

Article

Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer between Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ ECL and Gold Nanoparticles/Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites with Graphene Oxide as Coreactant and Its Sensing Application

Yong-Ping Dong, Ying Zhou, Jiao Wang, and Jun-Jie Zhu

Anal. Chem., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.6b00921 • Publication Date (Web): 21 Apr 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on April 24, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Publications

Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer between $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL and Gold Nanoparticles/Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites with Graphene Oxide as Coreactant and Its Sensing Application

Yong-Ping Dong^{a,b}, Ying Zhou^{a,b}, Jiao Wang^{a,b}, Jun-Jie Zhu^{a,}*

^a State Key Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, School of Chemistry and
Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

^b School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anhui University of Technology, Maanshan
243002, China

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: jjzhu@nju.edu.cn; Tel&fax: +86-25-89687204.

Abstract: In the present work, strong anodic electrogenerated chemiluminescence (ECL) of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ was observed at a graphene oxide modified glassy carbon electrode (GO/GCE) in the absence of coreactants. The electrocatalytical effect of GO on the oxidation of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ suggested that GO itself can act as the coreactant of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL, which can be used to fabricate ECL biosensor. Thiol group terminated adenosine triphosphate (ATP) aptamer was immobilized on the GO film via DNA hybridization. When gold nanoparticles/graphene oxide (AuNPs/GO) nanocomposites were modified on the aptamer through S-Au bond to form sandwich-like structure, the ECL resonance energy transfer (ECL-RET) could occur between $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites, resulting in apparent decrease of ECL signal. After the ECL sensor was incubated in ATP solution, the AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were released from the electrode due to the specific interaction between aptamer and ATP, leading to the increased ECL signal. Based on these results, an ECL aptasensor was fabricated and could be used in the sensitive and selective detection of ATP in the range of 0.02-200 pM with a detection limit of 6.7 fM (S/N=3). The proposed ECL aptasensor can be applied in the detection of ATP in real samples with satisfactory results.

Keywords: Electrogenerated chemiluminescence; $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$; graphene oxide; aptasensor; adenosine triphosphate

INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that electrogenerated chemiluminescence (ECL) has potential advantages over other analytical methods and several commercial ECL systems have already been used in clinical detection.^{1,2} Luminol, quantum dots, and Ru complexes are most famous ECL systems in the fabrication of ECL biosensors.³⁻⁵ Among these ECL systems, tris(2,2'-bipyridyl) ruthenium(II) ($\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$) and its derivatives have been intensively studied due to their outstanding properties.^{6,7} Coreactants are often needed to obtain strong $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL and numerous coreactants have been investigated in the past few decades, including oxalate, alkylamines, NADH, amino acids, dopamine, and ascorbic acid.⁸⁻¹⁵ These work revealed that $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL depended significantly on the properties and structures of coreactants. Therefore, the exploration of new coreactant of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL is necessary for its application in bioassay.

Recently, luminescence resonance energy transfer (LRET) has attracted much research interest as a powerful technique for the sensitive detection of biomolecules. Three kinds of LRET have often been reported in bioassays, including fluorescence resonance energy transfer, chemiluminescence resonance energy transfer, and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer.¹⁶⁻²¹ As another high sensitive luminescent technique, however, ECL resonance energy transfer (ECL-RET) has been paid rare attention for the lack of suitable energy donor/acceptor pairs.²¹ Consequently, the exploration of more energy donor/acceptor pairs is urgent for ECL-RET investigation.

Because of high surface area and high π - π conjunction, graphene oxide (GO) can provide a platform for the immobilization of organic and inorganic molecules, which promotes the development of graphene oxide based ECL sensors.^{22, 23} For example, GO can immobilize tris(1,10-phenanthroline) ruthenium to fabricate ECL biosensor for highly sensitive detection of methyltransferase activity.²⁴ When CdSe quantum dots were immobilized on graphene

