

Article

Simultaneous and accurate real time monitoring of glucose and ethanol in alcoholic drinks, must, and biomass by a dual amperometric biosensor

annalisa mentana, Carmen Palermo, Donatella Nardiello, Maurizio Quinto, and Diego Centonze

J. Agric. Food Chem., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/jf3031474 • Publication Date (Web): 03 Dec 2012

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on December 16, 2012

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Publications
High quality. High impact.

Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036
Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Revision submitted to Journal Agricultural and Food Chemistry

Simultaneous and accurate real time monitoring of glucose and ethanol in alcoholic drinks, must, and biomass by a dual amperometric biosensor

Annalisa Mentana, Carmen Palermo, Donatella Nardiello, Maurizio Quinto, Diego Centonze*

Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, degli Alimenti e dell'Ambiente, Università degli Studi di Foggia, via Napoli, 25 - 71100 Foggia (Italy)
e-mail: centonze@unifg.it

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0881 589104; fax: +39 0881 589101

E-mail address: centonze@unifg.it (D. Centonze)

ABSTRACT: In this work the optimization and application of a dual amperometric biosensor for simultaneous monitoring of glucose and ethanol content, as quality markers in drinks and alcoholic fermentation media, was described. The biosensor is based on glucose oxidase (GOD) and alcohol oxidase (AOD) immobilized by co-crosslinking with bovine serum albumin (BSA) and glutaraldehyde (GLU) both onto a dual gold electrode, modified with a permselective overoxidized polypyrrole film (PPYox). Response, rejection of interferents, and stability of the dual biosensor were optimized in terms of PPYox thickness, BSA and enzyme loading. The biosensor was integrated in a flow injection system coupled with an at-line microdialysis fibre as a sampling tool. Flow rates inside and outside the fibre were optimized in terms of, linear responses (0.01-1 M; 0.01-1.5 M) and sensitivities ($27.6 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$; $31.0 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$) for glucose and ethanol. Excellent anti-interference characteristics, the total absence of “cross-talk”, and good response stability under operational conditions allowed the application of the dual biosensor in the accurate real time monitoring (at least 15 samples/h) of alcoholic drinks, white grape must, and woody biomass.

KEYWORDS: *dual amperometric biosensor, glucose, ethanol, microdialysis, alcoholic drink, must, biomass*

33 INTRODUCTION

34 The alcoholic fermentation process represents a fundamental step in the production of various
35 biotechnological foodstuffs, such as wine and other beverages. The fermentation performance is very
36 important to the requirements of drinks manufacturers as it allows them to respond to consumers
37 increasing food sensitivity. For this reason, determination and monitoring of particular substances or
38 products, like quality markers, during fermentation, are important as they provide information of good
39 fermentation performance and give the possibility to modify almost in real time the process when there
40 are abnormalities. In particular, in the wine production, glucose and ethanol can be considered quality
41 markers, and continuous monitoring of these variables during fermentation is a prerequisite for
42 effective process control. In fact, glucose and ethanol are not only the carbon source for the
43 fermentation activity of yeasts and the main product of alcoholic fermentation, respectively, but they
44 also have important effects on yeast growth.¹

45 Basically, in the clinical and industrial laboratories, ethanol and glucose are determined by classical
46 methods, such as colorimetry,² spectrophotometry,^{3,4} chemiluminescence^{5,6} and enzymatic methods,^{7,8}
47 which are poorly selective and time consuming. Chromatographic methods⁹⁻¹³ are very selective and
48 reliable, but they require extensive sample pre-treatment, and expensive and cumbersome equipments.
49 On the other hand, enzyme amperometric biosensors are very attractive alternatives in the food quality
50 monitoring, agricultural and biotechnological applications, because they can provide specific, rapid and
51 repetitive assays by miniaturizable and low cost transducers.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ To operate in complex matrices,
52 biosensors should fulfil several requirements: they must be robust and easy to calibrate, should possess
53 a wide linear range, and must be fouling-free and interference-free. In case of multianalyte biosensing
54 devices other requirements, such as the absence of crossover effects, should be respected.^{17,18}

55 Glucose and ethanol amperometric biosensors are usually based on oxidase or NAD-dependent
56 dehydrogenase (GDH and ADH).¹⁹⁻²¹

57 The use of NAD-dependent dehydrogenase as biological component is rather complicated by the co-

58 presence of NAD coenzyme whose electrode regeneration is necessary for the action of those devices;²⁰
59 on the other hand, the use of oxidase in presence of oxygen produces H₂O₂ whose detection with
60 amperometric transducers can be subjected to interferences or ‘cross-talk’ effects in case of adjacent
61 sensors, due to inter-electrode diffusion of the biocatalytically generated hydrogen peroxide.^{17,22} A
62 number of attempts have been made in order to face these problems, but the above requirements have
63 not been completely met.²³⁻³⁸

64 Due to their characteristics, biosensors are particularly suitable for on-line monitoring analysis, in
65 particular coupled with flow injection analysis (FIA) techniques.²⁹⁻³¹ It has been also demonstrated that
66 biosensors can be used in FIA systems for multi-component analyses in real matrices.³²⁻³⁴

