

Article

Polythiophene biosensor for rapid detection of microbial particles in water

Marie-Pier Plante, Eve Bérubé, Luc Bissonnette, Michel G Bergeron, and Mario Leclerc

ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/am400162h • Publication Date (Web): 25 Mar 2013Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on April 4, 2013**Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

**ACS Publications**
High quality. High impact.

ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces is published by the American Chemical Society.
1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036
Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society.
However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works
produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course
of their duties.

**Polythiophene biosensor for rapid detection of
microbial particles in water**

Marie-Pier Plante,[†] Ève Bérubé,[‡] Luc Bissonnette,^{‡,§} Michel G. Bergeron,^{‡,§} and Mario Leclerc^{†,*}

- [†] Département de chimie, Faculté des sciences et de génie, Université Laval, Québec City (Québec), Canada
- [‡] Centre de recherche en infectiologie de l'Université Laval, Centre de recherche du CHU de Québec, Québec City (Québec), Canada
- [§] Département de microbiologie-infectiologie et d'immunologie, Faculté de médecine, Université Laval, Québec City (Québec), Canada.

ABSTRACT: Most microbial particles have a negatively charged surface and in this work, we describe a water quality monitoring application of a cationic polythiophene derivative (AH-35) for the rapid assessment of microbial contamination of water. Using *E. coli* as a prototype microbial particle, we demonstrate that the AH-35 polymer can provide a qualitative assessment of water if exposed to more than 500 CFU/mL, thereby paving the way to a new family of biosensors potentially useful for monitoring drinking water distribution systems.

Keywords: cationic polythiophene, biosensor, drinking water, microbial particles

1
2
3 **1. INTRODUCTION**
4

5 Water of good microbiological quality is essential for maintaining human health. Each day,
6 diarrheal diseases attributable to unsafe water or inadequate hygiene/sanitation result in 4000-6000
7 deaths, mostly children.¹ Undoubtedly, the design and construction of water distribution networks
8 constitutes a major technological development of the last century that greatly contributed to improve
9 hygiene and reduce the occurrence of waterborne diseases, but there is evidence that (aging) distribution
10 systems are increasingly contaminated by wide range of microbes and/or associated with disease
11 outbreaks.^{2,3} Indeed, (tap) drinking water naturally contains viruses, bacteria, fungi, and parasites that
12 have either 1° survived the sanitation process (filtration, chlorination, etc.), 2° been released from
13 biofilms of the distribution system, household pipes or reservoirs, 3° proliferated in reason of extended
14 retention or stagnation, or 4° entered the distribution system following a structural failure.^{1,4-12}
15

16 The detection of fecal contamination indicators (FCI) is generally used to provide an evaluation of
17 a relatively recent contamination of a water supply by fecal matter, a matrix highly susceptible to contain
18 human pathogens. The risk assessment of the microbiological quality of water implies the detection (and
19 quantitation) of FCI such as *Escherichia coli* and enterococci by culture-based procedures that 1° have a
20 long turnaround time (18-72 hours) especially if confirmation is done by culture, 2° are seldom
21 inadequate to predict the presence of (non culturable or fastidious) pathogens¹³⁻¹⁷, or 3° are prone to
22 false-negative results since they have an imperfect ubiquity, ubiquity being defined by the ability to
23 detect most if not all strains of a species.¹⁸⁻¹⁹
24

25 Methods based on membrane filtration of fixed volumes of water (usually 100 mL but 250 mL
26 volumes are tested in Europe) on cellulose membranes followed by culture of the bacteria trapped in
27 those membranes constitute gold standard methods used to detect indicator or index microorganisms
28 but, in many instances, most probable number (MPN) methods using defined substrate methodology in
29 liquid medium (e.g. Colilert®, Enterolert) are simpler and more economical.¹⁴
30