oxide/polyaniline nanowires composite, its ECL signal was enhanced greatly, which can be used to fabricate ECL immunosensor for the detection of human interleukin-6.²⁵ GO can also be used to immobilize DNA using carbodiimide chemistry, and the combination of graphene-like nanomaterials with aptamers has emerged many ingenious aptasensing strategies for the applications in clinical diagnosis.^{26, 27} Except its excellent application in electrode modification, GO has the photoluminescence arising from electron-hole pairs, and water soluble GO can generate ECL with $S_2O_8^{2-}$ as coreactant.²⁸⁻³¹ Recently, Seo and co-workers have demonstrated that GO photoluminescence could be quenched by gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) because of fluorescence resonance energy transfer between GO and AuNPs.^{26, 32} Resonance energy transfer was also obtained between reduced graphene-AuNPs and CdTe quantum dots.³³ These work revealed that GO and AuNPs are suitable materials for the ECL-RET research, which has not been reported previously.

In this work, strong anodic ECL of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ was obtained at the GO modified GCE (GO/GCE) in the neutral condition without coreactant. Amino terminated DNA was immobilized on the GO film through the amidation between $-NH_2$ and carboxyl groups of GO. Then, thiol terminated adenosine triphosphate (ATP) aptamer was connected through DNA hybridization. When AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were assembled on the aptamer through the interaction between AuNPs and thiol groups, a sandwich-like structure was formed. ECL-RET could occur between $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and AuNPs/GO composites, leading to the decrease of ECL signal. The specific interaction between ATP and aptamer could destroy the sandwich-like structure and release AuNPs/GO nanocomposites from the modified electrode, resulting in the increased ECL signal again, which varied linearly with ATP concentrations. As a result, an ECL aptasensor for ATP detection was proposed.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTIONS

Materials and Reagents. Graphite (99.95%, 8000 mesh) was obtained from Aladdin Industrial Corporation. Tris(2,2'-bipyridyl) ruthenium(II) dichloride hexahydrate ($\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3\text{Cl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), poly-(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDDA, 20% w/w in water, MW = 200 000-350 000), adenosine triphosphate (ATP), cytidine triphosphate (CTP), guanosine triphosphate (GTP), uridine triphosphate (UTP) were purchased from Aladdin Chemistry Co., Ltd. Human serum was obtained from Nanjing Military Region General Hospital (Nanjing, China). Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), bovine serum albumin (BSA), 1-ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino) propyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Chloroauric acid ($\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$) was obtained from Shanghai Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). All other chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and double distilled water was used throughout. 0.1 mol L^{-1} pH 7.4 phosphate buffer solution (PBS) was used to prepare the working solution for ECL detection. Tris-HCl buffer solution (pH 7.4, 10 mM) containing 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaCl was adopted to prepare DNA stock solutions.

The 30-mer ATP binding aptamer (S2) and its complementary strand DNA (S1) were synthesized and purified by Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Service Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The sequences used are as follows:

DNA (S1): 5'-NH₂-(CH₂)₆-ACC TTC CTC CGC AAT ACT CCC CCA GGT-3'

Aptamer (S2): 5'-SH-(CH₂)₆-*ACC TGG GGG AGT ATT GCG GAG GAA GGT* CAG-3'

(The italicized part is the complementary strand of the ATP binding aptamer.)

Synthesis of AuNPs. AuNPs were synthesized according to the reported method with some modifications.³⁴ Briefly, an aqueous solution containing 75 mL of double distilled water, 1.5 mL of 25 mM HAuCl_4 and 5 mL of 10 mM trisodium citrate was first prepared in a conical flask. Next, 1.5 mL of ice-cold, freshly prepared 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaBH_4 solution was added into the above

1
2
3 solution while stirring. The mixture solution turned pink immediately after adding NaBH_4 ,
4
5 indicating nanoparticles formation. After stirred for 6 h at room temperature, the resulting AuNPs
6
7 solution was obtained and kept at 4 °C for further use. The morphologies of AuNPs were
8
9 characterized by TEM.
10

11
12 **Preparation of AuNPs/GO Nanocomposites and S2/AuNPs/GO probe.** Graphene oxide (GO)
13
14 and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were synthesized according to the previous reports.³⁵⁻³⁷ The
15
16 AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were re-suspended in 1 mL PBS containing 100 nM aptamer (S2),
17
18 which was activated with 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, and stirred for 12 h at room
19
20 temperature. Excess amount of AuNPs/GO nanocomposites was removed by centrifugation with
21
22 10000 rpm at 4 °C for 30 min. The supernatant containing S2/AuNPs/GO was decanted and
23
24 stored at 4 °C prior to the electrode modification.
25
26
27

