

Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: J. Yang, J. Zhu, R. Pei, J. A. Oliver, D. W. Landry, M. N. Stojanovic and Q. Lin, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C5AY02979A.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



PAPER

Integrated Microfluidic Aptasensor for Mass Spectrometric Detection of Vasopressin in Human Plasma Ultrafiltrate

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

J. Yang,^{a,†} J. Zhu,^a R. Pei,^b J. A. Oliver,^c D. W. Landry,^c M. N. Stojanovic^c and Q. Lin^{a,*}

We present a microfluidic aptamer-based biosensor for detection of low-molecular-weight biomarkers in patient samples. Using a microfluidic device that integrates aptamer-based specific analyte extraction, isocratic elution, and detection by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry, we demonstrate rapid, sensitive and label-free detection of arginine vasopressin (AVP) in human plasma ultrafiltrate. AVP molecules in complex matrices are specifically captured by an aptamer that is immobilized on microbeads via affinity binding in a microchamber. After the removal of unbound, contaminating molecules through washing, aptamer-AVP complexes are thermally disrupted via on-chip temperature control. Released AVP molecules are eluted with purified water and transferred to a separate microchamber, and deposited onto a single spot on a MALDI plate via repeated, piezoelectrically actuated ejection, which enriches AVP molecules over the spot area. This integrated on-chip sample processing enables the quantitative detection of low-abundance AVP by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in a rapid and label-free manner. Our experimental results show the detection of AVP in human plasma ultrafiltrate as low as physiologically relevant picomolar concentrations via aptamer-based selective preconcentration, demonstrating the potential of our approach as a rapid (~1 hr), sensitive clinical AVP assay.

Introduction

Biosensors are widely used for the detection and analysis of biomolecules that are disease relevant biomarkers such as genes, proteins, and peptides. Typically, they consist of a molecular recognition element and a transducer converting the binding event into a measurable physical signal.¹ A particularly important class of biosensors comprises affinity biosensors, which rely on highly selective affinity receptors, such as aptamers,² to recognize target biomolecules. Aptamers are single-stranded oligonucleotides that bind to a biological target with high specificity and affinity, and have received much attention since they can be synthetically developed for a wide range of targets (e.g., small molecules,³ peptides,⁴ and proteins⁵) with minimal batch-to-batch variability. Biosensors that use aptamers as molecular recognition elements, called aptasensors, have been used extensively to detect analytes such as proteins, viruses, bacteria, and cells in conditioned media,⁶⁻⁸ and also in human bodily fluids.⁹⁻¹¹

Despite these advances, aptasensors for analytes of low-molecular-weight (e.g., ions, small molecules, oligopeptides) have

been challenging due to their small size, low electrical charge, and limited availability of functional groups and structural motifs for interaction with aptamers. Various strategies such as adaptive binding-driven signaling,¹² affinity chromatography,¹³ and nanomaterial-based sensing¹⁴ have been employed in aptasensors for detection of low-molecular-weight analytes in conditioned media¹⁵ or human bodily fluids such as whole blood,¹⁶ plasma,¹⁷⁻²⁰ serum,²¹⁻²⁷ saliva,²⁸ and urine.²⁹⁻³³ For example, based on target binding-induced aptamer folding, a microfluidic electrochemical aptasensor, which integrates aptamer-functionalized electrodes within a microchamber, was constructed for rapid detection of cocaine from serum samples.³⁴ Aptamer-based extraction of adenosine and its analogues from tissue extract samples was demonstrated via affinity chromatography in a fused silica capillary packed with aptamer-functionalized microbeads.³⁵ Carbon nanotube³⁶ and graphene³⁷ were used for detection of low-molecular-weight species in conditioned media. While these aptasensors have shown promise for clinical applications, they are still limited by a low sensitivity, complicated biochemical preparation steps, and molecular labeling requirements.