67 Dynamic sampling by microdialysis^{35,36} is a method which is based on passive diffusion of analytes
68 across a semi-permeable membrane in the presence of a concentration gradient so that the analyte
69 recovery is a mass transport controlled process. It can be conveniently coupled to a variety of analytical
70 techniques, such as mass spectrometry, voltammetry and chromatography,³⁷ and a number of
71 applications described the coupling of microdialysis samplers with biosensor for the monitoring of real
72 samples.^{26,29,38,39} Dynamic microdialysis allows an effective and reliable sampling dilution step and a
73 very efficient removal of fouling macroscopic matters (e.g. high molecular weight species such as
74 proteins, peptides, triglycerides, etc.). The use of biosensors coupled with microdialysis samplers in
75 FIA can be considered a very useful approach for the determination of analytes in real matrices.

76 In a recent work⁴⁰ the interference introduced by ethanol in the analysis of real samples performed by a
77 first generation biosensor have been efficiently overcome by the use of a gold electrode and a
78 permselective overoxidized polypyrrole film. This approach has been exploited⁴¹ in the attempt to
79 develop a dual amperometric biosensor, for glucose and ethanol monitoring.

80 In the present work the dual biosensor performances, such as sensitivity, selectivity and stability, have
81 been optimized in terms of BSA and enzyme loading, overoxidized polypyrrole film thickness, and
82 flow rate inside/outside the at-line microdialysis fibre sampler. A total absence of cross-talk effects

between the GOD and AOD modified electrodes has been demonstrated, and the device also showed a high sensitivity of response toward both analytes, a good stability in operational condition, and a suppression of the electroactive interferences present in real matrices. Biosensor performances have been tested by the simultaneous determination of glucose and ethanol content in commercial alcoholic drinks, white grape must, and biomass.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Alcohol oxidase (EC 1.1.3.13., from *Pichia pastoris*, 33 U mg⁻¹ protein in a 60% saccharose solution with 0.1M phosphate buffer at pH 8), Glucose oxidase (EC 1.1.3.4., type II from *Aspergillus niger*, 15 U mg⁻¹ protein), glutaraldehyde (grade II, 25% aqueous solution) and bovine serum albumin were purchased from Sigma (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis MO, USA), and used as received.

Pyrrole (Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) was purified by vacuum distillation at 40° C and stored in the dark under nitrogen atmosphere at -20 °C.

Ethanol and all other chemicals of analytical-reagent grade were purchased from Baker (Mollinckrodt Backer B. V. Deventer, Holland) and used without further purification.

Real samples. Commercial liqueurs, Campari (25 % vol.), Herbal liqueur (30 % vol.), and Mandarin liqueur (30 % vol.) were purchased in a local supermarket. White grape must and woody biomass samples were collected from local factories, filtered on cellulose acetate (0.2 µm, PhenexTM - RC), and stored at – 20°C until the analysis.

Apparatus. Electrochemical measurements were carried out with an Autolab PGSTAT 12 and GPES software, version 4.9 (Eco Chemie B.V., Utrecht, Holland).

The flow injection apparatus consisted of a Minipuls 3 peristaltic pump (Gilson, Villiers Le Bel, France), a six-way low pressure injection valve (Rheodyne mod. 5020, Cotati, CA, USA), and a conventional thin-layer electrochemical cell (EG&G Princeton Applied Research, Princeton NJ, USA)

with a dual Au working electrode (3 mm diameter, 1 mm electrode gap), and a thin-layer flow cell gasket of 255 μm thickness. All potentials were referred to a Ag/AgCl (3M Cl⁻) reference electrode. A pH 7.4 phosphate buffer solution (PB) was used as the carrier. Spectra/por hollow fibres (regenerate cellulose, 150 μm i.d., 168 μm o.d.) having a molecular weight cut-off of 9000 Dalton were purchased from Spectrum Medical Industries (Los Angel, CA). The microdialysis fibre sampler was previously described.³⁸

Electrosynthesized polymer modified electrodes. Gold electrodes were polished with alumina (0.3 μm and 1 μm), washed, sonicated in purified water, and electrochemically pre-treated by potential cycling between -0.2 and +1.5 V *versus* Ag/AgCl in 0.5 M sulphuric acid until a steady state voltammogram was obtained. Poly-pyrrole (PPY) films were electrochemically grown, on pre-treated gold electrodes, at +0.7 V *versus* Ag/AgCl in a 10 mM KCl solution containing 0.4 M pyrrole. The deposition charge was 537 $\text{mC}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ corresponding to a film thickness of about 1.2 μm .⁴² Au/PPY electrodes were overoxidized at +0.7 V *versus* Ag/AgCl in PB at pH 7.4 for at least 5 h until a steady state background current was obtained.