28
29 **Fabrication of ECL Aptasensor.** Prior to the sensor fabrication, glassy carbon electrode (GCE,
30
31 3 mm in diameter) was polished to a mirror using 1.0 μm and 0.05 μm alumina slurry followed
32
33 by sonication in water and ethanol, respectively. The cleaned electrode was dried at room
34
35 temperature. Then, 10 μL of GO suspension was spread on the surface of cleaned GCE. After the
36
37 GO film was dried in the air, the carboxylic groups on the GO film were activated in 10 mM
38
39 EDC and 20 mM NHS solutions for 0.5 h. After the electrode was washed with PBS (pH 7.4),
40
41 10.0 μL of S1 (100 nM) was dropped onto its surface to incubate at 4 °C for 12 h. Then, the
42
43 modified electrode was washed thoroughly with PBS to remove the unlinked S1, and was
44
45 immersed into 1% BSA solution for 1 h to block the nonspecific binding sites. Afterward, 10 μL
46
47 of S2/AuNPs/GO was dropped onto the S1 modified electrode to incubate at 37 °C for 1 h.
48
49 Excess amount of S2/AuNPs/GO was washed with PBS.
50
51
52

53
54
55 **ECL Detection.** ECL signal was recorded in 0.1 mol L^{-1} pH 7.4 PBS containing 66 μM
56
57 $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ with the modified electrode as the working electrode. For the detection of ATP, the
58
59
60

prepared modified electrode was incubated in different concentrations of ATP for 90 min at 37 °C, followed by thoroughly washing with PBS to remove the unbound ATP and the disassembled aptamer-ATP conjugate. Human serum samples were diluted with pH 7.4 PBS to a suitable concentration for ATP detection. The practical samples were spiked with various concentrations of ATP and detected using the above method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Electrochemical and ECL of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ at the GO/GCE

GO has already been used in ECL investigation. For example, GO could inhibit luminol ECL while electrochemical reduced GO could enhance luminol ECL.³⁸ GO was used as the matrix for the immobilization of $\text{Ru}(\text{phen})_3^{2+}$ through the π - π stacking interaction and the electrostatic interaction, and strong ECL could be obtained with tripropylamine as coreactant.³⁹ However, $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL at the GO modified electrode in the absence of coreactant has never been reported. Herein, the ECL of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ is studied at the GO/GCE in neutral PBS under air-saturated condition without coreactant. The anodic ECL at the bare GCE is extremely weak in the absence of coreactant (Figure 1). No ECL signal is observed at the GO/GCE in PBS, suggesting that GO cannot emit light under this condition. In the presence of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$, one strong anodic ECL peak can be obtained at 1.17 V, which is 32-times larger than that of the bare GCE. The weak ECL peak at -0.55 V is related to the oxygenated groups of graphene oxide, because this peak cannot be obtained when the GO film was electrochemical reduced and the ECL intensity do not change with $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ concentration. The strong anodic ECL at the GO/GCE reveals that GO can act as coreactant in ECL reaction of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$, which can be used in bioassays.




Figure 1. ECL curves of the GO/GCE in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ PBS and the bare GCE and the GO/GCE in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ PBS containing 66 μM Ru(bpy)₃²⁺. Potential scan rate, 100 mV s⁻¹; pH, 7.4.

In order to elucidate the mechanism of anodic ECL at the GO/GCE, the cyclic voltammograms of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ at the bare GCE and the GO/GCE were recorded as shown in Figure 2. At the bare GCE, a pair of reversible redox peaks at 1.10 V can be attributed to the oxidation-reduction of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺. At the GO/GCE, the oxidation potential of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ is negatively shifted to 1.06 V and the oxidation current increases 3-times compared with the bare GCE, suggesting that the oxidation of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ is catalyzed by GO. The reduction peak of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ almost disappears, revealing that the oxidation product of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (Ru(bpy)₃³⁺) is consumed before its electrochemical reduction. Bard et al. reported that Ru(bpy)₃³⁺ could react with TPA to generate TPA radicals, which resulted in the decrease of reduction current of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺.⁴⁰ Therefore, it can be concluded that Ru(bpy)₃³⁺ can react with GO before its electrochemical reduction. The weak oxidation peak at 0.65 V observed in PBS with and without Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ can be assigned to the oxidation of H₂O to OH[•].⁴¹






Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of bare GCE and GO/GCE in $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}/\text{PBS}$. PBS, 0.1 mol L⁻¹; $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$, 66 μM ; pH, 7.4; scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹.