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, United States. E-mail: qilin@columbia.edu; Tel: +1 212 854 1906
^b Suzhou Institute of Nano-Tech and Nano-Bionics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Suzhou, China.
^c Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, United States.
[†] Present address: Department of Radiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, United States.
Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

PAPER

Arginine vasopressin (AVP) is an anti-diuretic hormone, and is known to be a clinically important low-molecular-weight biomarker (molecular weight: 1084.5 Da) for severe hemorrhage, septic shock and congestive heart failure. For instance, the plasma level of AVP normally ranges from 0 to 10 pM. In response to a decrease in blood volume and low arterial pressure by hemorrhage, the plasma AVP level markedly increases up to hundred picomolar levels, inducing vasoconstriction. As this state is prolonged, the AVP concentration gradually declines below 30 pM within 1 hr. Further decreases in AVP levels lead to the failure of vasoconstriction, which may result in a low blood pressure that is insufficient for proper blood perfusion into organs, i.e., late-phase hemorrhagic shock. At this stage, the administration of exogenous AVP is essential to raise the arterial pressure. While rapid and sensitive measurement of plasma AVP levels is vital for timely clinical intervention to prevent a late-phase hemorrhagic shock,³⁸ the clinical utility of conventional AVP assays such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and radioimmunoassay (RIA) are severely impeded as they require labor-intensive work and time-consuming procedures (exceeding 24 hrs). Several aptasensors have been previously developed for AVP detection,³⁹⁻⁴³ although their clinical applicability is limited by labeling requirement and insufficient sensitivity.

We here present an integrated microfluidic aptasensor for detection of low-molecular-weight analytes in complex biological samples. The device integrates, on a single microfluidic chip, the entire process of aptamer-based specific analyte extraction from complex matrices and piezoelectric-based, repeated analyte ejections onto a MALDI plate for subsequent analyses by mass spectrometry. AVP, a low-molecular-weight hormone, is chosen as a target analyte in this study. While the rapid measurement and frequent monitoring of AVP is of great clinical importance for prevention of life-threatening hemorrhagic and septic shocks, the utility of current clinical AVP assays in these clinical situations is still limited particularly due to their long turnaround time (> 24 hrs). Our integrated microfluidic aptasensor enables rapid and sensitive detection of AVP in a label-free manner. Our early-stage experimental results demonstrate detection of AVP from human plasma ultrafiltrate within ~1hr of analysis time. We further show enhancement of the detection sensitivity for measurements of AVP in the clinically-relevant picomolar range (1-100 pM) by incorporating aptamer-based preconcentration. Thus, the aptasensor can potentially be of utility for rapid AVP measurements in clinical applications.

Experimental

Microfluidic Device Design

The integrated microdevice consists of an aptamer-based affinity purification chamber (volume: 2 μ l), a PZT spotting chamber (volume: 5 μ l), a PZT stack actuator and a set of resistive microheater and temperature sensor (Cr/Au) on a glass substrate (Figs. 1a, b and e). Three inlets are used to separately infuse sample, washing buffer/water and eluting water into the chip. A supplementary bead-packing inlet is used to introduce microbeads which are functionalized with an AVP-specific aptamer⁴⁴ into the purification chamber. The introduced microbeads (diameter: 50-80 μ m) are retained in the purification chamber (height: 150 μ m) (Fig.




Fig. 1 Schematic drawings of the integrated microdevice, (a) the side and (b) top views. (c) A micrograph of the purification chamber packed with aptamer-functionalized microbeads. (d) Demonstration of the pressure-driven fluid flow change. A flow of a fluorescein solution to the waste outlet (left) is guided to the spotting chamber when the waste outlet is plugged (right). (e) A photo of the packaged device.

1c) by two dam-like weir structures formed by the connection to thin microchannels (height: 20 μ m). An analyte-eluting solution is transferred to the spotting chamber through a secondary channel (Fig. 1d). The device was fabricated using standard microfabrication techniques such as photolithography and soft lithography, and its fabrication processes are described in Fig. S1.