Biosensor preparation. GOD and AOD dual biosensor (Scheme 1) was prepared as follows: 200 μL of a PB solution at pH 7.4 containing 13 mg of BSA and 69 U of GOD were mixed with 20 μL of 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution (25% GLU solution diluted 1:10 with PB). AOD solution was prepared by mixing 200 μL of a PB solution at pH 7.4 containing 24 mg of BSA and 11.6 U of AOD with 20 μL of 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution. 3 μL of GOD and AOD final solutions were carefully pipetted onto the surface of the Au/PPYox modified electrodes avoiding any mixing of the two solutions. Crosslinking reaction took place leaving the electrodes for 60 min at the temperature of 25°C. Afterward, the dual biosensor was washed to remove any weakly bound and/or adsorbed enzyme and the device was stored in PB at 4° C when not in use.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimization of GOD and AOD dual biosensor

Effect of PPYox thickness. PPYox as a perm-selective film in the development of amperometric biosensors represents a very interesting anti-interferent mean towards the most common electroactive interferents. The film thickness is the most important parameter that can affect the permselectivity, and than the anti-interferent characteristics of the biosensor. The thickness was optimized by measuring the absolute bias (expressed as glucose concentration) of the most common interferents present in food matrices and biological fluids, at their typical concentrations: 0.58 mM uric acid, 1.1 mM sodium metabisulfite, 0.1 mM ascorbic acid, 0.2 mM paracetamol, 0.08 mM cysteine, 0.48 mM gallic acid. The alcohol biosensor based on a 0.67 μm PPYox film, and tested in flow injection conditions at 0.5 $\text{mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ gave biases in the range 3.2 – 22 μM , but gallic acid, which is the most representative polyphenol compound presents in alcoholic drinks and biomasses, gave an unacceptable bias of $898 \pm 6.9 \mu\text{M}$. As expected, by increasing the film thickness the anti-interferent capabilities improved, and at 1 μm the bias produced by gallic acid was $673.0 \pm 3.5 \mu\text{M}$, while at 1.2 μm thickness it resulted $172.0 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{M}$; the overall bias relevant to all the interferents tested was below 200 μM . High film thicknesses gave long response time, low repeatability and loss of mechanical stability of the biosensor with a consequent loss of sensitivity. Similar results were obtained at the glucose biosensor.

Effect of BSA concentration. The use of BSA in the immobilization of enzymes by co-crosslinking prevents the possible biocomponent denaturation due to the involvement of amino acids present in the active site. On the other hand, co-crosslinking efficiency as mechanical stability of the enzyme entrapping gel also depends on the number of functional lysine groups either of BAS or enzyme molecules. Therefore, BSA concentration has been optimized in terms of biosensor sensitivity and operational stability. For this purpose, tests using BSA in the range 2.4–32 mg were carried out keeping the temperature (25°C), the concentrations of enzymes, and amount of glutaraldehyde (2.5%)

unchanged. In particular, at BSA concentrations above 40 mg, the co-crosslinking occurred rapidly (less than 5 s), without any possibility of spotting the solution onto the PPYox film modified electrode. Glucose biosensors with 24 mg of BSA showed a lower sensitivity value ($2122 \pm 142 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$) with respect to biosensors with lower BSA amount (3.5 – 13 mg) that exhibited sensitivities in the range 21079 - 22777 $\mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$.

Furthermore, the BSA loading consistently affects the glucose biosensor stability. BSA concentrations above 24 mg gave nonflexible mechanically gels with a consequent deterioration of the PPYox anti-interferent film and the glucose biosensor itself, while concentrations lower than 2.4 mg gave an inefficient co-crosslinking that implied a consequent gel instability and a rapid loss of the biosensor sensitivity.

In Figure 1 stability data obtained under operational conditions for a GOD biosensor, at BSA loading in the range 3.5 - 13mg are shown. Biosensors with 3.5 and 6.5 mg of BSA were stable up to 3 days, while after 5 days the response was negligible owing to the detachment of the enzyme membrane during the FIA. The device with 13 mg of BSA showed a good stability of response, retaining the 65% of initial signal after 3 weeks of use in operational conditions. A similar trend has been obtained for the AOD biosensor with the best results in terms of sensitivity and stability by using 24 mg of BSA. An optimized BAS amount higher than that of GOD can be explained considering a different number of lysine groups present in the AOD involved in the co-crosslinking process.

Effect of the enzyme concentration. In the development of biosensors the enzyme loading strictly affects the sensitivity of response, therefore several dual biosensors prepared by different amounts of enzymes crosslinked onto PPYox modified Au electrodes were investigated, maintaining the optimized BSA amount, glutaraldehyde, and volume of the crosslinking solution (3 μL) constant.

AOD and GOD concentrations in the range 29 - 222 $\text{U}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ and 90 – 345 $\text{U}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ were used in the crosslinking solution, respectively. The AOD biosensor showed an increase of sensitivity by increasing the enzyme loading up to 58 $\text{U}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ ($29001 \pm 283 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$), while at higher enzyme

concentrations the sensitivity decreased strongly (16552 ± 280 and $3678 \pm 270 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ at 110 and $222 \text{ U}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$, respectively). This behaviour suggests⁴³ a change in the rate determining step, i.e. substrate diffusion limitation, occurring in the crosslinked matrix, whose chemical and mechanical characteristics depend on the crosslinking degree, hence on the enzyme concentration - number of lysine groups.⁴⁴

On the contrary, the GOD biosensor displayed an enzyme loading dependent sensitivity with an increase up to $22777 \pm 848 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ at an enzyme concentration of $345 \text{ U}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$. This trend is expected for amperometric biosensors in which the rate of enzyme catalysis is comparable or slower than the diffusion of enzyme substrate inside the immobilization layer.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷

Optimization of the dual amperometric biosensor in FIA with a microdialysis fibre sampler.