It was proposed that carboxylic acid can be oxidized by electrogenerated $\text{OH}^\bullet$ to form the strong reducing intermediates $\text{CO}_2^{\bullet-}$ which could act as a coreactant and react with $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{3+}$ to emit light.⁴² It has already been confirmed that carboxyl group and other oxygenated groups can be found on GO sheet.³⁸ The anodic ECL decreased greatly when GO film was electrochemically reduced at -1.0 V for 20 minutes, revealing that oxygenated groups are necessary for the anodic ECL (Figure S1). The ECL intensity decreased under N_2 -saturated condition compared with air-saturated condition also supported the above conclusion. The ECL spectrum suggested that the light emitter of the anodic ECL peak should be the excited state of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ (Figure S2). Therefore, the mechanisms of anodic $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL at the GO/GCE should be as follows:





Characterization of AuNPs/GO nanocomposites.

It was reported that the fluorescence resonance energy transfer can occur between the reduced graphene oxide/AuNPs and CdTe quantum dots due to the overlap of absorption and emission spectra.³³ Spurred by these results, AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were synthesized and tentatively used to fabricate ECL-RET system in the present work. The morphologies of GO, AuNPs and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were characterized by TEM, SEM, and Raman spectroscopy as shown in Figure 3.

It can be found that GO exhibit a typically wrinkled, sheet-like structure with no aggregation (Figure 3A). In the Raman spectra of GO, the D band and G band located at 1359 cm⁻¹ and 1600 cm⁻¹ can confirm the structure of GO (Figure 3B).⁴³ The average size of AuNPs is estimated to be 6 nm according to the TEM image in Figure 3C. Larger sizes of AuNPs, including 16 nm and 38 nm, were also used in the energy transfer investigation, and no apparent resonance energy transfer was observed. Therefore, 6 nm of AuNPs was selected. The SEM image of AuNPs/GO nanocomposites exhibits a homogeneously dispersion (Figure 3D). The UV-vis absorption spectrum of the nanocomposite was recorded as shown in Figure S3. Because the absorption spectrum of nanocomposite overlaps with the emission spectrum of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺, resonance energy transfer can occur between them.





Figure 3. (A) SEM image of GO, (B) Raman spectrum of GO, (C) TEM image of AuNPs, (D) SEM image of AuNPs/GO.

Fabrication and Characterization of ECL Aptasensor .

Nucleic acid aptamers are single-stranded DNA or RNA oligonucleotides with high affinity and specificity towards their targets. Various aptamer-based biosensors have been developed using the specific identification of aptamer towards their target. Herein, an ECL aptasensor involving ATP binding aptamer and AuNPs/GO nanocomposite is proposed for the detection of ATP as shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1. Schematic representation of the modification of the GCE and the detection of ATP.

GO was modified on the bare GCE to generate strong anodic ECL with $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ in the absence of TPA. The ATP aptamer and AuNPs/GO nanocomposite were assembled on the electrode in sequence through DNA hybridization to form sandwich-like structure, leading to the decreased ECL signal due to the energy transfer (ET) between $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites. When ATP was bonded to its aptamer, the sandwich-like structure was destroyed, and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were released from the modified electrode, resulting in the increased ECL again. As a result, an ECL aptasensor was fabricated and can be used to detect ATP.




Figure 4. ECL profiles of different modified electrodes in $66 \mu\text{M Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ and 0.1 mol L^{-1} PBS. Potential scan rate, 100 mV s^{-1} ; pH, 7.4.