The principle of AVP detection is schematically described in Fig. 2. A sample solution containing AVP and other biological impurities is first introduced into the purification chamber packed with aptamer-functionalized microbeads while the temperature inside is maintained at 37 $^{\circ}$ C, the optimum temperature for aptamer-AVP binding.⁴⁵ In the purification chamber, the aptamer selectively captures AVP molecules on the microbead surface (Fig. 2a). The chamber is then flushed with a buffer solution at 37 $^{\circ}$ C to remove unbound impurities and nonspecifically adsorbed AVP molecules (Fig. 2b). After the residual salt removal with brief water washing,




Fig. 2 Principle of AVP detection using the integrated aptasensor. (a-c) Aptamer-based specific extraction of AVP from sample. (d-f) On-spot analyte enrichment.

the chamber temperature is raised up to 60 °C, which disrupts the aptamer-AVP complex for isocratic elution of released AVP with purified water (Fig. 2c). The eluted AVP solution is transferred to the spotting chamber via the secondary channel and is then spotted onto a thin layer of matrix crystal on a MALDI plate by using a PZT nanoliter-droplet ejector (Fig. 2d). After the spotted droplet is evaporated, a subsequent droplet is spotted onto the same site (Fig. 2e), and these repeated depositions induce the concentration of analyte molecules on the spot, called on-spot analyte enrichment (Fig. 2f). A fast evaporation method, by which the MALDI plate is pre-coated with a uniform and thin layer of matrix crystal prior to experiments, is employed to improve resolution, sensitivity and uniformity of mass spectral acquisition.^{46, 47}

Materials

AVP, desmopressin (dDAVP), vasotocin and lysine-modified arginine-vasopressin (Lys-AVP) were purchased from American Peptide Company Inc. (Sunnyvale, CA). An AVP-specific spiegelmer or mirror-image aptamer (5'-GGG GUA GGG CUU GGA UGG GUA GUA CAC GUG UGC GUG GU-3') was custom synthesized and biotinylated by ChemGenes Corporation (Wilmington, MA). The aptamer was originally isolated in its (D) natural RNA form via a selection process targeting enantiomeric (D) vasopressin and then changed into its mirror image (L) RNA form which binds natural (L) vasopressin. An adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-specific aptamer (5'-ACC TGG GGG AGT ATT GCG GAG GAA GGT AAA AAA A-3') was obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies Inc. (Coralville, IA). A streptavidin-coated polyacrylamide microbead was acquired from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Rockford, IL) and functionalized with aptamers via streptavidin-biotin links. Plasma ultrafiltrate samples were obtained from patients on CVVH (continuous veno-venous hemofiltration), and all patients provided informed consent to participate in the study, which was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Columbia University. All experiments were done in accordance with the guidelines of the Human Research Protection Program (HRPP) and Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Columbia University. A binding buffer solution (pH 7.4) was prepared in deionized water with Tris-HCl (20 mM), NaCl (150 mM), KCl (5 mM), CaCl₂ (1 mM), MgCl₂ (1mM) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA), a MALDI matrix, was purchased from Protea Biosciences, Inc. (Morgantown, WV) and solvated in a volume ratio of 80 % acetone, 20 % isopropyl alcohol and 0.1 % trifluoroacetic acid. A PZT stack actuator (5 × 5 × 10 mm; widths × height) inducing 6 μm displacement with 80 V applied was obtained from Steiner & Martins, Inc. (Miami, FL), and a solid state relay for switching on/off PZT actuation was purchased from Omega Engineering Inc. (Stamford, CT) respectively.

Experimental Procedure

Streptavidin-coated microbeads were incubated with a biotinylated aptamer solution in a tube for 1 hr, allowing the aptamer immobilization onto the bead surface. Then, the aptamer-functionalized microbeads were introduced into the purification chamber through a supplementary bead-packing inlet using manual pressure, followed by sealing the inlet. Devices were rinsed with a binding buffer solution (flow rate: 10 μl/min) prior to each experiment. Sample solutions at various AVP concentrations were

prepared in binding buffer or human plasma ultrafiltrate. A sample solution (volume: 10 μl) was infused into the chip using a syringe pump (KD210P, KD Scientific Inc.) and incubated with aptamer-functionalized microbeads in the purification chamber for 3 min at 37 °C. In the experiment for aptamer-based preconcentration, 1 ml of sample solution was infused into the chip over a period of approximately 65 min (flow rate: 15 μl/min) while the chamber temperature was kept at 37 °C. The temperature inside the chamber was controlled using the integrated microheater and temperature sensor connected to closed-loop control with LabVIEW. After the chamber was thoroughly rinsed by flushing with a buffer solution (volume: 50 μl; flow rate: 10 μl/min) and purified water (volume: 10 μl; flow rate: 10 μl/min) sequentially, a plug of purified water (volume: 10 μl; flow rate: 2 μl/min) was slowly flowed through the chamber at 60 °C while the other two inlets and the waste outlet were plugged. The eluted AVP solution was then transferred and filled the spotting chamber followed by 50 times deposition onto the matrix-coated MALDI plate.