As described in the previous sections, the optimized GOD and AOD based biosensors were fabricated onto a gold dual working electrode, giving a single biosensor device (Au/PPYox/GOD-glutaraldehyde-BSA and Au/PPYox/AOD-glutaraldehyde-BSA, see Scheme 1) for simultaneous monitoring of glucose and ethanol. Analyses carried out in a FIA system operating at $0.5 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ allowed the determination of performance parameters. Sensitivities of 22777 ± 843 and $29001 \pm 283 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ for glucose and ethanol, respectively, linearity in the range $0.05 - 1 \text{ mM}$ ($r^2 = 0.999$) and detection limits of $5 \mu\text{M}$ were obtained. In complex real matrices the concentration of the analytes is out of the linear range of the biosensor response, therefore the use of a microdialysis fibre sampler can allow both at-line and on-line analyses without any pre-treatment step of dilution and/or filtration, which are very useful for the monitoring in the food-processing industry.

Recently, different applications have been described concerning the coupling of microdialysis sampling with biosensors.^{26,29,38,39} In fact, electrode fouling caused by high molecular weight components (e.g. proteins) normally occurring in biofluids and tissues, and the low K'_M value of the immobilized

enzymes, which can be inadequate for practical applications, are problems that can be coped with the use of a microdialysis sampler. The recovery of a microdialysis sampler is defined as the ratio between the concentration of the sample and the dialysate. This value depends on a number of parameters, e.g. the active area involved in the microdialysis process and the flow rate in the sample and in the dialysate. For example, a decrease in the flow rate usually increases the recovery, but at the same time increases the analysis time.

The microdialysis sampler is placed in a FIA system³⁸ and the fibre is contacted by a limited sample plug (typically around 100 μL) injected in a carrier stream; the higher the sample velocity, the lower the sampling time, and then recovery. Moreover, another important parameter that should be considered in order to optimize the flow rates inside and outside the fibre is the sample throughput. In present case, a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min inside and outside the fibre guarantees a sufficient sensitivity (27.6 ± 0.4 and $31.0 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{A} \cdot \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for glucose and ethanol, respectively), and a sampling rate at least of 15 samples/h. These operational conditions allowed a linear range of response up to 1.0 ($r^2 = 0.998$) and 1.5 M ($r^2 = 0.996$) for glucose and ethanol, respectively, with detection limits of 5 mM.

The particular fabrication approach avoids any cross-talk between the two biosensors integrated in the same transducer, as can be noticed in Figure 2, where injections of glucose or ethanol standard solutions in the concentration range 0.1 – 5 M showed no responses on the other modified electrode. The dual biosensor integrated in a FIA system with an at-line microdialysis sampler can be used for analyses of real samples because the concentrations normally found in alcoholic drinks, musts and biomasses are in the linear range of the biosensor response. A problem that often influences the reliability of a biosensor for the analysis of real samples is the stability under operational condition, which can be affected by the deterioration of the permselective film or leaking of the enzyme during the analysis. In this study the biosensor stability under operational flow conditions was assessed by the analysis of 400 untreated biomass real samples injected in a 3 days period of time, during which the dual biosensor was biased continuously at the working potential; at the end of the explored period the

retained sensitivities of response to glucose and ethanol were 100 % and 90 %, respectively.

Performances of the proposed first generation dual amperometric biosensor are better than those (see Table 1) of other systems for simultaneous glucose and ethanol monitoring, based on more complex detection schemes, such as reactors²⁶ or mediators with⁴⁹ and without²⁸ HRP. In particular, the dual biosensor possesses higher sensitivities of response, a higher operational stability, and excellent anti-interferent properties, which can allow an accurate monitoring of complex matrices even at low concentrations of substrates.

Application to real samples.

The optimized dual GOD and AOD based biosensor, in combination with an at-line microdialysis fibre sampler, was successfully used to measure glucose and ethanol concentrations in real samples, even during biological processes, such as alcoholic fermentation of musts, allowing a real-time monitoring of the process. Figure 3 shows ethanol and glucose concentration profiles during the fermentation process of a white grape must. The slight increase of ethanol and decrease of glucose during the first 48 hours can be probably ascribed to the irreversible yeasts inhibition by SO₂ and a consequent selection of SO₂ resistant yeasts. In the following three days the activity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is demonstrated by the glucose total consumption (after about 300 hours). At the end of the fermentation process, which also involved other sugars, the alcoholic grade gained the final value of 11%.