The fabrication processes of the ECL aptasensor were confirmed by recording ECL signals in different assembly stages as shown in Figure 4. The GO/GCE showed a greatly enhanced ECL signal at 1.2 V in air-saturated pH 7.4 PBS containing $66 \mu\text{M Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$. After S1 was bonded onto the GO/GCE, the ECL intensity decreased due to the insulating property of DNA. The ECL intensity further decreased when S1 was bonded with ATP aptamer (S2). When AuNPs were connected on the aptamer, ECL intensity decreased due to the resonance energy transfer between AuNPs and $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$.³³ After AuNPs/GO was connected on the aptamer to form the sandwich-like structure, ECL intensity was further weakened, revealing that the resonance energy transfer can be improved in the presence of GO (Figure S4). Therefore, AuNPs/GO and $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ was selected as the energy donor/acceptor pair for ECL-RET. When the aptasensor was incubated in 1 pM ATP, the sandwich-like structure was destroyed and the ECL signal increased again.




Figure 5. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of bare GCE (a), GO/GCE (b), S1/GO/GCE (c), S2/S1/GO/GCE (d), AuNPs/GO/S2/S1/GO/GCE (e), and AuNPs/GO/S2/S1/GO/GCE incubated in 1 pM ATP (f).

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is often used to characterize the surface properties of the modified electrodes during the biosensor fabrication process. It can be found from Figure 5 that the Nyquist diagrams of all electrodes consisted of a semicircle at high frequency and a line at low frequency. The charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) which equals the diameter of semicircle reflects the restricted diffusion of the redox probe through the multilayer system. The EIS of the bare GCE exhibited semicircle with large diameter at high frequency. The EIS of the GO/GCE is nearly a line in all frequency range, suggesting that R_{ct} of the GO/GCE decreased significantly compared with the bare GCE, which could be attributed to the good conductivity of GO. After EDC/NHS activation, S1 was bonded on the GO film through the interaction between amine and carboxyl groups, leading to an increase of R_{ct} due to the poor conductivity of single strand DNA.³³ After the electrode was incubated in S2/AuNPs/GO, the R_{ct}

increased due to the electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged S2/AuNPs/GO and $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-/4-}$ probe, indicating the successful hybridization of S2/AuNPs/GO. The results of Zeta potential also support the above conclusions (Figure S5). The R_{ct} diminished after the introduction of ATP, which could be attributed to the partial destroy of the sandwich-like structure. The EIS results confirmed the successful stepwise fabrication of the proposed ECL aptasensor.

Optimization of Detection Conditions.

Since GO can act as the coreactant of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL, the anodic ECL intensity should depend on the concentration of GO suspension. As shown in Figure 6A, the ECL intensity of the modified electrode increased with the increase of GO concentration and reached a plateau at 0.5 mg/mL. To obtain high ECL intensity, 0.5 mg/mL GO suspension was chosen to modify electrode. The effect of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ concentration on ECL was also studied as shown in Figure S6. The ECL signals increased with the increase of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ concentration. Considering the detection sensitivity and the reduce of the consumption of luminescent reagents, 66 μM $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ was selected.

It can be concluded from the assembly strategy of the aptasensor that the aptamer (S2) can bond AuNPs/GO to form sandwich-like structure, resulting in the decreased ECL intensity due to the ECL-RET. Therefore, the effect of aptamer concentration on the ECL intensity was examined as shown in Figure 6B. It can be found that the quenching efficiency (Quenching efficiency = I/I_0 , where I was the ECL signals after S2/AuNPs/GO was connected on S1 and I_0 was the ECL signals before S2/AuNPs/GO was connected on S1) gradually increased with the increase of aptamer concentration, and a plateau can be obtained when aptamer concentration reached 80 nM, indicating a saturated capture of aptamer. As a result, 10.0 μL of 80 nM aptamer was selected.

Because AuNPs/GO nanocomposites are the energy acceptor in ECL-RET, the concentration of AuNPs/GO nanocomposites aqueous suspension can influence energy transfer processes. It can be found from Figure 6C that more AuNPs/GO was captured by the aptasensor with the increase of the AuNPs/GO suspension concentration, and the quenching efficiency increased correspondingly. In order to obtain ideal quenching efficiency, 0.05 mg/mL AuNPs/GO suspension was chosen.