31 The heterotrophic plate count (HPC) is a general microbiological procedure used to assess the
32 microbial integrity of a water distribution network and indirectly indicate the effectiveness of water
33 treatment processes (pathogen removal).²⁰ HPC detection methods recover autochthonous bacteria after
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

an incubation of at least 2-3 days at 22 or 35-37°C.²¹ HPC may recover bacterial pathogens such as *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, or *Klebsiella*, but not several fastidious or "viable but non culturable" (VBNC) microorganisms that might constitute health threats for immunocompromised individuals.^{4-6,22,23} The modeling of HPC in a water distribution system has demonstrated that the best predictors of HPC in the system are 1° free residual chlorine (inverse relation), 2° water temperature, and 3° water ultraviolet light absorbance.²⁵ While regulations stipulate that drinking water must be free of fecal contamination indicators such as *E. coli* i.e. 0 colony forming unit (CFU) per 100 mL, maximum accepted HPC contaminant levels range from 100 CFU/mL in Europe to 2000 CFU/mL in Japan, while in Canada and the United States, drinking water is considered unsafe if the HPC exceeds 500 CFU/mL.^{3,10,22,24,25}

Drinking water is an environment into which microorganisms will not easily thrive and the human health risk posed by non culturable or fastidious microorganisms and cells that have entered in the VBNC state²⁶⁻³¹ would warrant the development and implementation of adaptable, sensitive, specific, cost-, and time-effective methods for the detection of pathogens in water sources, especially those for which fecal contamination indicators are inadequate in predicting their presence.³²⁻³⁴ To address this need, only PCR-based methods have the potential for the specific detection of pathogens.¹⁷

However, in the context of the work presented therein, the measurement of ATP, bacterial endotoxin (LPS) or fluorescent dyes, and cytometry, cell sorting or FT-IR methods are also used or under evaluation to assess general microbial contamination or the metabolic status of microbial contaminants.^{23,34-39} In this respect, ATP can provide a general measure of microbial cell viability, while the rapid detection of endotoxin can be used to assess the presence of gram-negative bacteria and fungi. Compared to the application of cationic polythiophene biosensors described in this work, these methods have a limit of detection generally estimated >100 CFU/mL, but are severely limited in terms of specificity.

Water-soluble cationic polythiophene biosensors (CPB) are macromolecules with highly desirable properties for the sensitive optical detection of negatively-charged macromolecules such as nucleic acids and proteins. The electrostatic binding of CPB to negatively-charged macromolecules induces

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

conformational and solubility changes leading to an optically measurable signal. In fact, uncomplexed CPB polymers, presumably in a random coil conformation in the native state, tend to rearrange into a more organized state upon binding to the negatively-charged phosphate backbone of DNA for example, thereby inducing a chromic transition measurable by methods such as colorimetry or more sensitive fluorescence spectrophotometry.⁴⁰⁻⁵⁰

The outer ultrastructures of microorganisms such as bacteria and environmentally-resistant forms of waterborne parasites are generally negatively charged at neutral pH⁵¹⁻⁵³ and there are a few examples in the literature describing the interactions of cationic polymers with whole cells.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶

In this work, we describe the use of a cationic water-soluble polythiophene for the rapid and direct assessment of the microbiological quality of water based on the HPC criteria applied in the United States and Canada. However, since this method could be used to detect microbial particles that are either in the VBNC state or not recoverable by culture media used for detecting HPC bacteria, we have based our approach on an operational criteria of 500 microbial particles (MP) per mL. The method we have developed is based on the optical fluorescence measurement obtained following polymer conformation transitions and/or interactions upon binding to the outer ultrastructure of bacterial cells. In contrast to current water quality assessment methods, it does not require cultivation nor molecular amplification of microbial nucleic acids.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Synthesis, purification, and characterization of AH-35 CPB. The water-soluble cationic polythiophene polymer **AH-35** (**Figure 1**) was synthesized according to Ho *et al.*⁴⁰ As reported earlier, its chromic properties principally result from conformational changes of the flexible conjugated backbone.⁴⁴

2.2 Bacteria and culture conditions. *E. coli* ATCC 11775 were cultivated in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth medium (Difco) at 37°C. *E. coli* cells were grown to late log phase in BHI broth (OD_{600 nm} of 1.83); the optical density of the bacterial culture was determined with a cell density meter (Model 40, Thermo Fisher Scientific Company). The bacterial cell concentration was determined using a Petroff-Hausser counting chamber and confirmed by spreading onto blood agar solid medium. Aliquots of the bacterial culture were diluted in reverse-osmosis purified (nanopure) water to obtain cell suspensions calibrated at 1×10^7 CFU/mL for the biosensing assays. The suspensions were stored at 4°C until use.