In Figure 4 the use of the dual biosensor device coupled with a microdialysis sampler for the simultaneous determination of glucose and ethanol in alcoholic drinks is displayed. Low glucose values were found in real samples, since saccharose is typically used as sweetener in those drinks. The alcoholic contents determined by the dual biosensor were not significantly different (according to a t-test at 95% confidence level) from those reported on the labels of the drinks. The good response reproducibility of glucose and ethanol standard solutions, obtained before and after the injections of

real samples, proved the absence of any fouling effect and/or enzyme denaturation, and then system reliability.

The potential of the dual biosensor was also tested by the analysis of woody biomass whole samples, which were only filtered before injection. Figure 5 shows typical glucose and ethanol profiles of a woody biomass with an initial glucose level of $10 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, spiked with increasing concentrations of glucose ($4 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ every 2 h) until a final value of $26 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. The biosensor detected a decrease of the glucose with an increase of ethanol up to the 22nd hour, with an expected delay of 2 hours. The monitoring of the microbial growth (data not reported) showed a plateau (maximum rate) starting from the 24th hour, confirming that microorganisms consumed ethanol as carbon source when the glucose was depleted. The results obtained by the dual biosensor compared well (paired t-test at 95% confidence level) with those of standard reference methods based on colorimetric enzymatic assays.

In this study a dual amperometric biosensor based on AOD and GOD entrapped by co-crosslinking onto a dual gold electrode modified with a permselective overoxidized polypyrrole film was developed and optimised for the first time. The dual amperometric biosensor that is cross-talk and interference free was easily integrated in a conventional FIA system coupled with an at-line microdialysis fibre based sampler. The biosensor showed in flow conditions very wide linear ranges of response and a high operational stability that allowed accurate simultaneous analyses of glucose and ethanol in real complex matrices with no pre-treatment, even at low concentrations of substrates, with a good sample throughput. Results compared well with those obtained by standard reference methods based on colorimetric enzymatic assays, then the proposed device represents an advantageous alternative to the official methods for the fast and accurate monitoring of both drinks and bioprocesses.

Abbreviations

GOD, glucose oxidase; AOD, alcohol oxidase; BSA, bovine serum albumin; GLU, glutaraldehyde; PPY, poly-pyrrole; PPYox, overoxidized polypyrrole; NAD, nicotinamide—adenine dinucleotide;

279 GDH, glucose dehydrogenase; ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; FIA, flow injection analysis; PB,
280 phosphate buffer.

281

282 REFERENCES

283 (1) Rodrussamee, N.; Lertwattanasakul, N.; Hirata, K.; Suprayogi Limtong, S.; Kosaka, T.; Yamada,
284 M. Growth and ethanol fermentation ability on hexose and pentose sugars and glucose effect under
285 various conditions in thermotolerant yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*
286 **2011**, *90*, 1573-1586.

287 (2) Zanon, J.P.; Peres, M.F.S.; Gattas, E.A.L. Colorimetric assay of ethanol using alcohol
288 dehydrogenase from dry baker's yeast. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **2007**, *40*, 466-470.

289 (3) Harms, D.; Meyer, J.; Westerheide, L.; Krebs, B.; Karst, U. Determination of glucose in soft
290 drinks using its enzymatic oxidation and the detection of formed hydrogen peroxide with a dinuclear
291 iron(III) complex. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *401*, 83-90.

292 (4) Vasilarou, A.M.G.; Georgiou, C.A. Enzymatic Spectrophotometric Reaction Rate Determination
293 of Glucose in Fruit Drinks and Carbonated Beverages: An Analytical Chemistry Laboratory
294 Experiment for Food Science-Oriented Students. *J. Chem. Educ.* **2000**, *77*, 1327-1329.

295 (5) Economou, A.; Panoutsou, P.; Themelis, D.G. Enzymatic chemiluminescent assay of glucose by
296 sequential-injection analysis with soluble enzyme and on-line sample dilution. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2006**,
297 *572*, 140-147.

298 (6) Panoutsou, P.; Economou, A. Rapid enzymatic chemiluminescent assay of glucose by means of a
299 hybrid flow-injection/sequential-injection method. *Talanta* **2005**, *67*, 603-609.

300 (7) Atwater, J.E.; Akse, J.R.; DeHart, J.; Wheeler, Jr.R.R. Enzymatic determination of ethanol using
301 'reagentless' electrocatalyzed luminol chemiluminescence. *Anal. Lett.* **1997**, *30*, 1445-1453.

302 (8) Gonchar, M.V.; Maidan, M.M.; Pavlishko, H.M.; Sibirny, A.A. A New Oxidase-Peroxidase Kit
303 for Ethanol Assays in Alcoholic Beverages. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* **2001**, *39*, 37-42.