The incubation times of the modified electrode in 50 pM ATP solution were optimized as shown in Figure 6D. With the increase of the incubation time in ATP solution, more ATP was captured by the aptamer and more AuNPs/GO nanocomposites were removed from the modified electrode. As a result, energy transfer system was destroyed and the ECL intensity increased. It can be found from Figure 6D that the stable ECL intensity can be obtained when the aptasensor was incubated in ATP for 90 min. Therefore, 90 min was chosen as the optimal incubation time.




Figure 6. (A) Effects of GO concentration on ECL signals. (B) Effect of aptamer concentration on ECL signals. (C) Quenching effect of AuNPs/GO on ECL signals. (D) Effect of the incubation time in 50 pM ATP on ECL intensity.

Analytical Performance of the Aptasensor for ATP Detection.

Under the optimal conditions, the ECL intensities were recorded after the proposed aptasensors were incubated in different concentrations of ATP. It can be found from Figure 7 that the ECL intensity increased with the increase of ATP concentration because more aptamer was released from the modified electrode surface. As shown in the inset of Figure 7, a good linear relationship between the ECL intensity and the logarithmic value of ATP concentration can be obtained in the range of 0.02 to 200 pM. The standard calibration curve was $I = 4055.9 + 684.6 \log C_{\text{ATP}}$, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9993. The detection limit was estimated to be 6.67 fM (S/N=3), which was much lower than the previous reports as shown in Table S1.




Figure 7. ECL curves of the aptasensor incubated in 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 0.8, 1, 10, 100, 200 pM ATP. The inset is the calibration curve of ATP.

The ECL emission from the ATP aptasensor incubated in 10 pM ATP was recorded under continuous potential scanning for nine cycles as shown in Figure 8A. The relative standard deviation (RSD) was less than 2.1%, indicating the good stability of the proposed aptasensor. The long-time stability of the aptasensor was investigated. When the aptasensor was stored at 4 °C, it retained at 92.4% of its initial response after a storage period of two weeks, indicating the proposed sensor had good long term stability. The reproducibility of the aptasensor was examined on the same and on the different electrodes with the same ATP concentration. Relative standard deviation of five dependent measurements was less than 3.6% for the same electrode, and less than 6.2% for five electrodes. Therefore, the ECL aptasensor has a relatively good reproduction in ATP detection.




Figure 8. (A) Stability of the ECL immunosensor incubated in 10 pM ATP under consecutive cyclic potential scans for 13 cycles, (B) ECL variations of the aptasensor incubated in 10 pM ATP (a), 20 nM GTP (b), CTP (c), and UTP (d)

The selectivity and the binding specificity of the proposed ECL aptasensor for ATP were evaluated. The contrast experiment was performed by measuring the changes of ECL intensity when the aptasensors were incubated in ATP and its analogues, such as cytidine triphosphate (CTP), guanosine triphosphate (GTP), and uridine triphosphate (UTP). It can be found from

Figure 8B that the changes of ECL signal resulted from CTP, GTP and UTP were less than 20% of that of ATP, even if their concentrations were 2000-fold higher than ATP. These results suggested that the present aptasensor had excellent selectivity for ATP.

Detection of ATP in Real Samples.

The proposed ECL aptasensor was used to detect ATP in human serum samples. Because the concentration of human serum ATP is nearly 1000 nM level, the dilution of serum samples is necessary and 50000-fold diluted serum was applied in the following experiment.⁴⁴ With a standard addition method, the amount of ATP in two human serum samples were detected to be 24 pM and 15 pM respectively, which was similar to the result obtained with the reported method.⁴⁵ After the serum samples were spiked with 10 pM and 50 pM ATP, the recoveries for three detections were $94.5\% \pm 5.6\%$ and $98.3\% \pm 4.5\%$ for 10 pM ATP and $97.2\% \pm 5.9\%$ and $93.8\% \pm 7.1\%$ for 50 pM ATP, respectively, indicating acceptable accuracy. The results demonstrated that the ECL aptasensor can be used for quantification of ATP in real samples.