2.3 Biosensing assay. The fluorescence measurements were taken on a Varian fluorescence spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies). The excitation wavelength was set at 420 nm and the emission range, between 500 and 650 nm. Both emission and excitation slits were 10 nm wide. The fluorescence emission spectrum of 3 mL of nanopure water was taken as the method blank. Then, 1.38×10^{-7} M (4.4 μ L) of polymer was added and the optical cell was maintained at 20°C, 22°C, 37°C or 45°C for 3 minutes before the spectra was recorded. Then, a specific volume of the concentrated suspension of bacteria was added to get the desired concentration (1000, 500 or 100 CFU/mL.) Then, another spectrum was recorded after a 3-minute incubation at the desired temperature. The experiments were repeated five times the same day with the same suspension of microbial particles.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As briefly said in the introduction, the cationic polythiophene (AH-35) is known to show a diminution of its initial fluorescence when in the presence of polyanionic macromolecules (like DNA or RNA) or negatively charged particles. It also presents a wavelength shift in fluorescence and in colorimetry when its conformation changes from a non-planar form to a planar one. In contrast, **Figure 2** suggests that the interactions between the AH-35 polythiophene biosensor and intact microbial particles do not induce a fluorescence wavelength shift but rather a decrease in fluorescence intensity indicating that a biophysical phenomenon such as physical quenching enable biosensing.

3.1 Microbial cell biosensing. **Figure 3** shows that in the presence of bacterial cell loads in excess of 500 MP/mL and at a temperature of 20°C, a diminution of the fluorescence of the AH-35 CPB signal is observed, suggesting that the attractive ionic interactions between the cationic sensing element and the polyanionic scaffold provided by the bacterial cell surface may quench the fluorescence emitted by the CPB. A similar effect on fluorescence intensity was also reported by Panda *et al.*⁵⁵ upon binding of gold nanoparticle-polythiophene composites to the cell surface of *Pediococcus acidilacti* and *E. coli*, but the decrease in fluorescence intensity did not appear as significant as what we observed.

Not shown in **Figure 3** are experiments done in the presence of 10⁴ MP/mL. In these experiments, the fluorescence of the polymer was extremely low, suggesting that the AH-35 polymer is totally quenched or adsorbed by the microbial particles and that an important microbial contamination in water could be easily detectable using this method.

Indeed, when the polymer is in solution, it presents a random coil conformation yielding an intense fluorescence peak at 530 nm.⁴⁰ For the foreseen application of the AH-35 CPB in the assessment of the general microbiological quality of water, these results are very significant since they demonstrate a statistically different signal at and over the HPC criteria recommended in Canada and the United States (500 CFU/mL).

However, the development of a commercial biosensor system might be hampered by the fact that a concept instrument shall uncover a decrease in the fluorescence intensity. This decrease might be explained that, upon binding to the negative charges of the bacterial cell wall, mainly those of the highly

abundant membrane phospholipids⁵⁷, a significant portion of CPB macromolecules are sequestered at the surface of the cell wall and the generation of the fluorescence signal (excitation and/or emission) might be affected or diminished by other outer membrane components such as proteins and lipopolysaccharides protruding outwards, thereby leading to a lesser intensity of the fluorescence instead of a chromic shift generally observed upon rearrangement on a polyanionic electrolytes or macromolecules.

3.2 The influence of temperature on the biosensing system. 22 and 37°C are incubation temperatures generally used for growing HPC.²¹ The influence of those incubation temperatures, as well as 20°C (generally called room temperature), was evaluated in the presence of increasing bacterial loads, from 0 to 1000 CFU/mL. **Figure 3** shows that increasing the incubation temperature of the biosensing system decreases the variation of the fluorescence signal data points and allows for an enhanced discrimination of the spectra but this is not significant.

According to Ho *et al.*⁴⁰, the chromic properties of the polymer are optimal when used at 55°C, but *E. coli* are not viable above 45°C. The best compromise was therefore to perform fluorescence measurements at a temperature that could be tolerated by bacteria (before temperature-induced lysis). That temperature, even if it is not optimal, should reduce fluorescence signal fluctuations of polymer. In these conditions, the discrimination between the polymer used alone or in the presence of bacteria appears more significant, suggesting that the biosensing system could effectively indicate if water is contaminated with more than 500 MP/mL (**Fig. 3**). It is known that the fluorescence of AH-35 might be influenced by negative chemical ions such as Br⁻ or I⁻⁴⁴. but there is lesser data regarding the influence of chemical or organic polyanions (biofilm metabolites) as well as residual chlorine and chloramines at concentrations found in drinking (tap) water. The influence of these potential contaminants on the biosensing system described in this work shall be investigated.