- 304 (9) Buckee, G.K.; Mundy, A.P. Determination of Ethanol in Beer by Gas Chromatography (Direct
305 Injection)-Collaborative Trial. *J. Inst. Brew.* **1993**, *99*, 381-384.
- 306 (10) Coquet, A.; Haerdi, W.; Agosti, R.D.; Veuthey, J.L. Determination of sugars by liquid
307 chromatography with post-column catalytic derivatization and fluorescence detection.
308 *Chromatographia* **1994**, *38*, 12-16.
- 309 (11) Lefebvre, D.; Gabriel, V.; Vayssier, Y.; Fontagné-Faucher, C. Simultaneous HPLC
310 Determination of Sugars, Organic Acids and Ethanol in Sourdough Process. *Lebensm.-Wiss. Technol.*
311 **2002**, *35*, 407-414.
- 312 (12) McLachlan, D.G.; Wheeler, P.D.; Sims, G.G. Automated gas chromatographic method for the
313 determination of ethanol in canned salmon. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47*, 217-220.
- 314 (13) Tagliaro, F.; Dorizzi, R.; Ghielmi, S. Direct injection high-performance liquid chromatographic
315 method with electrochemical detection for the determination of ethanol and methanol in plasma using
316 an alcohol oxidase reactor. *J. Chromatogr. Biomed. Appl.* **1991**, *566*, 333-339.
- 317 (14) Crouch, E.; Cowell, D.C.; Hoskins, S.; Pittson, R.W.; Hart, J.P. A novel, disposable, screen-
318 printed amperometric biosensor for glucose in serum fabricated using a water-based carbon ink.
319 *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2005**, *21*, 712-718.
- 320 (15) Palmisano, F.; Zambonin, P. G.; Centonze, D.; Quinto, M. A disposable, reagentless, third-
321 generation glucose biosensor based on overoxidized poly(pyrrole)/tetrathiafulvalene-
322 tetracyanoquinodimethane composite. *Anal. Chem.* **2002**, *74*, 5913-5918.
- 323 (16) Quinto, M.; Losito, I.; Palmisano, F.; Zambonin, C.G. Disposable interference-free glucose
324 biosensor based on an electropolymerised poly(pyrrole) permselective film. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2000**,
325 *420*, 9-17.
- 326 (17) Palmisano, F.; Zambonin, P.G.; Centonze, D. Amperometric biosensors based on
327 electrosynthesised polymeric films. *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *366*, 586-601.

- (18) Quinto, M.; Koudelka-Hep, M.; Palmisano, F. Enzyme modified microband electrodes: Cross-talk effects and their elimination. *Analyst* **2001**, *126*, 1068-1072.
- (19) Kulys, J.J. Amperometric enzyme electrodes in analytical chemistry. *Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem.* **1989**, *335*, 86-91.
- (20) Razumiene, J.; Gurevičiene, V.; Laurinavičius, V.; Gražulebičius, J.V. Amperometric detection of glucose and ethanol in beverages using flow cell and immobilised on screen-printed carbon electrode PQQ-dependent glucose or alcohol dehydrogenases. *Sens. Actuators, B* **2001**, *78*, 243-248.
- (21) Santos, A.S.; Pereira, A.C.; Durán, N.; Kubota, L.T. Amperometric biosensor for ethanol based on co-immobilization of alcohol dehydrogenase and Meldola's Blue on multi-wall carbon nanotube. *Electrochim. Acta* **2006**, *52*, 215-220.
- (22) Strike, D.J.; Hengstenberg, A.; Quinto, M.; Kurzava, C.; Koudelka-Hep, M.; Schuhmann, W. Localized visualization of chemical cross-talk in microsensor arrays by using scanning electrochemical microscopy. *Mikrochim. Acta* **1999**, *131*, 47-55.
- (23) Asav, E.; Akyilmaz, E. Preparation and optimization of a bienzymic biosensor based on self-assembled monolayer modified gold electrode for alcohol and glucose detection. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2010**, *25*, 1014-1018.
- (24) Goriushkina, T.B.; Soldatkin, A.P.; Dzyadevych, S.V. Application of amperometric biosensors for analysis of ethanol, glucose, and lactate in wine. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 6528-6535.
- (25) Guzman-Vázquez de Prada, A.; Pena, N.; Mena, M.L.; Reviejo, A.J.; Pingarrón, J.M. Graphite-Teflon composite bienzyme amperometric biosensors for monitoring of alcohols *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2003**, *18*, 1279-1288.
- (26) Lapa, R.; Lima, J.; Pinto, I. Development of a sequential injection analysis system for the simultaneous biosensing of glucose and ethanol in bioreactor fermentation. *Food Chem.* **2003**, *81*, 141-146.