CONCLUSIONS

Strong anodic $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL has been obtained at the GO/GCE in the neutral condition without other coreactant. GO exhibited apparent electrocatalytical effect on the oxidation of $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ and could act as the coreactant to generate ECL with $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$. A sandwich-like structure including GO film, ATP aptamer, and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites has been constructed via DNA hybridization. ECL-RET can occur between $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites, resulting in the decreased ECL signal. The specificity bind between aptamer and target ATP could destroy the sandwich-like structure and AuNPs/GO nanocomposites could be released from the electrode. As a result, the ECL-RET was hampered and ECL signal increased linearly with ATP concentrations. The proposed ECL aptasensor

exhibited good analytical performance and was successfully applied in real sample assays. Moreover, the energy transfer between $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ ECL and AuNPs/GO nanocomposite provide a new avenue in the establishment of energy donor/acceptor pairs for ECL-RET investigation.

Supporting Information.

Apparatus and additional information as noted in the text. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Corresponding Author

E-mail: jjzhu@nju.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported from National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 21335004, 21427807, 21575002), Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (No. 1408085MF114), Natural Science Foundation from the Bureau of Education of Anhui Province (No. KJ2015A075), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No.2013M541637), and Jiangsu Province Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No.1302126C).

REFERENCES

- 1) Richter, M. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, 104, 3003-3036.
- 2) Miao, W. J.; Choi, J. P.; Bard, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 14478-14485.
- 3) Wang, H. J.; Yuan, R.; Chai, Y. Q.; Niu, H.; Cao, Y. L.; Liu, H. J. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2012**, 37, 6-10.
- 4) Yang, M. L.; Jiang, B. Y.; Xie, J. Q.; Xiang, Y.; Yuan, R.; Chai, Y. Q. *Talanta* **2014**, 125, 45-50.
- 5) Xiao, L. J.; Chai, Y. Q.; Yuan, R.; Cao, Y. L.; Wang, H. J.; Bai, L. J. *Talanta* **2013**, 115, 577-582.
- 6) Zhang, W. Z.; Zhang, J. M.; Zhang, H. L.; Cao, L. Y.; Zhang, R.; Ye, Z. Q.; Yuan, L. J. *Talanta* **2013**, 116, 354-360.
- 7) Yang, X. Y.; Wang, A. G.; Liu, J. L. *Talanta* **2013**, 114, 5-10.
- 8) Lin, Z. J.; Chen, X. M.; Jia, T. T.; Wang, X. D.; Xie, Z. X.; Oyama, M.; Chen, X. *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, 81, 830-833.
- 9) Shin, I. S.; Bae, S. W.; Kim, H.; Hong, J. I. *Anal. Chem.* **2010**, 82, 8259-8265.
- 10) Chi, Y. W.; Dong, Y. Q.; Chen, G. N. *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, 79, 4521-4528.
- 11) Rubinstein, I.; Bard, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, 102, 6641-6642.
- 12) Chen, X.; Sato, M. *Anal. Sci.* **1995**, 11, 749-754.
- 13) Zorzi, M.; Pastore, P.; Magno, F. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, 72, 4934-4939.

- 14) Choi, H. N.; Cho, S. H.; Lee, W. Y. *Anal. Chem.* **2003**, 75, 4250-4256.
- 15) Chow, K. F.; Mavre, F.; Crooks, J. A.; Chang, B. Y.; Crooks, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 8364-8365.
- 16) Shi, L. F.; De Paoli, V.; Rosenzweig, N.; Rosenzweig, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 10378-10379.
- 17) Clapp, A. R.; Medintz, I. L.; Matthew Mauro, J.; Fisher, B. R.; Bawendi, M. G.; Mattoussi, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 301-310.
- 18) Huang, X. Y.; Li, L.; Qian, H. F.; Dong, C. Q.; Ren, J. C. *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, 118, 5264-5267.
- 19) Zhao, S. L.; Huang, Y.; Liu, R. J.; Shi, M.; Liu, Y. M.; *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, 16, 6142-6145.
- 20) Yao, H. Q.; Zhang, Y.; Xiao, F.; Xia, Z. Y.; Rao, J. H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 4346-4349.
- 21) Li, L. L.; Chen, Y.; Lu, Q.; Ji, J.; Shen, Y. Y.; Xu, M.; Fei, R.; Yang, G. H.; Zhang, K.; Zhang, J. R.; Zhu, J. J. *Sci. Rep.* **2013**, 3, 1529.
- 22) Li, Y. J.; Gao, W.; Ci, L. J.; Wang, C. M.; Ajayan, P. M. *Carbon* **2010**, 48, 1124-1130.
- 23) Kuila, T.; Bose, S.; Khanra, P.; Mishra, A. K.; Kim, N. H.; Lee, J. H. *Biosen. Bioelectron.* **2011**, 26, 4637-4648.
- 24) Yan, Z.; Li, Y.; Zheng, J. B.; Zhou, M. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2014**, 731, 133-138.
- 25) Liu, P. Z.; Hu, X. W.; Mao, C. J.; Niu, H. L.; Song, J. M.; Jin, B. K.; Zhang, S. Y. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, 113, 176-180.