For the PZT actuation at a predetermined frequency, the square wave-like function was generated by switching on and off power supply (N5768A, Agilent Technologies) with a solid state relay (SSRDC100VDC, Omega Engineering) controlled by a function generator. A schematic of the experimental setup is illustrated in Fig. S2, and the PZT nanoliter-droplet ejector was characterized as described in Fig. S3.

The MALDI plate was pre-coated with a thin layer of matrix crystal by spin-coating (1000 rpm) prior to experiments. After the sample deposition onto the matrix-coated MALDI plate, the plate was inserted into a Voyager DE™ MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems®, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) for mass spectrometry.




Fig. 3 Photos of dried MALDI spots deposited by (a) pipetting (diameter: 1.5 mm) and (b) PZT spotting (diameter: 300 μm). Approximately 25 times smaller spot (area) was produced when using the PZT nanoliter-droplet ejector (Scale bar = 500 μm). (c) Averages of peak intensities from 5 measurements at different irradiation regions within a spot were compared between two sample deposition manners, coefficient of variations (C.V.= standard deviation/ mean value) were indicated above the error

PAPER

Analytical Methods




Fig. 4 The effect of multiple depositions using the PZT nanoliter-droplet ejector in the integrated device: mass spectra at various AVP concentrations (1/10/100 nM from the top) when (a) an AVP solution in purified water is deposited once and (b) 50 times on the same spot.

Results and discussion

Effects of PZT Spotting on Mass Spectrometric AVP Detection

We first investigated effects of PZT spotting on MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry for AVP detection. A 100 nM AVP solution prepared in purified water was deposited once on the MALDI plate using pipetting (1 μ l) or PZT spotting (volume: $\sim$ 7 nl), which remained dried MALDI spots with diameters of 1.5 mm and 300 μ m, respectively (Figs. 3a and b). Manually controlled UV laser shots (the diameter of the laser irradiation region, hereafter referred to as the laser irradiation diameter, was $\sim$ 150 μ m) were irradiated onto five different irradiation regions on each spot for mass spectral acquisitions (the mass spectrometer allowed operation in manual mode only), and AVP peak intensities from five measurements were averaged for comparison. Experimental results showed that the pipetted spot produced three times larger spatial variation of AVP peak intensities (27 %), compared to a PZT spotting generated spot (9.2 %) (Fig. 3c), concluding that nanoliter-volume spotting can reduce the spatial variation of signals, which in turn improves the reproducibility of mass spectra. This beneficial effect arises from the fact that the MALDI spot size becomes comparable to the laser irradiation diameter, thus a larger portion of the spot can be analyzed simultaneously.⁴⁸

To study the effect of multiple depositions on a spot, an AVP solution (1–100 nM) prepared in purified water was deposited by single or 50 times spotting using the PZT nanoliter-droplet ejector. The optimal number of deposition was determined by the results presented in Fig. S4. Repeated depositions more than 50 times frequently dissolved a matrix layer, thus precluding MALDI measurements. Intensities of the AVP peak were significantly amplified at all concentrations with an enrichment factor of up to 13 (Fig. 4), indicating that AVP was enriched on the spot after multiple depositions. It is noteworthy that at low concentrations (1–10 nM), only peaks comparable to background noises (signal-to-noise ratio: S/N < 5) were detected by single deposition, while an




Fig. 5 Specificity of the aptasensor: the device, functionalized with the AVP-specific aptamer, was tested respectively on AVP and vasotocin (control), both at 100 nM. Experiments for each condition were run in triplicates.

appreciable AVP peak appeared by repeated depositions due to the on-spot analyte enrichment effect. These results suggest that multiple depositions can significantly lower the detection limit in MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric detection of AVP.