In contrast to the chromic transitions generally observed when CPB interact with polyanionic species, the higher temperature of the biosensing system does not affect the fluorescence peak wavelength, but improves signal stability.

This rapid method for detecting microbial particles in water could also find applications in dental clinics or in other medical centers, for testing dental unit water systems (DUWS) or water used for renal

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

dialysis. The required equipment would consist of a portable fluorescence spectrophotometer set on fixed excitation and emission wavelengths and a predetermined temperature, and of 1-mL UV-compatible cuvettes coated with a specific quantity of the cationic polymer. After a short incubation time, the sensing system would provide a positive signal if the MP concentration exceeds 500 MP/mL and, hence, if the water is safe to use (drink) or not.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

In contrast to standard methods developed for the determination of fecal contamination indicators, the heterotrophic bacterial count cannot be used for assessing the risk of waterborne diseases but it can provide highly useful information regarding the efficiency of drinking water disinfection processes, as well as for assessing the general microbiological quality of water distributed in municipal, industrial, or other specialized (medical) networks. However, since HPC determination is a culture-based method, it displays limitations associated to the *in vitro* culturability of microbes that can be recovered, as well as for its specificity with regards to the detection of other classes of microbes such as viruses and parasites.

In this work, we describe an application of cationic polythiophene biosensors for the culture-independent, direct, rapid, and yet extremely simple detection of microbial particles in water. For the time being and although the work described here was only accomplished with the gram-negative bacterium *E. coli*, the prototype microbial sensing system provides a statistically significant fluorescent signal when the microbial particle titer exceeds 500 MP/mL, the operational criteria observed in Canada and the United States. Integrated in a (automated) system, we believe that CPB-based determination of microbial particles in drinking water could be tested in parallel with that of residual chlorine and serve as a monitoring tool for the general microbiological quality of water distribution networks or as part of coordinated strategies for the rapid detection of microbial intrusion.⁵⁸⁻⁵⁹

Future developments of the biosensing system include 1° testing the CPB in the presence of other (or combinations of) microbial particles such as bacteria, lower eukaryotes (parasites, amoebas, etc.) and perhaps, viruses frequently recovered from drinking water, 2° testing the CPB in tap and bottled drinking water sources to investigate the influence of contaminants other than microbes, and 3° designing a "positive" detection method (and reagent) to simplify the "calling" of a water sample exceeding 500 MP/mL.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding author