- 352 (27) Matsumoto, K.; Waki, K. Simultaneous biosensing of ethanol and glucose with combined use of
353 a rotating bioreactor and a stationary column reactor. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, 380, 1-6.
- 354 (28) Niculescu, M.; Mieliauskiene, R.; Laurinavicius, V.; Csöregi, E. Simultaneous detection of
355 ethanol, glucose and glycerol in wines using pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent dehydrogenases
356 based biosensors. *Food Chem.* **2003**, 82, 481-489.
- 357 (29) Kumar, M.A.; Thakur, M.S.; Senthuran, A.; Senthuran, V.; Karanth, N.G.; Hatti-Kaul, R.;
358 Mattiasson, B. An automated flow injection analysis system for on-line monitoring of glucose and L-
359 lactate during lactic acid fermentation in a recycle bioreactor. *World J. Microbiol. Biotech.* **2001**, 17,
360 23-29.
- 361 (30) Ruzicka, J.; Hansen, E.H. Flow Injection Analysis. In *Chemical Analysis*, 2nd edition;
362 Winefordner, J.D., Ed.; Wiley Interscience: Hoboken (NJ), 1988; Vol. 62.
- 363 (31) Scheper, T.H.; Hilmer, J.M.; Lammers, F.; Müller, C.; Reinecke, M. Biosensors in bioprocess
364 monitoring. *J. Chrom. A* **1996**, 725, 3-12.
- 365 (32) Lukacheva, L.V.; Zakemovskaya, A.A.; Karyakina, E.E.; Zorov, I.N.; Sinitsyn, A.P.;
366 Sukhacheva, M.V.; Netrusov, A.I.; Karyakin, A.A. Determination of glucose and lactose in food
367 products with the use of biosensors based on Berlin blue. *J. Anal. Chem.* **2007**, 62, 388-393.
- 368 (33) Marquette, C.A.; Blum L.J. Luminol electrochemiluminescence-based fibre optic biosensors for
369 flow injection analysis of glucose and lactate in natural samples. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, 381, 1-10.
- 370 (34) Schöning, M.J.; Krause, R.; Block, K.; Musahmeh, M.; Mulchandani, A.; Wang, J. A dual
371 amperometric/potentiometric FIA-based biosensor for the distinctive detection of organophosphorus
372 pesticides. *Sens. Actuators, B* **2003**, 95, 291-296.
- 373 (35) Lunte, C.E.; Scott, D.O.; Kissinger, P.T. Sampling living systems using microdialysis probes.
374 *Anal. Chem.* **1991**, 63, 773-780.
- 375 (36) Robinson, T.E.; Justice J.B. Jr., Eds.; Microdialysis in the Neurosciences. In *Techniques in the*
376 *Behavioral and Neural Sciences*, series Ed. Huston J.P., Elsevier: Amsterdam (ND), 1991; Vol. 7.

- 377 (37) Sosnitza, P.; Irtel, F.; Ulber, R.; Busse, M.; Faurie, R.; Fischer, L.; Scheper, T. Flow injection
378 analysis system for the supervision of industrial chromatographic downstream processing in
379 biotechnology. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **1998**, *13*, 1251-1255.
- 380 (38) Palmisano, F.; Centonze, D.; Quinto, M.; Zambonin, P.G. A microdialysis fibre based sampler
381 for flow injection analysis : determination of L-lactate in biofluids by an electrochemically synthesised
382 bilayer membrane based biosensor. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **1996**, *11*, 419-425.
- 383 (39) Palmisano, F.; Quinto, Rizzi R.; Zambonin, P.G. Flow injection analysis of L-lactate in milk and
384 yoghurt by on-line microdialysis and amperometric detection at a disposable biosensor. *Analyst* **2001**,
385 *126*, 866-870.
- 386 (40) Carelli, D.; Centonze, D.; De Giglio, A.; Quinto, M.; Zambonin, P.G. An interference-free first
387 generation alcohol biosensor based on a gold electrode modified by an overoxidised non-conducting
388 polypyrrole film. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2006**, *565*, 27-35.
- 389 (41) Carelli, D.; Quinto, M.; Centonze, D.; D'Amato, D.; Sinigaglia, M. Biosensore amperometrico
390 per il controllo di glucosio ed etanolo durante la fermentazione alcolica. *Industrie delle Bevande* **2007**,
391 *XXXVI*, 233-236.
- 392 (42) Fortier, G.; Brassard, E.; Belanger, D. Optimization of a polypyrrole glucose oxidase biosensor.
393 *Biosensor Bioelectron.* **1990**, *5*, 473-490.
- 394 (43) Guerrieri, A.; De Benedetto, G.E.; Palmisano, F.; Zambonin, P.G. Electrosynthesized non-
395 conducting polymers as permselective membranes in amperometric enzyme electrodes: a glucose
396 biosensor based on a co-crosslinked glucose oxidase/overoxidized polypyrrole bilayer. *Biosens.*
397 *Bioelectron.* **1998**, *13*, 103-112.
- 398 (44) Carelli, D.; Centonze, D.; Palermo, C.; Quinto, M.; Rotunno, T. An interference free
399 amperometric biosensor for the detection of biogenic amines in food products. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*
400 **2007**, *23*, 640-647.