AVP Detection

For AVP detection, the specificity of the aptasensor is derived from that of the aptamer.^{41, 43, 44} We demonstrate this specificity




Fig. 6 AVP detection in buffer: (a) Mass spectra obtained from experiments with AVP samples at various concentrations (0.01–10 nM) containing dDAVP at a fixed concentration (1 nM). (b) MALDI peak ratios (AVP/dDAVP) vs. AVP concentrations, showing the concentration-dependent responses in the range of 100 pM to 10 nM. Experiments at each concentration were run in triplicates.

using vasotocin as a control analyte due to its high similarity to AVP in terms of the size (AVP: 1084.5 Da vs. Vasotocin: 1050 Da) and amino acid composition (AVP: Cys-Tyr-Phe-Gln-Asn-Cys-Pro-Arg-Gly-NH₂ vs. Vasotocin: Cys-Tyr-Ile-Gln-Asn-Cys-Pro-Arg-Gly-NH₂). The device, functionalized with the AVP-specific aptamer, was first tested for detection of AVP at 100 nM in binding buffer. A strong peak at 1084.5 m/z indicative of AVP was observed in the mass spectra (Fig. 5, green bar). On the other hand, vasotocin, an oligopeptide that differs from AVP by a single amino acid, yielded a mass spectral peak (at 1050 m/z) that was small compared with that

of AVP (Fig. 5, blue bar) and could be attributed to the nonspecific physical adsorption of vasotocin molecules to microbead and microfluidic device surfaces. Thus, while aptamer-based preconcentration and on-spot enrichment resulted in a significant amount of AVP molecules for mass spectrometric detection, the binding between the aptamer and the control molecule vasotocin was insignificant. In addition, when the device was functionalized with a control aptamer (an aptamer that binds to ATP), testing of AVP detection did not yield a distinctive mass spectral peak (data not shown). These results demonstrated that the aptasensor was specific to AVP.

We first tested our aptasensor for AVP detection in binding




Fig. 7 AVP detection in human plasma ultrafiltrate (UF): (a) Mass spectra obtained from experiments with plasma ultrafiltrate samples spiked with AVP at various concentrations (1–100 nM) and dDAVP at a fixed concentration (10 nM). (b) MALDI peak ratio (AVP/dDAVP) vs. AVP concentration. Experiments at each concentration were run in triplicates.

buffer. To reduce the experimental variability which can arise from chip processing (binding/elution/spotting) and MALDI measurements (distribution/ionization), a constant amount of an internal standard was added into AVP samples. Desmopressin (dDAVP), a synthetic replacement for AVP with a molecular weight of 1069 Da, was chosen as an internal standard through the investigation of similarity in aptamer binding and MALDI behavior among various polypeptides (Fig. S5). An AVP sample at varying concentrations (0.01–10 nM) with 1 nM dDAVP was prepared in binding buffer, and then processed through the integrated device followed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Resulting mass spectra displayed two peaks at 1069 m/z and 1084.5 m/z, which respectively represent dDAVP and AVP, while no AVP peak appeared at 10 pM (Fig. 6a). This can be attributed to that the binding affinity of the aptamer towards AVP is insufficiently low for its detection below 100 pM as the dissociation constant (K_d) reported elsewhere is 1.7 nM at 37 °C.⁴⁴ Peak intensity ratios of AVP to dDAVP were calculated for relative quantification, and the ratio was found to proportionally increase with the AVP concentration in the range between 100 pM and 10 nM (Fig. 6b). This implies that higher AVP concentrations resulted in more AVP captured by aptamer on the microbead surface in the aptasensor, thus inducing the larger amount of AVP on a MALDI spot.

To further characterize the potential utility of our device in clinical settings, we also tested the AVP detection from human plasma ultrafiltrate. (The ultrafiltration process is in general believed to preserve the amount of AVP in plasma while removing other

larger molecules.⁴⁹) AVP at various concentrations (1–100 nM) and dDAVP at a fixed concentration (10 nM) were spiked into a human plasma ultrafiltrate solution, which was then processed by the integrated aptasensor for MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric detection. Resulting mass spectra showed that absolute intensities of the AVP peak and the AVP concentration did not correlate (Fig. 7a), indicating that biological impurities interfered with both the aptamer-AVP binding and the ionization of AVP molecules during the MALDI process, consequently increasing the experimental variability. However, the effects of such variations could be effectively suppressed by relative quantification with dDAVP, in which case the mass spectral peak ratio (AVP/dDAVP) monotonically increased with the AVP concentration in the nanomolar range (Fig.