1
2
3 *E-mail: Mario.Leclerc@chm.ulaval.ca
4

5 **Notes**
6

7 The authors declare no competing financial interest
8

9 **AKNOWLEDGMENTS**
10

11 This work is supported by NSERC.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

- (1) Moe, C. L.; Rheingans, R. D. *J. Water Health*, **2006**, *4 suppl.*, 41-57.
- (2) Reynolds, K. A.; Mena, K. D.; Gerba, C. P. *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, **2008**, *192*, 117-158.
- (3) Völker, S.; Schreiber, C.; Kistemann, T. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health.*, **2010**, *213*, 204-209.
- (4) Allen, M. J.; Edberg, S. C.; Reasoner D. J. *Int. J. Food. Microbiol.*, **2004**, *92*, 265-274.
- (5) Edberg, S. C.; Allen, M. J. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, **2004**, *92*, 255-263.
- (6) Mossel, D. A. A.; Struijk, C. B. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, **2004**, *92*, 375-390.
- (7) Berry, D.; Xi, C.; Raskin, L. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, **2006**, *17*, 297-302.
- (8) Lindsay, D.; Von Holy, A.; *J. Hosp. Infect.*, **2006**, *64*, 313-325.
- (9) Juhna, T.; Birzniece, D.; Larsson, S.; Zulenkovs, D.; Sharipo, A.; Azevedo, N. F.; Ménard Szczebara, F.; Castagnet, S.; Féliers, C.; Keevil, C. W. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **2007**, *73*, 7456-7464.
- (10) Inomata, A.; Chiba, T.; Hosaka, M. *Biocontrol. Sci.*, **2009**, *14*, 139-145.
- (11) Otterholt, E.; Charnock, C. *Water Res.*, **2011**, *45*, 2527-2538.
- (12) Wingender, J.; Flemming, H.-C. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*, **2011**, *214*, 417-423.
- (13) Edberg, S. C.; Rice, E. W.; Karlin, R. J.; Allen, M. J. *Symp. Ser. Soc. Appl. Microbiol.*, **2000**, *29*, 106S-116S.
- (14) Rompré, A.; Servais, P.; Baudart, J.; De-Roubin, M. R.; Laurent, P. *J. Microbiol. Meth.*, **2002**, *49*, 31-54.
- (15) Cabral, J. P. S. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **2010**, *7*, 3657-3703.
- (16) Figueras, M. J.; Borrego, J. J. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **2010**, *7*, 4179-4202.
- (17) Jofre, J.; Blanch, A. R. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, **2010**, *109*, 1853-1867.
- (18) Maheux, A. F.; Huppé, V.; Boissinot, M.; Picard, F. J.; Bissonnette, L.; Bernier, J.-L. T.; Bergeron, M. G. *J. Microbiol. Meth.*, **2008**, *75*, 506-514.
- (19) Maheux, A. F.; Picard, F. J.; Boissinot, M.; Huppé, V.; Bissonnette, L.; Bernier, J.-L. T.; Cantin, P.; Huletsky, A.; Bergeron, M. G. *Water Sci. Technol.*, **2009**, *60*, 943-955.
- (20) World Health Organization. *Heterotrophic plate count measurement in drinking water safety*

management. *Water, Sanitation and Health, Department of Protection of the Human Environment*,
World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2002, 13 p.

(21) Reasoner, D. J. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, **2004**, *92*, 307-315.

(22) Pavlov, D.; De Wet, C. M.; Grabow, W. O.; Ehlers, M. M. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, **2004**, *92*, 275-287.

(23) Berney, M.; Vital, M.; Hülshoff, I.; Weilenmann, H. U.; Egli, T.; Hammes, F. *Water Res.*, **2008**, *42*, 4010-4018.

(24) Health Canada. *Guidelines for Canadian drinking water quality: Guideline technical document - Heterotrophic plate count. Water Quality and Health Bureau, Healthy Environments and Consumer Safety Branch*, Health Canada, Ottawa, **2006**, 12 p.

(25) Francisque, A.; Rodriguez, M. J.; Miranda-Moreno, L. F.; Sadiq, R.; Proulx, F. *Water Res.*, **2009**, *43*, 1075-1087.

(26) Sylvester, D. M.; Taylor, R.; LaHann, T. R. *Infect. Dis. Rev.*, **2001**, *3*, 70-82.

(27) Bjergbæk, L. A.; Roslev, P. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, **2005**, *99*, 1090-1098.

(28) Oliver, J. D. *J. Microbiol.*, **2005**, *43*, S93-S100.

(29) Oliver, J. D. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, **2010**, *34*, 415-425.

(30) Kahlisch, L.; Henne, K.; Groebe, L.; Draheim, J.; Höfle, M. G.; Brettar, I. *Water Sci. Technol.*, **2010**, *61.1*, 9-14.

(31) Senoh, M.; Ghosh-Banerjee, J.; Ramamurthy, T.; Colwell, R. R.; Miyoshi, S.-i.; Nair, G. B.; Takeda, Y. *Microbiol. Immunol.*, **2012**, *56*, 342-345.

(32) Gostin, L. O.; Lazzarini, Z.; Neslund, V. S.; Osterholm, M. T. *Am. J. Public Health*, **2000**, *90*, 847-853.

(33) Nwachuku, K. P. R.; Gerba, C. P. *Curr. Op. Biotechnol.*, **2004**, *15*, 175-180.

(34) Hammes, F.; Berney, M.; Egli, T. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol.*, **2011**, *124*, 123-150.

(35) Maule, J.; Wainwright, N.; Steele, A.; Monaco, L.; Morris, H.; Gunter, D.; Damon, M.; Wells, M. *Astrobiology*, **2009**, *9*, 759-775.

(36) Hammes, F.; Berney, M.; Wang, Y.; Vital, M.; Köster, O.; Egli, T. *Water Res.*, **2008**, *42*, 269-277.

