolution using CEPIA. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4153
96. Hossain, M.N. *et al.* (2018) Bioluminescent low-affinity Ca^{2+} indicator for ER with multicolor calcium imaging in single living cells. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 13, 1862–1871
97. Qian, Y. *et al.* (2019) A bioluminescent Ca^{2+} indicator based on a topological variant of GCaMP6s. *Chembiochem* 20, 516–520
98. Oldach, L. and Zhang, J. (2014) Genetically encoded fluorescent biosensors for live-cell visualization of protein phosphorylation. *Chem. Biol.* 21, 186–197
99. Clister, T. *et al.* (2015) Single-cell analysis of G-protein signal transduction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 6681–6688
100. Zhang, J. *et al.* (2001) Genetically encoded reporters of protein kinase A activity reveal impact of substrate tethering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 14997–15002
101. Depry, C. *et al.* (2011) Visualization of PKA activity in plasma membrane microdomains. *Mol. Biosyst.* 7, 52–58
102. Ross, B.L. *et al.* (2018) Single-color, ratiometric biosensors for detecting signaling activities in live cells. *eLife* 7, e35458
103. Komatsu, N. *et al.* (2011) Development of an optimized backbone of FRET biosensors for kinases and GTPases. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 22, 4647–4656
104. Sparta, B. *et al.* (2015) Receptor level mechanisms are required for epidermal growth factor (EGF)-stimulated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activity pulses. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 24784–24792
105. Ardestani, G. *et al.* (2019) FRET-based sensor for CaMKII activity (FRESCA): a useful tool for assessing CaMKII activity in response to Ca^{2+} oscillations in live cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 11876–11891
106. Ding, Y.D. *et al.* (2015) Ratiometric biosensors based on dimerization-dependent fluorescent protein exchange. *Nat. Methods* 12, 195
107. To, T.L. *et al.* (2015) Rationally designed fluorogenic protease reporter visualizes spatiotemporal dynamics of apoptosis in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 3338–3343
108. Zlobovskaya, O.A. *et al.* (2016) Genetically encoded far-red fluorescent sensors for caspase-3 activity. *Biotechniques* 60, 62–68
109. Shcherbakova, D.M. *et al.* (2016) Bright monomeric near-infrared fluorescent proteins as tags and biosensors for multiscale imaging. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12405
110. Rodriguez, E.A. *et al.* (2016) A far-red fluorescent protein evolved from a cyanobacterial phycobiliprotein. *Nat. Methods* 13, 763–769
111. Hertel, F. *et al.* (2016) RefSOFI for mapping nanoscale organization of protein–protein interactions in living cells. *Cell Rep.* 14, 390–400
112. Harmon, M. *et al.* (2017) A bi-fluorescence complementation system to detect associations between the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria. *Sci. Rep.* 7
113. Wang, S. *et al.* (2017) Development of bimolecular fluorescence complementation using rEGFP2 for detection and super-resolution imaging of protein–protein interactions in live cells. *Biomed. Opt. Express* 8, 3119–3131
114. Xia, P. *et al.* (2014) Superresolution imaging reveals structural features of EB1 in microtubule plus-end tracking. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 25, 4166–4173
115. Chen, M.H. *et al.* (2016) Three-fragment fluorescence complementation coupled with photoactivated localization microscopy for nanoscale imaging of ternary complexes. *ACS Nano* 10, 8482–8490