Fig. 8 Picomolar AVP detection via aptamer-based preconcentration coupled to on-spot analyte enrichment. (a) In buffer: MALDI peak ratio (AVP/dDAVP) vs. AVP concentration in the range between 1 and 100 pM with 10 pM dDAVP. Experiments at each concentration were run in triplicates. (b) In human plasma ultrafiltrate: Mass spectra obtained from a plasma ultrafiltrate sample spiked with 10 pM (top) or 100 pM (bottom) AVP.

7b). These results suggest that the integrated aptasensor specifically extracted AVP from human plasma ultrafiltrate via aptamer-based affinity binding, and further enriched the extracted analyte on a MALDI spot to aid effective mass spectrometric detection of AVP.

AVP detection at clinically relevant concentrations

To demonstrate AVP detection in the clinically relevant range (0–300 pM^{38, 50, 51}), we combined aptamer-based preconcentration of AVP with on-spot analyte enrichment, for which a dilute AVP solution was continuously infused into the device followed by washing, elution and repeated depositions on the MALDI plate. We first tested this approach for AVP detection in binding buffer, in which AVP concentrations were varied while the dDAVP concentration was kept constant (10 pM). Results showed that the peak ratio of AVP to dDAVP increased with the AVP concentration, confirming the ability of the aptasensor to measure AVP levels in the picomolar range (Fig. 8a). In particular, the aptasensor was capable of reliably detection AVP as low as 1 pM in concentration. This indicates that the continuous sample infusion caused aptamer-based selective preconcentration of AVP on microbead surfaces followed by further on-spot analyte enrichment, thereby enabling detection of AVP at such low picomolar concentrations.

Using this approach, we also conducted preliminary tests for AVP detection in human plasma ultrafiltrate at clinically relevant concentrations. In the resulting mass spectra, a distinct AVP peak

PAPER

was observed at each of the concentrations tested (10 and 100 pM) in the presence of significant background peaks (Fig. 8b). On the other hand, mass spectral peaks of AVP were not distinguishable from background peaks when aptamer-based preconcentration was not used (data not shown), indicating that the repeated selective extraction of AVP effectively increased the sensitivity of the aptasensor even in the presence of biological impurities in the human plasma ultrafiltrate sample. Impurities and ions that nonspecifically adsorbed to beads likely contributed significantly to the background peaks, whose reduction would enable the device to measure AVP at further lower concentrations in a more reproducible manner. For example, modification of bead surfaces with an anti-adsorption coating (e.g., polyethylene glycol⁵²), while not implemented in the present proof-of-concept work, should significantly reduce background peaks in the mass spectra. In addition, this issue could be further mitigated by optimization of the device design (e.g., chamber volume and shape), washing methods (e.g., choice of the buffer as well as washing time and flow rate) during the device operation, and microbead manipulation schemes.⁵³

Conclusion

We have developed an integrated microfluidic aptasensor for label-free mass spectrometric detection of low-molecular-weight analytes in human plasma ultrafiltrate. The device integrates aptamer-based specific extraction and isocratic elution of the analyte from human plasma ultrafiltrate samples, and PZT spotting for following MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric detection. AVP, a clinically important oligopeptide biomarker, was effectively extracted from human plasma ultrafiltrate via solid phase aptamer-based affinity binding, thus allowing detection of AVP by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. The PZT spotting technique formed a dried MALDI spot as small as laser irradiation region, thus minimizing the spatial variation of mass spectra within a spot. The purified AVP solution was then repeatedly deposited on a spot, thus inducing on-spot analyte enrichment for improved sensitivity.

Using the integrated device, we demonstrated label-free mass spectrometric detection of AVP in binding buffer and human plasma ultrafiltrate in the range of 0.1–10 nM and 1–100 nM, respectively. Combining aptamer-based selective preconcentration of AVP on microbeads with on-spot analyte enrichment by repeated depositions on a MALDI plate, we were able to reliably detect AVP at 1 pM in buffer and 10 pM in human plasma ultrafiltrate as the lowest measurable concentrations using the aptasensor. These early-stage results provide a basis in future work to improve the aptasensor performance for measurement of AVP over the entire clinical range of 1–300 pM in human plasma ultrafiltrate. Directions of the future work may include optimization of the device design and operation, such as using anti-adsorption modification of microbead surfaces as well as improved choice of device dimensions, chamber shape and buffer washing methods during the device operation. With these improvements, the aptasensor can ultimately be useful as a potential tool for rapid detection of AVP for the timely detection and treatment of severe hemorrhage, septic shock and congestive heart failure.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial supports from the National Science Foundation (Award No. CBET-0854030), and the National Institutes of Health (Award Nos. 8R21GM104204-03 and RGM104960).