- (37) Hammes, F.; Egli, T. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, **2010**, *397*, 1083-1095.
- (38) Hammes, F.; Goldschmidt, F.; Vital, M.; Wang, Y.; Egli, T. *Water Res.*, **2010**, *44*, 3915-3923.
- (39) Morris, H. C.; Monaco, L. A.; Steele, A.; Wainwright, N.; *Astrobiology*, **2010**, *10*, 845-852.
- (40) Ho, H.-A.; Boissinot, M.; Bergeron, M. G.; Corbeil, G.; Doré, K.; Boudreau, D.; Leclerc, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2002**, *41*, 1548-1551.
- (41) Ho, H.-A.; Béra-Abérem, M.; Leclerc, M. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2005**, *11*, 1718-1724.
- (42) Ho, H.-A.; Doré, K.; Boissinot, M.; Bergeron, M. G.; Tanguay, R. M.; Boudreau, D.; Leclerc, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, *127*, 12673-12676.
- (43) Ho, H.-A.; Najari, A.; Leclerc, M. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2008**, *41*, 168-178.
- (44) Ho, H.-A.; Leclerc, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2003**, *125*, 4412-4413.
- (45) Ho, H.-A.; Leclerc, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2004**, *126*, 1384-1387.
- (46) Nilsson, K. P. R.; Inganäs, O. *Nature Mater.*, **2003**, *2*, 419-424.
- (47) Liu, B.; Bazan, G. C. *Chem. Mater.*, **2004**, *16*, 4467-4476.
- (48) Sigurdson, C. J.; Nilsson, K. P. R.; Hornemann, S.; Manco, G.; Polymenidou, M.; Schwarz, P.; Leclerc, M.; Hammarström, P.; Wüthrich, K.; Aguzzi, A. *Nature Methods*, **2007**, *4*, 1023-1030.
- (49) Alvarez, A.; Salinas-Castillo, A.; Costa-Fernández, J. M.; Pereiro, R.; Sanz-Medel, A. *Trends. Anal. Chem.*, **2011**, *30*, 1513-1525.
- (50) Liu, X.; Fan, Q.; Huang, W. *Biosensors Bioelectronics*, **2011**, *26*, 2154-2164.
- (51) Drozd, C.; Schwartzbrod, J. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **1996**, *62*, 1227-1232.
- (52) Wilson, W. W.; Wade, M. M.; Holman, S. C.; Champlin, F. R. *J. Microbiol. Methods*, **2001**, *43*, 153-164.
- (53) Neuhaus, F. C.; Baddiley, J. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, **2003**, *67*, 686-723.
- (54) Baek, M.-G.; Stevens, R. C.; Charych, D. H. *Bioconjugate Chem.*, **2000**, *77*, 777-788.
- (55) Panda, B. R.; Singh, A. K.; Ramesh, A.; Chattopadhyay, A. *Langmuir*, **2008**, *24*, 11995-12000.
- (56) Zhu, C.; Yang, Q.; Liu, L.; Wang, S. *J. Mater. Chem.*, **2011**, *21*, 7905-7912.
- (57) Nikaido, H.; Vaara, M. In *Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium Cellular and Molecular Biology*, Ingraham, J. L., Low, K. B., Magasanik, B., Chaechter, M., Umberger, H. W., Eds.;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

American Society for Microbiology: Washington, DC, **1987**; Vol. 1, p.7.

(58) Besner, M.-C.; Prévost, M.; Regli, S. *Water Res.*, **2011**, *45*, 961-979.

(59) Storey, M. V.; Van der Gaag, B.; Burns, B. P. *Water Res.*, **2011**, *45*, 741-747.

FIGURES AND TABLES




Figure 1. Structure of cationic polythiophene polymer (AH-35)**Figure 2. Schematic description of the method used. When the CBP is alone, its fluorescence is optimal. After adding a microbial particle, a diminution of fluorescence without any wavelength shift is notable.**

Figure 3. Fluorescence intensity (arbitrary units) of the AH-35 polymer in function of the wavelength, alone (1.38×10^{-7} M in nanopure water; graphs a, c, and e) for 100 (graphs b), 500 (graphs d), and 1000 (graphs f) CFU/mL of *E. coli*



TOC