- 26) Liu, F.; Choi, J. Y.; Seo, T. S. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2010**, 25, 2361-2365.
- 27) Ping, J. F.; Zhou, Y. B.; Wu, Y. Y.; Papper, V.; Boujday, S.; Marks, R. S.; Steele, T. W. J. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2015**, 64, 373-385.
- 28) Eda, G.; Lin, Y. Y.; Mattevi, C.; Yamaguchi, H.; Chen, H. A.; Chen, I. S.; Chen, C. W.; Chhowalla, M. *Adv. Mater.* **2010**, 22, 505-509.
- 29) Subrahmanyam, K. S.; Kumar, P.; Nag, A.; Rao, C. N. R. *Solid State Commun.* **2010**, 150, 1774-1777.
- 30) Loh, K. P.; Bao, Q.; Eda, G.; Chhowalla, M. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, 2, 1015-1024.
- 31) He, Y.; Huang, G. M.; Jiang, J.; Zhang, Q.; Cui, H. *Carbon* **2013**, 56, 201-207.
- 32) Jung, J. H.; Cheon, D. S.; Liu, F.; Lee, K. B.; Seo, T. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 5708-5711.
- 33) Zeng, X. X.; Ma, S. S.; Bao, J. C.; Tu, W. W.; Dai, Z. H. *Anal. Chem.* **2013**, 85, 11720-11724.
- 34) Jana, N. R.; Gearheart, L.; Murphy, C. J. *Langmuir* **2001**, 17, 6782-6786.
- 35) Kovtyukhova, N. I.; Ollivier, P. J.; Martin, B. R.; Mallouk, T. E.; Chizhik, S. A.; Buzaneva, E. V.; Gorchinskiy, A. D. *Chem. Mater.* **1999**, 11, 771-778.
- 36) Xiong, Y.; Deng, C. H.; Zhang, X. M. *Talanta* **2014**, 129, 282-289.
- 37) Guo, S. J.; Sun, S. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 2492-2495.

- 38) Dong, Y. P.; Zhang, J.; Ding, Y.; Chu, X. F.; Zhang, W. B. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2012**, 159, H692-H696.
- 39) Yuan, Y. L.; Li, H. J.; Han, S.; Hu, L. Z.; Parveen, S.; Cai, H. R.; Xu, G. B. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2012**, 720, 38-42.
- 40) Zu, Y. B.; Bard, A. J. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, 72, 3223-3232.
- 41) Shan, C. S.; Yang, H. F.; Han, D. X.; Zhang, Q. X.; Ivaska, A.; Niu, L. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2010**, 25, 1070-1074.
- 42) Yuan, Y. L.; Han, S.; Hu, L. Z.; Parveen, S.; Xu, G. B. *Electrochim. Acta* **2012**, 82, 484-492.
- 43) Jin, Y. H.; Huang, S.; Zhang, M.; Jia, M. Q.; Hu, D.; *Applied Surf. Sci.* **2013**, 268, 541-546.
- 44) Liu, J. H.; Wang, C. Y.; Jiang, Y.; Hu, Y. P.; Li, J. S.; Yang, S.; Li, Y. H.; Yang, R. H.; Tan, W. H.; Huang, C. Z. *Anal. Chem.* **2013**, 85, 1424-1430.
- 45) Liu, Y. T.; Lei, J. P.; Huang, Y.; Ju, H. X. *Anal. Chem.* **2014**, 86, 8735-8741.

For TOC only