Notes and references

1. J. H. T. Luong, K. B. Male and J. D. Glennon, *Biotechnology Advances*, 2008, **26**, 492–500.
2. D. H. J. Bunka and P. G. Stockley, *Nat Rev Micro*, 2006, **4**, 588–596.
3. C. Mannironi, A. Di Nardo, P. Fruscoloni and G. P. Tocchini-Valentini, *Biochemistry*, 1997, **36**, 9726–9734.
4. D. Nieuwlandt, M. Wecker and L. Gold, *Biochemistry*, 1995, **34**, 5651–5659.
5. S. E. Lupold, B. J. Hicke, Y. Lin and D. S. Coffey, *Cancer Research*, 2002, **62**, 4029–4033.
6. F. Bosco, M. Bache, J. Yang, C. Chen, E.-T. Hwu, Q. Lin and A. Boisen, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2013, **195**, 154–159.
7. Z.-Q. Cui, Q. Ren, H.-P. Wei, Z. Chen, J.-Y. Deng, Z.-P. Zhang and X.-E. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2011, **3**, 2454–2457.
8. G. A. Zelada-Guillén, J. Riu, A. Düzgün and F. X. Rius, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2009, **48**, 7334–7337.
9. S. Song, L. Wang, J. Li, C. Fan and J. Zhao, *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2008, **27**, 108–117.
10. T. Nguyen, J. Hilton and Q. Lin, *Microfluid Nanofluid*, 2009, **6**, 347–362.
11. W. Zhou, P.-J. Jimmy Huang, J. Ding and J. Liu, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 2627–2640.
12. R. Nutiu and Y. Li, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2003, **125**, 4771–4778.
13. Q. Zhao, M. Wu, X. Chris Le and X.-F. Li, *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2012, **41**, 46–57.
14. T.-C. Chiu and C.-C. Huang, *Sensors*, 2009, **9**, 10356–10388.
15. J. Yang, M. Donolato, A. Pinto, F. G. Bosco, E.-T. Hwu, C.-H. Chen, T. S. Alstrøm, G.-H. Lee, T. Schäfer and P. Vavassori, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2016, **75**, 396–403.
16. R. J. White and K. W. Plaxco, 2009.
17. Y. Du, B. Li, S. Guo, Z. Zhou, M. Zhou, E. Wang and S. Dong, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 493–497.
18. B. Madru, F. Chapuis-Hugon, E. Peyrin and V. Pichon, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2009, **81**, 7081–7086.
19. Y. Wang, D. Gao, P. Zhang, P. Gong, C. Chen, G. Gao and L. Cai, *Chemical Communications*, 2014, **50**, 811–813.
20. L. Kashefi-Kheyabadi and M. A. Mehrgardi, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2012, **37**, 94–98.
21. J.-J. Zhang, J.-T. Cao, G.-F. Shi, K.-J. Huang, Y.-M. Liu and Y.-H. Chen, *Analytical Methods*, 2014, **6**, 6796–6801.
22. J. Liu, C. Wang, Y. Jiang, Y. Hu, J. Li, S. Yang, Y. Li, R. Yang, W. Tan and C. Z. Huang, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2013, **85**, 1424–1430.
23. J. Huang, Z. Zhu, S. Bamrungsap, G. Zhu, M. You, X. He, K. Wang and W. Tan, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2010, **82**, 10158–10163.

Journal Name

ARTICLE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

24.

S. Zhang, J. Xia and X. Li, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2008, **80**, 8382-8388.

25.

A. K. Sharma, A. D. Kent and J. M. Heemstra, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2012, **84**, 6104-6109.

26.

N. Sun, Q. Guo, J. Shao, B. Qiu, Z. Lin, K. Y. Wong and G. Chen, *Analytical Methods*, 2014, **6**, 3370-3374.

27.