- 401 (45) Bartlett, P.N.; Whitaker, R.G. Electrochemical immobilisation of enzymes. Part I. Theory. *J.*
402 *Electroanal. Chem.* **1987**, *224*, 27-35.
- 403 (46) Bartlett, P.N.; Whitaker, R.G. Electrochemical immobilisation of enzymes. Part II. Glucose
404 oxidase immobilised in poly-N-methylpyrrole. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1987**, *224*, 37-48.
- 405 (47) Mell, L.D.; Maloy, J.T. A model for the amperometric enzyme electrode obtained through
406 digital simulation and applied to the glucose oxidase system. *Anal. Chem.* **1975**, *47*, 299-307.
- 407 (48) del Cerro, M.A.; Cayuela, G.; Reviejo, A.J.; Pingarron, J.M.; Wang, J. Graphite-Teflon-
408 Peroxidase Composite Electrodes. Application to the Direct Determination of Glucose in Musts and
409 Wines. *Electroanalysis* **1997**, *9*, 1113-1119.
- 410 (49) Guzman-Vázquez de Prada, A.; Pena, N.; Parrado, C.; Reviejo, A.J.; Pingarrón, J.M.
411 Amperometric multidetection with composite enzyme electrodes. *Talanta* **2004**, *62*, 896-903.
412

413 CAPTIONS

414 **Scheme 1.** Schematic view of a typical dual biosensor for simultaneous monitoring of glucose and
415 ethanol. GLU: Glutaraldehyde; BSA: Bovine serum albumine; AOD: Alcohol oxidase; GOD: Glucose
416 oxidase; PPYox: overoxidized poly-pyrrole; Au: Gold electrode.

417

418 **Figure 1.** Operational stability of Au/PPYox/GOD-glutaraldehyde-BSA biosensors prepared with
419 different BSA amounts measured by injections of glucose. Data are means of 3 repetitive injections of
420 a 0.5 mM glucose standard solution; Flow rate, $0.5 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$; Injection loop, $110 \mu\text{L}$.

421

422 **Figure 2.** Flow responses obtained by duplicate injections of ethanol and glucose at different
423 concentrations with a dual GOD and AOD based biosensor coupled with an at-line microdialysis fibre
424 sampler. Flow rate (inside/outside the fibre), $0.2 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$; Injection loop, $110 \mu\text{L}$.

425

426 **Figure 3.** Ethanol and glucose concentration profiles of a typical alcoholic fermentation of a white
427 grape must monitored by the dual GOD and AOD based biosensor coupled with an at-line
428 microdialysis fibre sampler.

429

430 **Figure 4.** Flow injection analyses of standard solutions and alcoholic drinks diluted 1:10 carried out at
431 the dual GOD and AOD based biosensor coupled with an at-line microdialysis fibre sampler. (a)
432 Standard mix solution of ethanol and glucose 0.5 M each; (b) Standard mix solution of ethanol and
433 glucose 0.1 M each; (c) Campari, (d) Mandarin liqueur; (e) Herbal liqueur. Flow rate (inside/outside
434 the fibre), $0.2 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$; Injection loop, $110 \mu\text{L}$.


435

436 **Figure 5.** Ethanol and glucose concentration profiles in a woody biomass monitored by the dual GOD
437 and AOD based biosensor coupled with an at-line microdialysis fibre sampler.

Table 1. Comparison of enzyme based systems for the simultaneous monitoring of glucose and ethanol

Biosensor configuration (operational mode)	Sensitivity Glucose Ethanol ($\mu\text{A}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$)	Linearity/LOD Glucose Ethanol (mM)	Stability	Real sample/ sampling rate (samples h^{-1})	Reference
Au/PPYox/AOD-glutaraldehyde-BSA and Au/PPYox/GOD-glutaraldehyde- BSA dual biosensor (FIA with and without a microdialysis fibre sampler)	27.6 ± 0.4 31.0 ± 0.6 22777 ± 848^a 29001 ± 283^a	$10 - 1000 / 5$ $10 - 1500 / 5$ $0.05-1 / 0.005^a$ $0.05-1 / 0.005^a$	sensitivity retained: 100 % and 90 % (glucose and ethanol) after the continuous analysis of 400 untreated biomass real samples injected in a 3 days period of time.	White grape must, woody biomass, and diluted liqueurs and Campari / 15	Present work
Graphite-Teflon-GOD-HRP-ferrocene and Graphite-Teflon-AOD-HRP- ferrocene composite electrodes in parallel (FIA)	8629 ± 28^b 1897 ± 28^c	$0.01-0.8 / 0.0019^b$ $0.1- 4.0 / 0.019^c$	15 days, but a daily renewal of the electrode surface before use was necessary.	Diluted red wine and sweet sherry / 15 ^d	49
Controlled-pore glass / AOD and GOD reactors (Sequential Injection Analysis with filtration and dialysis units)	- ^e	$0.028-4.17^a / -$ $0.0033-0.65^a / -$	-	Beer fermentation broth / 50	26
Graphite/PEGDGE/PVI ₁₃ dmeOs/GDH/ PQQ and graphite/PEGDGE/PVI ₁₃ dmeOs/ADH/ PQQ biosensors (FIA)	0.087 0.22	0.02-0.8 0.0025-0.25	sensitivity retained: 80% after 1 month of storage at 4°C; 60% after 20h and 90% after 100h of continuous operation, for glucose and ethanol respectively.	Diluted wines	28

^a Obtained without the microdialysis sampler; ^b data from reference 48; ^c data from reference 25; ^d value estimated from the reference; ^e - = not reported.



Scheme 1




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

TABLE OF CONTENTS GRAPHICS