D. Zheng, R. Zou and X. Lou, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2012, **84**, 3554-3560.

28.

B. R. Baker, R. Y. Lai, M. S. Wood, E. H. Doctor, A. J. Heeger and K. W. Plaxco, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2006, **128**, 3138-3139.

29.

C.-C. Huang and H.-T. Chang, *Chemical Communications*, 2008, DOI: 10.1039/B718752A, 1461-1463.

30.

K.-J. Huang, Y.-J. Liu, G.-W. Shi, X.-R. Yang and Y.-M. Liu, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2014, **201**, 579-585.

31.

Z. Lin, L. Chen, G. Zhang, Q. Liu, B. Qiu, Z. Cai and G. Chen, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 819-822.

32.

P. Chandra, H.-B. Noh, M.-S. Won and Y.-B. Shim, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2011, **26**, 4442-4449.

33.

S.-J. Chen, Y.-F. Huang, C.-C. Huang, K.-H. Lee, Z.-H. Lin and H.-T. Chang, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2008, **23**, 1749-1753.

34.

J. S. Swensen, Y. Xiao, B. S. Ferguson, A. A. Lubin, R. Y. Lai, A. J. Heeger, K. W. Plaxco and H. T. Soh, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2009, **131**, 4262-4266.

35.

Q. Deng, I. German, D. Buchanan and R. T. Kennedy, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2001, **73**, 5415-5421.

36.

S. Pilehvar, J. A. Rather, F. Dardenne, J. Robbins, R. Blust and K. De Wael, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2014, **54**, 78-84.

37.

L. Feng, Z. Zhang, J. Ren and X. Qu, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2014, **62**, 52-58.

38.

D. Morales, J. Madigan, S. Cullinane, J. Chen, M. Heath, M. Oz, J. A. Oliver and D. W. Landry, *Circulation*, 1999, **100**, 226-229.

39.

P. He, V. Oncescu, S. Lee, I. Choi and D. Erickson, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2013, **759**, 74-80.

40.

H. Qin, J. Liu, C. Chen, J. Wang and E. Wang, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2012, **712**, 127-131.

41.

T. Nguyen, R. Pei, D. W. Landry, M. N. Stojanovic and Q. Lin, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2011, **154**, 59-66.

42.

Y. S. Huh and D. Erickson, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2010, **25**, 1240-1243.

43.

J. Yang, M. Palla, F. G. Bosco, T. Rindzevicius, T. S. Alstrøm, M. S. Schmidt, A. Boisen, J. Ju and Q. Lin, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 5350-5359.

44.

W. G. Purschke, D. Eulberg, K. Buchner, S. Vonhoff and S. Klussmann, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 2006, **103**, 5173-5178.

45.

T. Nguyen, R. Pei, D. W. Landry, M. N. Stojanovic and Q. Lin, *Biomechanics*, 2011, **5**, -.

46.

O. Vorm, P. Roepstorff and M. Mann, *Analytical Chemistry*, 1994, **66**, 3281-3287.

47.

A. J. Nicola, A. I. Gusev, A. Proctor, E. K. Jackson and D. M. Hercules, *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 1995, **9**, 1164-1171.

48.

T. Tu and M. L. Gross, *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2009, **28**, 833-841.

49.

W. PRUSZCZYNSKI, B. VIRON, F. MIGNON and R. ARDAILLOU, *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 1987, **64**, 383-386.

50.

D. W. Landry, H. R. Levin, E. M. Gallant, R. C. Ashton, S. Seo, D. D'Alessandro, M. C. Oz and J. A. Oliver, *Circulation*, 1997, **95**, 1122-1125.

51.

A. Borchers, K. Magdesian, P. Schenck and P. Kass, *Equine veterinary journal*, 2014, **46**, 306-310.

52.

B. Desbuquois and G. D. Aurbach, *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 1971, **33**, 732-738.


53.

R. D. Sochol, L. Lo, R. Ruelos, V. Chang, D. Bahri, K. Iwai, J. C. Lo, M. Dueck, L. P. Lee and L. Lin, 2011.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

J. Name., 2013, 00, 1-3 | 7

Please do not adjust margins



37x17mm (300 x 300 DPI)